

Chapter 33

Avestan and Old Persian Morphology Prods Oktor Skjærvø

Harvard University

0. General introduction

Three Old Iranian languages are known from texts: Old and Young Avestan and Old Persian. Avestan is the language of the *Avesta*, the sacred book of the Zoroastrians. The *Avesta* is a collection of mostly ritual texts that was composed orally at two different periods in the 2nd and 1st millennia B.C.E. As the spoken language changed, the *Avesta* was "crystallized" as sacred texts, which were then orally transmitted for over a thousand years before they were committed to writing some time in the Sasanian period (ca. 240–651 c.E.).¹

Old Persian is known from royal inscriptions from the Achaemenid period (549–330), the earliest of which is the Behistun (Bisitun) inscription by Darius I from ca. 520 B.C.E. Old Persian was probably spoken by the Iranian tribes who migrated into western Iran around the beginning of the 1st millennium B.C.E. and finally settled in Fars (OP *Pārsa*) in southern Iran, replacing the Median (Iranian) and Elamite (non-Iranian) rulers in the area; it is the ancestor of Middle Persian and modern Persian (Farsi). The inscriptions are written in a cuneiform script that was probably invented under Darius for the purpose of recording his deeds. In the latest inscriptions the language has already changed to a post-Old Persian or pre-Middle Persian form, as we can see from the use of "wrong" endings (from an Old Persian point of view) and various other non-Old Persian forms.² Old Persian must therefore have been spoken for a few centuries before the first inscriptions and probably throughout most of the first half of the first millennium B,C.E.

Beside Old Persian and Avestan other Iranian languages must have been spoken in the 1st millennium before our era. Median, which was spoken in western Iran and presumably was the "official" language during the Median period (ca. 700–522), known from numerous loanwords in Old Persian, had important phonological isoglosses in common with Avestan, rather than Old Persian. The Median words are not, however, restricted to technical terminology such as administration, but are from all parts of the lexicon (Schmitt 2003). Sometimes both Median and Old Persian forms are found. It is also possible that Old Persian had assimilated elements from Avestan. A small, but important, part of the Old Persian vocabulary is

Supplemental abbreviations unique to this essay are found on p. 906.

- 1. See Kellens 1998, Vaan 2003: 8–9, and Skjærvø 2003–4 for recent evaluations of the transmission.
 - 2. See Schmitt 1999 for a complete inventory.

known only from Elamite texts, including grammatical forms otherwise unknown in Old Persian (Hinz 1975).

0.1. Old and Young Avestan

Avestan falls into two chronologically distinct layers: Old Avestan and Young(er) Avestan. The *Old Avesta* comprises the five $G\bar{a}\theta\bar{a}s$ 'songs' and the Yasna Haptanhāiti 'the sacrifice in seven sections'. The remaining Avestan texts are all Young Avestan. Among these latter we distinguish between Young Avestan texts composed with consistent and mostly correct grammar and texts compiled at a stage when Young Avestan was no longer a living language and the authors and compilers only had an incomplete knowledge of it.3 The Young Avesta contains several geographical names from the area of modern Afghanistan and the Central Asian Republics, and Young Avestan probably was a local language in that area.

Several phonological and morphological isoglosses separate Old Avestan and Young Avestan, which means that they are not simply different stages of the same language. It is not probable, however, that they were contemporaneous languages, as argued recently by I. Gershevitch (1995); the morphologies of the two languages, which compare to those of Old Indic and Old Persian, respectively, rule out such a possibility (Skjærvø 2003–4).

Compared with Old Avestan, Young Avestan represents a changed (or different) form of the language, especially in phonology, but also in morphology and syntax:

- The verbal system of Old Avestan is still based upon the opposition present: aorist: perfect known from Vedic and Homeric Greek, while in Young Avestan the aorist and perfect have both become relatively rare, and the verbal system is based upon the opposition present: imperfectinjunctive.
- In Old Avestan, the ablative singular is identical with the genitive except in the a-declension, while in Young Avestan and Old Persian the ablative marker of the a-stems has been transferred to the other declensions. as well.
- Young Avestan and Old Persian have developed a pronominal stem di-, replacing the Old Avestan stem i- (in Old Persian the Avestan stem hihas been replaced by ši-).
- In Old Avestan, hiiat is both a relative pronoun and a conjunction, while in Young Avestan *hiiat* is a relative pronoun and *yat* a conjunction.
- Old Avestan has no trace of the pronominal inflection of "pronominal" adjectives, while Young Avestan uses pronominal endings (see §3.6). This point is routinely quoted to prove that Old Avestan and Young Avestan are also different dialects, not merely different stages of the same
- 3. The corpus of Young Avesta contains the Yasna, Vispered, Xorda Avesta (Little Avesta), the yašts (hymns to deities), Videvdad (Widēwdād, Vendidad, a book of purification rituals), Hērbedistān and Nīrangistān (texts concerned with religious practice), and the small texts Aogəmadaēca and Hādōxt nask (concerned mainly with eschatological issues), the Pursišnīhā (a catechism), the Frahang ī ōīm (a list of Avestan words and their Pahlavi/Middle Persian translations), plus a few other texts. For editions, see Kellens 1988.

language; there is, however, only one example: OAv. *νīspåηhō* 'all' (three times) ~ YAv. vispe, and the masc. nom. pl. forms in *-āhah were stylistic alternatives for any other masc. nom. pl. form; cf. OP aniyāha 'other', but visaiy 'all', so Old Avestan may well have had forms such as *vīspē and *aniiē;

• Among the phonological differences the most important is the spirantization of voiced stops in Young Avestan, which produced pairs such as the proto-Iranian 2nd pl. mid. ending *duam > OAv. * $-duu\bar{\rho}m > d\bar{u}m$, but YAv. $-\delta\beta \partial m$ (with u assimilated to the preceding spirant).

On the whole, Young Avestan is linguistically closer to Old Persian than to Old Avestan, and it is possible that the developments shared by the two had taken place before the Old Persian tribes migrated westward about the turn of the millennium (Skjærvø 2003–4).

0.2. Young Avestan dialects

Various phonological and morphological features of Young Avestan have been ascribed to dialect differences. For instance, J. Schindler (1982) interpreted the different treatments of final *aŋh (< IIr. *-ans) in terms of dialects, and K. Hoffmann theorized Arachotian elements, among them hu > x^{ν} instead of huu- and $V\beta V > VuV$ (Hoffmann and Forssman 1996: §§6.2, 63cg). Note also the alternation $V\delta V \sim V\theta V$, for instance, in $da\delta \bar{a} \sim da\theta \bar{a}$, which superficially at least corresponds to Manichean Middle Persian dayversus Parthian and modern Persian dah-. Other features ascribed to dialects are forms like dahāka- (not *danhāka-) and the "stunted" genitives zraiiā vouru.kašaiia 'the Vourukasha sea' (Y 65.4 = Yt. 5.4 = Yt. 8.31) beside correct zraiianhō vouru.kašahe (Yt. 5.42) (see also Beekes 1999: 63).

The main problem with such theories is that we still do not have an exhaustive study of the manuscripts and individual scribal habits or of the formal aspects of the Avestan corpus. It is therefore a dangerous procedure to glean forms from a wide spectrum of texts and manuscripts and treat them as if the text corpus were uniform and the forms all equally reliable.

Syntactical differences might carry more weight; for instance, in the Videvdad the expression 'but if (on the other hand)' is āat yezi, but in the Nirangistan it is yezi āat, which agrees with the use of OAv. at in second position. These features have not yet been studied, however.

