SYNTAX OF THE PERFECT IN CLASSICAL ARMENIAN

PhD Program in Historical Linguistics and History of the italian Language - 27th cycle

- Federica Lini -



SYNTAX OF THE PERFECT IN CLASSICAL ARMENIAN

In classical Armenian the perfect and the other compound tenses show a construction with a genitive-marked subject: they are verbal phrases with past participle and present/imperfect/present subjunctive tenses of the copula.

According with grammarians, the classical language shows the genitive subject only in transitive clauses (1), whereas the intransitives have, as usual in Armenian, nominative subjects (2). Only in transitive clauses the copula is always in a default third person singular form.

The same distribution is found when the participle is used without the copula as the main verb in a narrative mood.

"...who suddenly found somewhere the letters of Armenian language" (Koriwn, VI. 20, 329)

oroy	yankarc	owremn	9	gteal		ēr
who GEN	suddenly	somewhe	re t	find PST	PTCP	be IMPF 3° SG
nšanagirs	ałp'abetac'	h	ayerēn	le	zowi	
letters ACC	alphabet GE	EN a	rmenian	la	nguage G	EN
2. "Who had come with them" (Agath. 199, 2)						
Or	ənd no	osa eke	eal	ēi	in	
Who NOM	with th	em cor	ne PST P	TCP be	e IMPF 3°	PL

INTRODUCTION

In classical Armenian, within a nominative-accusative system, a small section of the verbal system shows a costruction with genitive-marked subject.

According to Meillet and Benveniste (1952) this structure develops from a possessive construction. Conversely, more recent studies (starting from Comrie, 1981b) explain this feature as a split in the alignment system, even if not unanimously.

AIMS OF THE RESEARCH

• Selection of an appropriate corpus (no translation literature).

 Analysis of the distribution of genitive and nominative subjects within transitive, intransitive and passive sentences. Two distinct analysis will be focused on sentences with compound tenses and on sentences with the only participle.

• Survey of the coding and the behavioural properties of the core arguments in classical Armenian.

• Formulation of a historical internal hypothesis for this linguistic feature together with further possible observations in a comparative perspective.

RESEARCH STATE OF PROGRESS

· Corpus selection: historical narrative of V-VI sec.

• The participle used without the copula with narrative mood appears in the corpus more often than the perfect and the other compound tenses;

furthermore, the passive clauses show exclusively the construction withnominative-marked subject.

• Koriwn, Life of Mesrop shows a use rather close to that one described from the normative grammars, with the only exception that the construction with genitive-marked subject is sometimes used with the intransitives (especially with motion verbs).

• Agathangelos, *History of the Armenians* and P'awstos Buzand, *History of the Armenians:* the two structures co-occur both with transitive and intranstive sentences.

• Currently in progress: survey of Łazar P'arpec'i, History of the Armenians.

MAIN REFERENCES

Berveniste, Emile, La construction passive du parfait transitif, Bulletin de la Société de Linguistique de Paris, XLVIII (1952), pp.52-62; Bubenik, Vit, (1997), Tense and Aspect in Indo-European Languages: Theory, Typology, Diachrony, Amsterdam, Benjamins, pp. 67-81.;Comrie, Bernard, (1981a), Language Universals and typology: Syntax and Morphology;Comrie, Bernard, (1981b), The languages of Soviet Union;Dixon, Robert, (1994), Ergativity; Haig, Geoffrey (2008). Alignment change in Iranian languages: a construction grammar approach. Berlin; New York: Mouton de Gruyter; Keenan, Edward (1976) Towards a universal definition of "subject". In Subject and topic, ed. Charles Li, 303–333. New York: Academic; Lyonnet, Stanislas. (1933). Le parfait en armenien classique, Paris: Champion; Meillet, Antoine, (1900), La syntaxe comparée de l'arménien, M.S.L. XI, p. 385; Scala, Andrea, (2009), A proposito di armeno e ergatività, "Atti del sodalizio glottologico milanese", n.s. 1-2, pp. 166-181.