0.3. The Avestan text

The extant text of the Avesta is an "edited" text and does not necessarily in every detail reflect a genuine linguistic system. For centuries, during the oral transmission of the text, editors (diascevasts) worked to standardize it, and afterwards it was modified by scribes who spoke dialects or languages with phonological systems differing from that of the original Avestan languages. Thus, on one hand, the Old Avestan texts contain many elements that are clearly borrowed from or influenced by Young Avestan, and, on the other hand, the Young Avestan texts contain both elements that are imitations of Old Avestan (pseudo-OAv.)⁴ and elements belonging to later stages of Iranian that were probably introduced by the scribes. This makes

4. Some of these may be fragments of genuine Old Avestan texts (see Pirart 1992).

it almost impossible to determine which of the sound changes that we observe in our extant manuscripts belonged to the original language and which ones were introduced at various stages of the 1,000-1,500 years' oral and written transmission of the texts.

The earliest manuscripts of the Avesta are from the 13th and 14th centuries (Vispered ms. K7a: 1278?, Yasna mss. J2 and K5: 1323, Videvdad mss. K1: 1324, L4: 1323, Xorde Av. ms. Jm4: 1352), and, from the evidence of the manuscript colophons, all go back to single manuscripts for each part of the Avesta (Yasna, Yašts, etc.) that were in existence around 1000 c.E. Most manuscripts of the Avesta are much later, however, and for some parts of the text the manuscript tradition does not go beyond the 16th-18th centuries (see Kellens 1998). This situation always has to be kept in mind when we discuss the Avestan language.

The Avestan text most often quoted, also for grammatical purposes, is that prepared by K. F. Geldner (1896). This edition is a "critical" edition in the sense that Geldner set out to list all—or at least all the most important—manuscript variants known to him. Since manuscripts reached him from various places at various times during the preparation of the edition, it is not a complete critical edition, however; it does not even include all the texts known in Geldner's time.5

For similar reasons, at the outset of the edition, Geldner did not yet have a clear idea of the relative importance of the manuscripts; this was formed only during the work and finally codified in the introduction to the final edition. Geldner therefore took as his primary standard the conventions of the previous edition, that of N. L. Westergaard (1852-54), which in turn was based primarily upon the Copenhagen manuscript collection. One of the implications of this is that Geldner's edition cannot be used directly as the basis for grammatical analysis (orthography, phonology, morphology). In fact, a new edition is sorely needed, one that includes an analysis of the manuscripts together with a reevaluation of the manuscripts (families, interferences, chronology, individual scribal habits) and rereading of the ones still available.6

0.4. The "Arsacid Avesta" and the Andreas theory

A theory was developed in the early twentieth century by F. C. Andreas (first in Andreas 1904) that the Avesta was written down in the Arsacid (Parthian) period (ca. 250 B.C.E.-ca. 240 C.E.) in an Aramaic consonant alphabet and that unusual or unexpected spellings in the transmitted text reflected erroneous vocalizations and wrong interpretation of ambivalent consonant signs on the part of the Sasanian transcribers. It was proved, however, by G. Morgenstierne (1942) that the "aberrant" orthography of the Avesta reflects a wholly consistent phonological system. Morgenstierne showed that the system of epenthesis, for instance, is internally consistent

^{5.} For manuscript abbreviations and descriptions, see the "Prolegomena" to Geldner's edition.

^{6.} Authors of modern text editions and grammatical studies are becoming increasingly aware of this necessity.

and must therefore be a genuine linguistic phenomenon. The theory held hard, however, and was still applied, for instance, by Hoffmann (1958), but now it has been wholly abandoned.

0.5. Editorial interference and scribal errors

The text was deliberately changed by editors, as demonstrated by the repetition of preverbs in tmesis in Old Avestan, e.g.: nī aēšəmō nī.diiātam paitī rəməm paitī.siiōdūm 'let wrath be tied down, let obstruction be cut back!' (Y 48.7), where the meter shows that the repeated preverbs were not original. Deliberate changes are also evident in various orthographic ideosyncrasies, the most important of which is the introduction of final $-\bar{o}$ (1) in the first member of compounds (cf., beside the expected form ahuraδāta- 'established by Ahura [Mazdā]', the much more common type daēuuō.dāta- 'established by demons', bayō.baxta- 'assigned by the assigner', etc.); (2) at morphological junctures, e.g., comparatives and superlatives in -ō.tara- and -ō.tama-; (3) in nouns in -tāt- (OAv. karapō.tāt- 'the title of mumbler'); and (4) especially in cases of false division: YAv. uziiō.rəntəm < uziiar- 'come up', *hispō.sənte < hispas-'watch', $a\check{s}^{\partial}\theta\beta\bar{o}.zgat\partial ma-<*a\check{s}.\theta\beta azga-'?'$, $v\bar{i}man\bar{o}.hiia$ -< vīmanahiia- 'agnosticism(?)'; parō.katarštəma- < *paraka.tarštəma- 'most feared by the other side(?)'; $par\bar{o}.k \ni uui\delta(a) - < *paraka.vi\delta(a) - 'piercing dis$ tant (targets)'; rarō.mana- < ramr̄mana- 'at peace(?)' (Yt. 13.29, mss. raraomanō F1; rarō.manō J10, Xorde Avesta mss.); note also paṇcā.saduuar- $< paṇc\bar{a}sa + duuar$ - 'having fifty doors(?)'. The $-\bar{o}$ at the morphological juncture in forms like OAv. $g\bar{u}\bar{s}\bar{o}.d\bar{u}m$ is probably a reinterpetation of forms with u-umlaut (*-adūm > -ōdūm), and the -ō in OAv. drəguuō.dəbiiō < drəguuant-'full of deception' is a reinterpetation of forms with labialization. Other cases of false division include gāuš.āiš for gāušāiš 'with the ears'.

Another editorial practice was the restoration of non-sandhi forms in sandhi: e.g., -š.h- for -š- before vowel (aⁱβiš.huta- 'filtered, pressed', ārmaitiš.hāgit 'following [Lifegiving] Humility', pasuš.hauruua- 'cattle-guardian') and -š- for -ž- before voiced consonant (xšuuaš.gāiia- instead of *xšuuažgāiia- 'distance of six steps', cf. dužganti-).

Less commonly stops, affricates, and m were analyzed as two consonants, e.g., OAv. intervocalic t > t.t (gat. $t\bar{o}i$, gat. $t\bar{e}$ 'to go', $\bar{a}g^{\partial}mat.t\bar{a}$ 'come'); YAv. c [tš] > t.c (frātat.caiiat for *frātacaiia- 'flow forth', arənat.caēša- for * ar^{2} nacaēša- 'who assigns blame[?]'); and OAv. m > m.m ($h\bar{e}m^{2}$ miiās $a^{i}t\bar{e}$, hām.miiāsaitē for *hāmiiāsaitē 'is being steered'; aēšam.mahiiā for aēšamahiiā 'wrath's').

Scribal errors abound, many of which are obvious, but some of which have been regarded as genuine linguistic forms and have played a role in grammatical descriptions, for instance, ziiānīm, acc. sg. of ziiāni- 'harm', on the basis of the manuscript reading ziiåiienīm, which, however, is a scribal error for ziienīm (Hoffmann 1975–92: 2.513–15).

0.6. What do grammars of Old Iranian describe?

In view of these characteristics of the extant Avestan text it is clear that it in no way can it be assumed to represent actually spoken languages, and,

consequently, any description of the Avestan languages based upon this text will also not be of actually spoken languages. Let us sum up:

- 1. At the time the sacred text became "crystallized," it probably represented a language no longer spoken by that current generation.
- 2. The oral transmission took place over a large territory, and we do not know from which local traditions the extant texts have come to us, which may all have left their inprints on the text in form of dialect features.
- 3. The oral transmission went on for hundreds of years, and we do not know to what extent phonological and grammatical features may reflect the languages of the transmitters rather than the original languages.
- 4. The last (Sasanian) oral transmitters no longer knew the "correct" text and would substitute common grammatical forms for less common ones (e.g., thematic for athematic forms) and insert passages they knew in places where they did not belong, upsetting the grammar (e.g., entire phrases in the nom. pl. instead of acc.) and the context.
- 5. Inferior oral tradition influenced the written tradition.

It is the result of these processes that the grammar of Avestan must describe, and it is therefore clear that what is most needed is an up-to-date taxonomy of the language and that descriptive models developed for living languages are not adequate for the purpose. The most serious consequence of this situation is that no exact phonemic analysis of the two Avestan languages is possible, since it is a concept presupposing the possibility of capturing an actual linguistic state.

Under these circumstances some scholars have chosen to describe a reconstructed (or phonemical), "corrected," version of the text (as in Duchesne-Guillemin 1936 and Beekes 1988). Interesting as such attempts may be, they do not provide a description of the language seen in the texts and are of little use to students at any level.

As for Old Persian, quite aside from the smallness of the corpus, two features need to be kept in mind:

- 1. The lateness of the language of the inscriptions in the history of Old Persian warns against forcing phonetic and grammatical forms too much into an Old Iranian mold; instead one should consider Old Persian as intermediate between the Old Iranian and the Middle Iranian language types (Skjærvø 1999a: 158-61).
- 2. The mixed-language type prevents us from grasping the genuine SW-Iranian phonological system.

0.7. Spelling conventions

Several features of Avestan and Old Persian orthography affect the interpretation of the morphological data. In Old Avestan, all final vowels are long, but in Young Avestan they are short, except in monosyllables. In Old Avestan, i and u before -m are regularly written long in the mss. (-im, $-\bar{u}m$) but, apparently, short or long according to their etymology before $-\check{s}$ ($-\check{i}\check{s}$, $-\bar{u}\tilde{s}$). In Young Avestan, however, the length distinctions have apparently been lost and new distributional patterns created, which have not been studied. In standard transcriptions, with a few exceptions, \bar{i} and \bar{u} are preferred in these cases. Here, I have left length in the Old Avestan examples more or less as in the mss., but in the Young Avestan examples I have used \bar{i} and \bar{u} (though probably not consistently) to emphasize the non-etymological value of the manuscript spellings.

Similarly, for proto-Ir. *-am, OP -am, OAv. usually has -əm, but -¬m in monosyllables, occasionally elsewhere. Rhythmic or syllabic principles may be involved (cf. the expression *narām naram* 'man by man' and *drūjam* verse-final or before one consonant in drūjām dii-, but drujām before a vowel). Young Avestan has only -əm.

OP final $-\bar{a}$ is from proto-Iranian *-a, *- \bar{a} , or *- $\bar{a}C$, while final -a is from proto-Iranian *-aC. The Old Persian orthography does not distinguish between short or long \bar{i} and \bar{u} (non-final \bar{i} is written <i>; \bar{u} is written <u> or $\langle u-v \rangle$; in final position they are written $\langle -i-y, -u-v \rangle$. After h, i (and i?) is not usually written in Darius's inscriptions, but frequently in those of Xerxes.

In Old Persian, h is often missing where it is expected by etymology, e.g., Ir. hu-, OP <u-v->; Ir. $a^hmi = OP$ $a^hmiy <a-m-i-y>$, but also ahmiy $\langle a-h-m-i-y \rangle$; Ir. $-ahi = OP \langle -h-y \rangle$ or $\langle -h-i-y \rangle$; Ir. $ahi = OP \langle a-i- \rangle$, etc. Original final consonants are missing, notably the common endings -h, -t, and -n. In transcriptions these "missing" letters are often added as subscripts (e.g., abarah, abarah, abarah 'carried', ahmiy 'I am') to show the etymology of grammatical forms and their relationship with Avestan forms, though most of them probably had no phonetic value.

In the description that follows I have sometimes cited morphemes in their proto-Iranian phonemic form, both for the sake of clarity and to save space, e.g., i, u = Av. ii, uu, OP iy, uv; *-ai, *-au = Av. $-\check{e}$, $-a\bar{e}$ °, $-uu\bar{o}$, -ao°, OP -aiy, -auv; *-ah = Av. $-\bar{o}$, $-as^{\circ}$, OP $-a^h$; etc.

I have also followed the practice of writing epenthetic and anaptyctic vowels superscript to make the forms clearer.8

1. The morphology of Avestan: General remarks

The Avestan and Old Persian systems of declensions and conjugations are still of the Indo-Iranian type, involving a variety of stems, genders, numbers, cases, etc. Because of the limited material, especially Old Persian, the forms are not as well known as for Old Indic; some declensions and conjugations are known from only a few forms, sometimes only a single form. In unfavorable instances the Avestan manuscript tradition is so poor that

^{7.} Since this article was submitted, this has now been done (Vaan 2003); I apologize for not being able to update this article to reflect these new insights.

^{8.} Epenthetic vowels are i and u written before a palatalized or labialized consonant, e.g., paiti < *pati, hauruua- < *harua- 'entire'. Anaptyctic vowels are inserted between consonants to "ease" the pronunciation, e.g., OAv. $d^{\bar{a}}b\bar{a}uuaiia$ - 'deceive', $azd^{\bar{a}}b\bar{i}s < ast$ - 'bone', p^a $tar\bar{\rho}m$ 'father'. Here, I have omitted the epenthetic i in anii (not a^inii), but kept it in $a^in\bar{i}$.

the correct forms cannot be determined (e.g., gen.-dat. sg. of jaini- 'woman': janiiaoš or janiiōiš).

The morphological categories are the same in all three Old Iranian languages, but with some individual modifications, such as the Young Avestan and Old Persian marking of the abl. sg. in all declensions; the merger of the gen. and dat. (loss of dat. forms) and ins. and abl. in Old Persian; the development in Young Avestan and Old Persian of an augmented optative to denote repetition in the past (cf. Eng. 'he would do').

1.1. Allomorphology (morpho-phonology)

Avestan and, to a lesser extent, Old Persian are characterized by numerous morphophonological variants, which make especially the Avestan morphology very irregular. There are two principal kinds of variants, those due to diachronical developments and those due to analogy. Some of these developed in (or before) Indo-Iranian, some in Proto-Iranian, and some in Avestan and/or Old Persian only. The most important are:

- The Indo-European ablaut-system affected vowels of roots and stem morphemes and initial and final consonants of roots (e.g., stop ~ palatal).
- Proto-Iranian consonant changes affected consonants at morpheme borders.
- Avestan palatalization and labialization affected the vowels of roots and endings.
 - Median forms in Old Persian.

1.2. Ablaut

The original ablaut was basically $\bar{a} \sim a \sim nil$, and in diphthongs, e.g., $\bar{a}i \sim a \sim nil$ $ai \sim i$, occasionally $i\bar{a} \sim ia \sim i$. In Indo-Iranian, the original ablaut is obscured by various sound developments:

- Brugmann's Law, by which an IE o in an open syllable became \bar{a} , coinciding with the long grade
- The disappearance of a post-vocalic laryngeal (H), by which aH before a consonant $> \bar{a}$ and H between vowels was lost, leaving a hiatus
- The frequent changes in Avestan in vowel quantity caused by stress shifts (?), which caused long \bar{a} to be shortened: $\bar{a}p \ni m$ 'water' ~ $ap \ni mca$ and $\bar{a}p\bar{o}$ (nom.) and $ap\bar{o}$ (acc.) ~ apasca (nom., acc.); and short a to be lengthened: kauuaiō 'poet priests' ~ kāuuaiiascā; aṣšīm 'reward' ~ ārəitīmca (Pursišnīhā 39; beside common ašīmca)

The Old Iranian reflexes of the ablaut are set out in table 1 (tables begin on p. 910).

Avestan often has full grades in initial position where Old Indic has zero grade: ya- for i- (Av. yašta- 'sacrificed', OInd. istá-) and va- for u- and uH- (Av. vaziia- 'be led', OInd. uhyá-; Av. varºz- 'invigorate', OInd. ūrj-). Note also the distribution of initial *r- and ra- in °ərəta- (aṣ̄a- '[cosmic] order'), etc., but ratu- '(cosmic) model'; adjective OAv. $\partial r^{\partial} \theta \beta a$ -, YAv. $ra\theta \beta iia$ -'in agreement with the models', which correspond to OInd. rtá- and rtú-, rtvíya-. Since there is no good reason why Av. should have changed *ərətto rat- only in ratu-, it would seem that ratu- is the original form, which was changed in analogy with the other forms in Old Indic.

We also occasionally find Ir. ai and au where Old Indic has long \bar{i} and \bar{u} (Av. aēšā- 'plow', OInd. īṣā-; OP gaudaya- 'hide', Av. gūzaiia-, OInd. gūhaya-), a development that at least appears to be parallel to that of *rH > Ir. ar (OInd. ir, $\bar{u}r$).

Avestan also has ambikinetic and holokinetic ablaut types:

 $d\bar{a}^u r$ -u 'tree, wood' ~ in compounds °dr-u-, loc. sg. drao°, gen. sg. dr-aoš jain-ti 'he smashes' ~ 3rd pl. yn-anti 'they smash', pptc. jatastao-mi 'I listen' ~ 3rd pl. stuu-anti.

pantå (< *pantaH-s) 'road' ~ gen. sg./acc./pl. $pa\theta\bar{o}$ (< *pntH-as)

According to their vowel grade, stems are commonly classified as:

- "Strong" stems = with (1) long or (2) full grade, e.g.: nom, acc. sg., nom. pl. of athematic nouns, pres. ind. sg. of athematic verbs
- "Weak" stems = with (1) full or (2) zero grade, e.g., gen. sg., acc., gen. pl. of athematic nouns; pres. ind. pl. of athematic verbs

The proto-Iranian long diphthongs $\bar{a}i$ and $\bar{a}u$ remained in Old Iranian. The short dipthongs *ai and *au remained in Old Persian, but underwent numerous modifications in Avestan:

	*ai		*au	*au	
	internal	final	internal	final	
OAv.	aē, ōi, ̄əi, əuui	-ē, -aē°	āu, ao	-ao(?), -uuō	
YAv.	aē, ōi	-e	ao (āu)	-uuō, -ao°	
OP	ai	-ai	au	-auv	

Final $-\breve{e}$ from *-ai palatalizes preceding consonants, e.g., *-ahai > -a\u00e1he (in this description I use the symbol $-i\bar{e}_i$, -ie < *-ai). Note especially the development of $-uai > -u^{i}\bar{e} > postconsonantal -uii\bar{e}$, postvocalic -uue, -oe (e.g., *iauai > yauue, yaoe), but before enclitic > - $uua\bar{e}^{\circ}$.

The Avestan diphthongs ai, ei, etc., and au, ou, etc., are the result of palatalization and labialization (commonly transcribed as a^i , o^u , etc.). Av. $\bar{a}i$ and $\bar{a}u$ can be original or the result of palatalization and labialization (\bar{a}^i, \bar{a}^u) .

1.3. Avestan vowel alternations

Of the other indigenous Avestan developments, those affecting a and \bar{a} are crucial for understanding the morphology. Among these, the two most important are:

- 1. Backing of a to the central position before nasals $> \partial$ or $\bar{\partial}$, which were further palatalized (> i) or labialized (> u) by preceding consonants
- 2. Umlaut, that is, fronting (palatalizing) of a and \bar{a} to e or \bar{e} before high front vowels, but only when preceded by a palatal consonant (i, c, j), and backing and rounding to o or \bar{o} before u and often in labial environment

The thematic vowel in nouns and verbs (including full-grade endings of athematic verbs) regularly undergoes the above phonetic changes, most consistently in Young Avestan, but also in Old Avestan.

 $a > \partial$, $\bar{\partial}$:

before -m:

in the final syllable *-am > $-\bar{\delta}m$ (OAv. $druj\bar{\delta}m$ 'deception', YAv. $ahur\bar{\delta}m$ 'lord') and in the 1st sg. secondary ending (OAv. 'grabəm 'I seized', YAv. °barəm 'I carried')

before -n:

in the final syllable *-an(t) > $-\bar{\delta}n$ (OAv. ° $j\bar{\delta}n$ 'he smashed', YAv. barən 'they carried')

in the 3rd pl. endings *-anti, -antie > -ənti, -ənte (examples, see below) with the exceptions described below

 $\partial > i$ (regularly in YAv., sporadically in OAv.):

regularly after c and j (YAv. drujim; tacin, tacinti < tac- 'run, flow')

after *i* in *ia*-stems, but in verbal forms apparently restricted to the position after sibilants and θ (YAv. $ma\Sim < ma\Siia$ - 'mortal man'; $ux\Sin$ < uxšiia- 'grow'; "ruuisinti < "ruuisiia- 'to turn', ⁱriθint- < ⁱriθiia- 'pass away'); otherwise, -ianti (-iantī) and -iantiē usually remain as -iieinti, -iiente9

in the sequences -ajam > -aēm, -ajan > -aēn (gaēm < gaiia- 'life'; cikaēn < cikaiia- 'atone for'); -ājam > -āim, -ājan > -āin (haxāim < haxāē-'companion'; gāuruuāin < gauruuāiia- 'seize'); (-t̄'am >) -t̄iam > -t̄m (°įt̃m 'living'), and -aiaian > -aiiaēn (nidar - zaiiaēn 'let them chain'); for -aēn, more commonly, we find the uncontracted form -aiiən (apataiiən 'they used to go falling about'), which is also commonly substituted for -aiiaēn¹⁰

 $\partial > u$:

before -n and -m: regularly after μ (ho^urum 'whole' < *harµam), including $u < \beta$ (graom < *grauam < *gra\beta a- 'handle')

the sequences -auam > -aom, -auan > -aon (haom 'own'; baom, baon 'I/they became'); $-\bar{a}uam > -\bar{a}um$, $-\bar{a}uan > -\bar{a}un$; and $(-\bar{u}'am >)$ $-\bar{u}uam$, $-\bar{u}uan > -\bar{u}m$, $-\bar{u}n$ (°s $\bar{u}m$ 'vitalizing'; bun 'they shall be'); instead of -aom and -aon, the manuscripts also have -āum and -āun (kərənāun 'they did')

for $-(\bar{a})uua^i\eta ti$, $-(\bar{a})uua\eta te$, $-(\bar{a})uua\eta ta$, the expected contractions *-aonti/ -āuṇti, etc., are not found (YAv. bauuainti 'they become', etc.), with the exception of one example of *-auanta > -āunta (YAv. adāunta 'they chattered' with $\bar{a}u$, as commonly, substituted for ao), and the suffix -ahuant- regularly > -anhunt- (for which -a η^{ν} hant- is commonly found in the manuscripts)

a > *e*:

before *h*:

gen. sg. of *ia-stems: -iiehe* (YAv. *mašiiehe*)

2nd sg. act. and mid. and inf.: -iahi > -iiehi (OAv. xšaiiehī < xšaiia- 'be in command', YAv. srāuuaiiehi < srāuuaiia- 'recite'); -jahai > -jah $^{!}\bar{e}>$

^{9.} Some of the oldest mss. (including Indian Pahlavi Yasna and Pahlavi Videvdad) often(?) have -iia(i)nt- instead of -iieint-.

^{10.} Note snāδaiiən for *snājajan from *snāja- (snaiia-) 'wash'.

-iiehe, -iieńhe (YAv. maniiehe < maniia- 'think'; OAv. inf. srāuuaiie ŋ́hē)

before *t*:

3rd sg. and pl. act./mid.: $-iat\bar{i} > -iat\bar{i} > -iie^it\bar{i}$; $-iata\bar{i} > -iat^i\bar{e} > -iiete^{11}$ (OAv. *srāuuaiie*ⁱtī, dāiietē < dāiia- 'to place', vašiietē < vašiia- 'undulate')12

before nt: -ianti > -iieⁱnti, -iantⁱ \bar{e} > -iiente: baiient \bar{e} < baiia- 'to fear'; fšuiientē < fšuiiant- 'husbandman'

in YAv after i in final position after n, r, s: -ia > -e (e.g., nom. sg. $na^{i}re$ < nairiiā- 'manly')

 $a > \bar{o}$:

sometimes in OAv.: by *u*-umlaut $(v \ni r^{\vartheta} z i i \bar{o}. t \bar{u}^{\circ} < v \ni r^{\vartheta} z i i a$ - 'produce', vātōiiō.tū < vātaiia- '?', ābaxšō.huuā < ābaxša- 'partake of', gūšō.dūm < guša- 'listen', mazdåŋhō.dūm < mazdā- 'place in one's mind', paitī.siiōdūm < paiti.siia- 'cut back', dīdrayžō.duiiē < dīdrayža- 'wish to hold firmly'); in labial environment: auuō.buuaitī 'will come down'; cf. auuā 'down', uz⁵mōhī '?' < *-mahi. In Young Avestan, we somtimes find -uuō instead of -uua (e.g., ins. sg. bāzuuō for bāzuua < *bāzu-* 'arm')

 $\bar{a} > \bar{\partial}$:

before m in OAv. $str\bar{s}m$ 'of the stars'; $xii\bar{s}m$ 'I wish to be'; both these words are disyllabic, which shows that the process is post-Old Avestan

 $\bar{a} > e$:

when preceded by \underline{i} and followed by a nasal (m, n) and i, \overline{i} , or e: acc. sg. of *i*-stems (YAv. *ziiēnīm* < *ziiāni*-)

1st sg. ind./sbjv. - $i\bar{a}mi$, - $i\bar{a}ni$, - $i\bar{a}ni$ (< - $i\bar{a}nai$) > -iiemi, -iieni, -iiene (YAv. jaⁱδiiemi 'I beseech', zbaiiemi 'I invoke'; OAv. aiienī 'I shall go'; YAv. ta^uruuaiieni 'I shall overcome'; hācaiiene 'I shall induce')

the forms in -iiāmi, -iiāni are sometimes retained/restored by analogy, especially in the vicinity of forms with regular -āmi, not preceded by ii (YAv. yāsāmi . . . jaⁱδiiāmi 'I request . . . I beseech', Y 65.11, and elsewhere)

1.4. Consonant alternations

The consonant changes that affect the morphology of Old Iranian are of various ages: proto-Indo-Iranian, proto-Iranian, and later.

Among the proto-Indo-Iranian changes are the following:

- Palatalization of the velars k, g, $g^h > \check{c}$, \check{j} , \check{j}^h before front vowels and glides in Indo-Iranian; in proto-Ir., \check{j} and \check{j}^h then merged into \check{j} ($aog\bar{o}$ 'strength' ~ aojaŋhā, cf. Latin genus ~ generis, Greek génos ~ génehos; OP drauga- 'deception' $\sim d^u rujiya$ - 'to lie' < *drug-ja-)
- 11. There seems to be a tendency to spell this ending without epenthesis, but no comprehensive survey of manuscript readings has been made.
 - 12. But aēnaήhəiti 'comits sin against' (Y 9.29) < *-ahiati.

• The developments of proto-Indo-Iranian *s: after the vowels \tilde{t} and \tilde{u} (including the diphthongs ai and au, as well as in and un) and after the consonants r, k/g/gh, IE $\hat{k}/\hat{g}/\hat{g}^h$, and p/b^h , IIr. *s became \check{s} (or \check{z}), the so-called ruki rule (YAv. $ga^iri-\check{s}$ 'mountain', * $\bar{a}p-\check{s}$ 'water' > nom. sg. $\bar{a}f\check{s}\sim pl.$ $\bar{a}p\bar{o}$, * $di(d)b-\check{z}a$ -'seek to deceive' $di\beta\check{z}a-\sim dauua$ -'deceive' $<*da\beta a$ -, etc.). It remained as s before stops and affricates, as well as after dentals, which were assimilated, the resulting geminate being then simplified (t/d+s>ss>s; ' $b \Rightarrow r^3 t-s$ 'carrying' > " $b \Rightarrow r^2 s$). In other positions s became proto-Iranian h, which in turn underwent various changes. Endings beginning with proto-Indo-Iranian *s, therefore show up in Old Iranian variously with \check{s} , s, h, or nil.

The most important consonant alternations shared by the Old Iranian languages are the following (others appear in table 2):

- In general p, t, k > f, θ , x before consonants (including the IIr. laryngeal); except that Av. p remained (was restored?) before t.
- Indo-Iranian s between vowels or after a final vowel > *h; in sandhi before enclitic -ca (and a few other instances) the original s was retained in Avestan: -as°, -ås°, but became \check{s} in Old Persian (Ir. *ahurah > Av. ahur \bar{o} ~ ahurasca; Ir. *kah 'who?' > Av. $k\bar{o}$ ~ kasci \check{t} 'any', OP kašci \check{t} ').
- Dental + dental > sibilant + dental: t + t always > st; d + t or d + d > st or zd (originally, zd < IIr. $d + d^h$).
 - All geminates were simplified (*ap- $b^{\circ} > *abb^{\circ}$ in YAv. $a^{i}\beta ii\bar{o}$, OP $abi\check{s}$).
- Dental before sibilant was lost (assimilation + simplification); after sibilant it was somtimes lost, sometimes remained (OAv. 3rd sg. °cinas < $\sqrt{\text{caē}}$ s 'assign', "rūraost < $\sqrt{\text{raod}}$ 'lament', xšnāuš < $\sqrt{\text{x}}$ snao 'satisfy', dār³št < $\sqrt{\text{dars}}$ 'see' (?); see Tremblay 1999).
- Indo-Iranian s and \check{s} were voiced > z and \check{z} before b, d, g, j (Av. *s-di > $zd\bar{i}$, YAv. $v\bar{a}x\check{s}+-bi\check{s}>v\bar{a}y\check{z}^ibi\check{s}< v\check{a}k/c$ 'word').

Proto-Avestan consonant changes include the following:

- Between vowels (with some exceptions) $h > \eta h$ (with preceding $\bar{a} > \mathring{a}$); final $-ah > -\bar{o}$, final $\bar{a}h > -\mathring{a}$; alternating with s in sandhi (manah: nom.-acc. dual $manah\bar{a} \sim ins.$ sg. $mana\eta h\bar{a} \sim nom.$ -acc. sg. $man\bar{o}$ 'thought', $manasc\bar{a} \sim nom.$ -acc. pl. $man\mathring{a}$).
- Final proto-Iranian *-aŋh (< IIr. -ans) > *əŋh > OAv. -ōṇg, YAv. -ō (occasionally YAv. -a), sandhi -ōṇgs°, -ōs°, -as°; in YAv. *- μ ō > - μ ū (OAv. maṣiiōṇg 'mortals', sōṇghạscā 'announcements', YAv. vispō, haoma 'haomas', daēuuū/daēū 'demons').
- Proto-Iranian s, z (< IIr. c, f, f^n) > \check{s} before t and partly before n; they remain before m.

Indigenous Old Persian consonant alternations include the following:

- Final *-h in sandhi before enclitics in $c > -\delta$ (OP $ka\check{s}ciy$, $ci\check{s}ciy = Av$. $kas-ci\underline{t}$, $cisci\underline{t}$ 'anybody/thing');
 - Iranian $\theta i > \dot{s}iy$ (OInd. satya-, Av. hai θ iia-, OP ha $\dot{s}iya$ 'real, true').
 - Iranian $\theta r \sim \zeta^{13}$ (OInd. putra-, Av. pu θra -, OP pu ζa 'son').
- Old Persian θ , resulting from the Iranian morphophonological alternation $t \sim \theta$, spread to forms where it was not historically expected (e.g.,

 $g\bar{a}tu$ - 'place, throne': nom. * $g\bar{a}tu$ s, gen. * $g\bar{a}\theta\mu ah$, which produced a new stem $g\bar{a}\theta u$ -).

Most of the Old Persian ~ Median consonant alternations do not affect the morphology. The most important are the following:

Indo-Ir.	OP	Med.	Examples:
	(= Av.)		
*ć	θ	S	$a\theta$ anga ~ asan- 'stone'
*J ^(h)	d	Z	adānā 'knew' ~ vazarka- 'great'
*ću	S	sp	uvasa ~ uvaspa 'having good horses'
*ʃ ^(h) ŭ	Z	zb	hizānam 'tongue' ~ patiyazbayam 'I invoked'
*ti̯	šį	θį	hašiya 'real' ~ xšāyaθiya 'king'
*tr	ç	θr	<i>xšaça</i> 'command, empire' ~ x š $a\theta$ rita proper name

The dialect status of the two treatments of Indo-Iranian * $\acute{c}t$ and * $\acute{f}t$: $\check{s}t$ and st (Av. št), as in ${}^{h}ufraštam \sim {}^{h}ufrastam$ 'well-punished', is unclear.

2. Verb system

2.1. Introduction

The verb system contains finite and non-finite forms, distributed over the following categories:

- Tense or aspect, relating an action to the present time of the speaker as taking place before, during, or after and as having been completed or not.
 - *Mood*, describing the action as real, unreal, foreseen, etc.
- Voice, describing the action as affecting another (active), being done in the subject's interest (middle), or suffered by the subject (middle, passive). Finite forms have the categories of *number* and *person*, while the non-finite forms behave like nouns (infinitives) and adjectives (participles).

Like nouns, verb stems are classified as vocalic or consonantal. Stems ending in -a are called thematic; all the others are athematic: stems ending in consonants (including the IIr. laryngeal *H) or semi-vowels (i, u) or diphthongs.

The endings are basically the same in the two classes, but in the athematic conjugations frequent morphophonological changes result from the combinations of final consonant of the stem plus the initial consonant of the ending. The passage of athematic verbs into the thematic conjugation is frequent both in Avestan (where it is sometimes the result of poor manuscript transmission) and in Old Persian.

2.1.1. Tenses

The Old Avestan tenses are present 'he does, he is doing', imperfect 'he did, he was doing', aorist 'he did, he has done', perfect 'he has (always, never) done' or 'he did and now is' (present perfect), and probably a pluperfect in the function of preterite of the present perfect 'he had done and now was'.

The notion of past could be emphasized by the addition of the augment (a-) to the imperfect/injunctive and the aorist injunctive. In Old Persian, the past tenses always have the augment, but in Avestan the augment is

rare and its function not well understood. In Young Avestan, there are, moreover, very few clear examples of the augment, as the preverb \bar{a} - is frequently shortened to a- and the augment can be lengthened to \bar{a} -.

Young Avestan and Old Persian still have several agrist forms, but they are used mainly in the optative; the indicative and subjunctive are rare and limited to special, probably standard, formulaic contexts. The perfect is used in Young Avestan in its old functions, though there are few forms. The perfect optative is used as irrealis in both Young Avestan and Old Persian. The common past narrative tense is the present injunctive in Young Avestan and the augmented imperfect in Old Persian.

In both Young Avestan and Old Persian, the optative is used to express repeated or habitual past action (cf. English 'he would go'). It then takes the augment, regularly in Old Persian and occasionally in Young Avestan: YAv. auuaēnōiš 'you would see', auuarōit 'he would carry' (< bara-), apataiiən 'they would go about falling all over', aδaxšaiiaētā 'he would mark out(?)', *apərəsaiiatəm (mss. °saētəm) 'they [dual] would converse'; nišāδaiiōiš 'you would set down', xšaiiōiţ 'he would rule', frasrāuuaiiōiţ 'he would recite', fraorənaēta (< fra-uərən-) 'he would profess', frastarənaēta 'he would spread out', frāiiazaēta 'he would sacrifice'; OP avājaniyāt 'he would kill', akunavayaⁿtā 'they would do'.

A periphrastic perfect formed by the perfect participle in -ta plus the verb 'be' (similar to German Ich bin gewesen and French je suis allé) is seen occasionally in Young Avestan. In Old Persian, it appears to have replaced the old perfect indicative in its function of conclusive statement (ima taya manā kartam '[all] this that I have done'). 14

2.1.2. Moods

There are five moods: indicative 'he does, he is doing', subjunctive '(that) he (should) do', imperative 'do!' optative 'may he do, he should do, (I wish) he would do', and (present, aorist) injunctive 'he did, has done'.

The subjunctive is obtained from the indicative by the lengthening of the thematic vowel in the thematic conjugations and the addition of a thematic vowel in the athematic conjugations. The subjunctive forms of athematic verbs are therefore formally often indistinguishable from thematic indicative forms. To distinguish between the two moods, athematic verbs frequently adopt thematic subjunctive endings. The only subjunctive ending not found in the indicative is the 1st sg. sbjv. -ani, vs. ind. $-(\bar{a})mi$. In the optative, athematic verbs add the formant *- $i\bar{a}$ -/-i- (Av. - $ii\bar{a}$ -, OP $-iy\bar{a}$ -) to the root; thematic verbs add -i- to the thematic vowel to obtain the optative formant *-ai- (Av. - $a\bar{e}$ -, - $\bar{o}i$ -, -aii-, OP -ai-, -ay-). The stem of the imperative is identical with that of the indicative, but it has its own set of endings.

2.1.3. Voice

There are two voices: active and middle. Verbs can have active and/or middle forms. Some verbs have only active forms, some only middle

14. In Middle Persian and some other Middle Iranian languages this eventually became the regular past tense.

forms, and some both active and middle forms. Only in the third group can the middle forms have a special function, different from that of the active forms.

Intransitive verbs usually have only active or only middle forms, while transitive verbs can take both active and middle forms. If they do, then most often the distinction between the two forms is active: passive; more rarely the middle denotes that the action as being performed in the interest of the subject. This is the case when the verb also has a special passive form. Occasionally the middle forms of a verb have the same meaning as the active ones, especially in the case of verbs of motion. Examples:

- Middle verbs without active counterpart: maniia- 'think', yaza- 'to sacrifice', etc.
- Passive: aza- act. 'lead, take (away)' ~ mid. 'be led, taken away'; vaēnaact. 'see' ~ mid. 'be seen'; bara- act. 'carry' ~ mid. 'ride' (lit., be carried), etc.
- Action performed in the interest of the subject: paca- act. 'cook' ~ mid. 'cook for oneself'; var³δaiia- act. 'increase (something else), enlarge' ~ mid. 'increase (by oneself), become larger', etc.
- Same meaning as active: fracara- act., mid. 'go forth'; OP ah- 'be', ai-'go', both with 3rd sg. impf. act. ~ 3rd pl. impf. mid. ($\bar{a}ha \sim \bar{a}ha^n t\bar{a}$, $\bar{a}i\dot{s} \sim$ $\bar{a}va^nt\bar{a}$)
- Differentation of meaning: hišta- act. 'to stand = take up a position', mid. 'to stand = to be standing'; $da\delta\bar{a}$ -/ $da\delta$ - act. 'to make, give', mid. 'to take (on), receive'

The passive ('it is done, he is killed') can be expressed by middle forms or by a special present stem in -ia- (Av. -iia-, OP -iya-; see below 2.1.4.3).

A special Indo-Iranian 3rd sg. passive form was made from the aorist stem with the ending -i (OAv. -ī, YAv. -i). In Young Avestan, this form is also made from the present stem (ərənāuui 'was set in motion') and from the perfect stem ($\bar{a}^i \delta i$ 'is/was said'). The extension may have resulted from the fact that, in verbs with root-stem presents, the stems of the 3rd sg. passive and the present were the same; cf. jaini 'was smashed', present stem. jan-.

2.1.4. Stem formations

2.1.4.1. Ablaut in verbs

The athematic verbs, like the athematic nouns, show ablaut, typically distinguishing lengthened grade ~ full grade ~ zero grade in the root syllable (e.g., Av. mrao-/mru- 'say') or the stem formant (e.g., Av. -nao-/-nauu- ~ -nu-, OP -nau-/-nu-).

The lengthened grade is found only in the "Narten" presents, the s-aorist ind. sg., and in some 3rd sg. perfect forms of roots of the type C_1aC_2 :

- "Narten" present: OAv. stāumī 'I praise', YAv. nāismī 'I scorn' (for *nāin-mi after nāis-t < √naēd; Tremblay 1999)
- Aorist 2nd/3rd sg.: OAv. dāiš 'you showed/have shown', xšnāuš 'he has favored', dārəšt 'he has seen(?)'
- Perfect 3rd sg.: OAv. °nānāsā 'has perished', hišāiiā 'has bound', YAv. buuāuua 'has become', daδāra 'has held up'

The full grade is found in the present, agrist, and perfect sg. ind. (with the above exceptions), throughout the paradigms in the subjunctive, and in the sg. imperative, and, occasionally, in the 2nd plural present indicative and imperative.

The zero grade is found elsewhere: in the dual and plural of the present, aorist, and perfect, in the 3rd plural imperative, and throughout the optative.

In the 3rd plural present we often have ambikinetic ablaut and in the 2nd and 3rd sg. optative endings, apparently conditioned by (proto-Av.) stress patterns: unstressed zero grade of the root + stressed full grade of the ending (-ənti, -ən; -iiå-, -iiāt, e.g., ah- 'be': ah-mi ~ h-ənti ~ h-iiāt) or stressed full grade of the root + unstressed zero grade of the ending (-aiti and -at <*-nt(i); -īš, -īt, e.g., dā- 'place, give': dadā-itī ~ dad-aitī, dad-at ~ daid-īt).

2.1.4.2. Present system

Present stems are divided into athematic and thematic conjugations in the traditional manner. Each conjugation contains a miscellany of stem formations.

The athematic present conjugations.

In the athematic conjugations the present stems end in consonants or in a vowel, short or long (laryngeal stems). All athematic stems show ablaut, and numerous sandhi phenomena occur between the final consonants of the stem and the initial consonants of the endings.

There are the following types.

A. Root stems consist of the root itself, modified only by ablaut and internal sandhi changes, e.g., Av. ah-/as-/h- 'be', aē-/i- 'go', jan-/ja-/yn-'smash', vas-/us- 'wish', /stao-/stu- 'praise', mrao-/mru- 'say'; OP ah-/as-, ai-, dī- 'rob', jan-, pā- 'protect', ā-xšnau-/ā-xšnu- 'hear'.

A subgroup of root-presents are the so-called Narten presents, which have lengthened grade in the present indicative and injunctive singular (Av. $t\bar{a}\tilde{s}ti$, $n\bar{a}ist < \sqrt{naid}$).

- B. Reduplicated stems are identical with the root stems plus reduplication. The reduplication is of two types, either with a short vowel or with a long vowel or a diphthong or two consonants ("intensives").
- 1. Av. $da\delta\bar{a}$ -/ $da\delta$ 'place, give', $dida\bar{e}$ -/ di^idii 'see', $hi\bar{s}.hak$ -/ $hi\bar{s}c$ 'follow'; OP dadā- 'place, give'
- 2. Av. zaozao-/zaozu- 'keep invoking', carəkar-/carəkərə- 'keep singing', sasanh- 'keep announcing'
- C. Stems with *n*-infixes are originally roots of the type C_1VC_2 (or $C_1VC_2C_3$) which formed their present stems by infixing -n- before the last consonant C_1V -na- C_2 -/ C_1V -n- C_2 -. The root itself is always in the zero grade, while the suffix shows ablaut. There are three main types, those from roots ending in -u, in a laryngeal -H, or in another consonant.

- 1. Stems from roots ending in a consonant other than u or H, e.g., Av. cinah-/ciš- 'assign' (< *ciš-) < *ci-na-s-/*ci-n-s-; vinad-/vind- 'find' < *vi-na-d-/ vi-n-d-; * $m \ni r^{\ni} nak-/m \ni r^{\ni} nk-$ 'destroy' < *mr-na-k-/*mr-n-k-.
- 2. Stems from roots ending in H were originally of the type CV-na-H-/CV-n-H-. In Indo-Iranian, the laryngeal combined with the infix -na- to produce the suffix -nā-/-n-, e.g., YAv. frīnā-/frīn- 'receive as guest friend(?)' $< *fri-na-H-/*fri-n-H-; mi\theta n\bar{a}-/- 'dwell' < *mit-na-H-/*mit-n-H-; zānā-/zān-,$ OP dānā-/- 'know, savoir' < * jnH-na-H-/* jnH-n-H-; YAv. zīnā-/zīn-, OP dīnā-/-'take away' < *ji-na-H-/*ji-n-H-. Before consonant, the weak forms tend to lose their n, nasalizing the preceding vowels, sometimes also losing the nasalization, e.g., OAv. huuamahī < hunm-, friiamahī < frinm-, cīšmahī < cinšm-; YAv. viste < vįste < *vind-tai.
- 3. Stems from roots ending in μ were originally of the type CV-na-u-/CV-n-u-. The u combined with the infix -na- to produce the suffix -nau-/-nu-, e.g., Av. s^u runao-/ s^u runu- 'hear' < *sr-na-u-/*sr-n-u-; OAv. dəbənao- 'deceive'.

Often this stem-type is formed from roots that do not end in u, so descriptively we are dealing with a suffix -nao-/-nu-, e.g., Av. kərənao-/kərənu-, OP kunau-15 'do, make' <√kar (pptc. Av. kərəta-, OP karta-); YAv. ašnao-/ašnu- 'reach' $<*Hn\acute{c}-nau-$ (inf. OAv. $\bar{a}\check{z}dii\bar{a}i$ $<*a_d-$ or $\bar{a}-a_d-$); srinao-/srinu- 'lean' < *sri-nau- (pptc. srita-); Av. -/daržnu-, OP daršnau- 'dare' < *dṛš-nau-.

The thematic present conjugations.

In the thematic conjugations all the present stems end in the vowel a. There are the following subgroups:

- A. Stems with a added to the root
- 1. Root with zero grade: Av. visa- 'be ready', hərəza- 'release'; OP 'marda-'wipe', °harda- 'release', etc.
- 2. Root with full grade: Av. bara- 'carry', naiia- 'lead', maēza- 'urinate', bauua- 'become', saoca- 'burn'; OP bara-, naya-, vaina- 'see', bava-, etc. This is the most common type.
 - 3. Root with long grade: Av. brāza- 'shine', frāda- 'make prosper', etc.
 - B. Stems with *ia* added to the root
- 1. The root has the zero or full grade: Av. paⁱδiia- 'lie down', spasiia-'keep an eye on', maniia- 'think', uxšiia- 'grow', $bu^i\delta$ iia- 'notice', miriia-'die', θrāiia- 'protect'; OP jadiya- 'ask for', durujiya- 'tell a lie', mariya- 'die', "stāya- 'place', etc. In Avestan, the type with long \bar{a} usually has its \bar{a} shortened: °staiia-; this type is different from the type in -aia-, which has short a in both Avestan and OP (see below). Av. zaiia- 'be born' is from *zāja-< * ʃnH-ia- (OInd. jāya-).

To this class belong the passive forms in -ja-, derived from transitive verbs (see below).

15. For *kṛṇau-, with irregular sound development in high-frequency word.

- 2. Stems in $-\bar{a}\underline{i}a->$ Av. $-\bar{a}iia-:$ $g \ni^u ruu\bar{a}iia-$ 'seize', OP $garb\bar{a}ya-$. These few verbs may have alternate present stems in $-n\bar{a}-/-n-$ (Av. $g \ni r \ni \beta n\bar{a}-$, OInd. $grbhn\bar{a}-$).
- 3. Denominative verbs: $a\bar{e}na\acute{\eta}ha$ 'comit sin against' < $a\bar{e}nah$ 'sin', $bi\check{s}aziia$ and $ba\bar{e}\check{s}aziia$ 'heal' < $bi\check{s}az$ 'doctor', $ba\bar{e}\check{s}aza$ 'medicine', $n\partial ma$ $\acute{s}aza$ 'do homage' < $n\partial mah$ 'homage', etc.; OP patiy-avahaya- 'pray for help in turn'; cf. Av. auuah- 'help'.
- C. Stems with *aia* added to the root. These stems are typically transitive (a few exceptions).
- 1. Root with zero grade, including roots in \bar{a} : Av. $b \rightarrow \bar{a}$ iar'strengthen(?)', $sa\delta aiia$ 'appear, seem' ($< \sqrt{s}$ and), zbaiia- 'invoke' ($< \sqrt{z}$ b \bar{a}), etc., OP $\theta adaya$ -, zbaya-, etc.
- 2. Root with full grade: Av. apaiia- 'reach' (< *āpaia-), daēsaiia- 'show', baṇdaiia- 'bind', dar³zaiia- 'chain', etc.; OP °taraya- 'traverse', °gaudaya-'hide'
 - 3. Root with lengthened grade:
 - a. Non-causatives: Av. dāraiia- 'hold', etc.; OP dāraya-
 - b. Causatives, see below
 - 4. Denominatives: Av. ar²zaiia- 'do battle' < ar²za- 'battle'
- D. Stems with *-sa-* (OInd. *-ccha-*) added to the root in the zero grade. This is a very common type in Old Iranian.
- 1. Root with zero grade: Av. *jasa-* 'come' $< \sqrt{\text{gam}}$; 'iiasa-, OP 'yasa- 'grab' < iam-; Av. $t \rightarrow r^3 sa$ -, OP tarsa-, 'fear', etc. Several of these stems have inchoative meaning, that is, 'start becoming something, to become something': tafsa- 'become hot', $t \rightarrow r^3 sa$ -'become afraid', etc. In later Iranian this type became a common passive formation.
 - 2. Roots in long ā: Av. yāsa- 'ask for', OP xšnāsa- 'know, connaître'
- E. Stems with *hiia/šiia* added to the root in the full grade. This is the future tense (see below).
- F. Stems in *-aniia-: zaraniia-* 'become angry' (cf. OInd. *hṛṇīya-*) < *zaran-* 'make angry', *pər³saniia-* 'discuss' (probably secondary after *viiāxmaniia-* 'discuss, debate[?]', denominative of *viiāxman-* 'verbal contest[?]')
 - G. Vestigial stem formations:
- -*uua*-: Av. *juua*-, OP *jīva* 'live', Av. *fiiaŋ*^v*ha* 'hail', 'ha^uruua- 'guard'; expanded by -*aiia*-: $ra\bar{e}\theta\beta aiia$ 'mingle' (with $\theta\beta < \theta\mu$), ta^u ruuaiia- 'overcome' (cf. OInd. $t\bar{u}rva$ -, $t\bar{u}rvaya$ -)
- -da-: only in * $x^{\nu}abda$ 'sleep' in $ni.x^{\nu}abdaiia$ 'put to sleep' and auua- $\eta^{\nu}habda$ 'go to sleep' $<\sqrt{x^{\nu}ap}$
 - *-ha-/-ša-: only in baxša- 'distribute' < √bag

Others are secondary formations of athematic verbs with n-infix: YAv. *mərənca-* 'destroy' < OAv. *mərə-n-k-*, YAv. *vinda-* 'find' < OAv. *vi-na-d-*.

- I. Stems with reduplication and *a* added to the root:
- 1. With simple reduplication: Av. hišta-, OP (h)išta- 'stand' $< \sqrt{sta}$, Av. °hiδa- 'sit' < √had (< IE *sīda- < *si-zda- < √sed), hišmara- 'memorize, recite' < √hmar
- 2. With strong reduplication ('intensives'): Av. yžara- 'gurgle' $<\sqrt{\text{gžar}}$, jayna-'smash to pieces' $<\sqrt{\text{gan}}$
- J. Stems with reduplication and $ha/\check{s}a$ added to the root ('desideratives'): OAv. dīdrayža- 'wish to hold firmly' < \(\sqrt{dra}(n)g\), Av. zixšnånha- 'wish to know' $< \sqrt{x}$ šnā, $m\bar{t}mar^{3}x$ ša- 'wish to destroy' $< \sqrt{mark}$; susruša- 'wish to hear' < √srao/sru; sixša- 'learn' < *si(š)xša- (< √sak; cf. sācaiia- 'teach'); diβža-'wish to deceive' $< *di(d)\beta \check{z}a^{-16} < \sqrt{dab}$

2.1.4.3. Derived conjugations

The future, passive, and causative are part of the verbal system, the future contrasting with other tenses and the passive and causative being part of the intransitive: transitive oppositions.

Future

The future is formed with hiia/šiia/siia added to the root in the full grade. There are no forms attested in Old Persian.

Present stem	Future
$da\delta\bar{a}$ - 'place, give'	dāhiia-
zaiia- 'be born'	ząhiia-
vana- 'overcome'	vańha-
vaēδaiia- 'inform'	*vaēsiia-
naiia- 'lead'	naēšiia-
sāuuaiia- 'revitalize'	saošiia-
hunauu-/hunu- 'press (the haoma)'	haošiia-
bauua- 'become'	būšiia-
<i>hər</i> ^ə za- 'release'	har ^ə šiia-
mrao- (aor. vaoca-) 'say'	vaxšiia-

Passive

The passive is formed with the suffix -ia-, with the root in the zero or full grade: Av. kiriia- 'be made', janiia- 'be smashed', bairiia- 'be carried'; OP kariya-, janiya-, θahaya- 'be announced', bariya-, etc. In Avestan, this form normally takes the middle endings, but in Young Avestan and Old Persian active endings are also well attested (Skjærvø 1999b: 187). In Young Avestan, it is sometimes not possible to decide from the manuscript readings whether the original form of a 3rd sg. or pl. is -ti, -nti or -te, -nte (Kellens 1984: 129-30).

16. Actually: *di-db- $\check{z}^h a$ - < *di- db^h + sa- < \sqrt{dabh} . In OInd. the group $b\check{z}$ was replaced by ps, e.g., dipsa-, restoring the familar suffix -sa-, š (s) being impossible after p.

Present stem	Passive	
	YAv.	OP
daēsaiia- 'show'	disiia-	
sāuuaiia- 'revitalize'	sŭiia-	
kər ^ə nauu-/kunau- 'do'	kiriia-	kariya-
kər ^ə ṇta- 'cut'	kər∍θiia-	
garbāya- OP 'seize'		*garbiya- (*grabiya-?)
daδā- 'place, give'	daiia-	
bara- 'carry'	ba ⁱ riia-	
x ^v ara- 'eat'	x ^v a ⁱ riia-	
jan- 'smash'	janiia-	janiya-
apaiia- 'reach' (for āp-)	āfiia-	
vaza- 'convey'	vaziia-	
yaza-/yada- 'sacrifice'	yeziia-	yadiya-

Causative

The causative is formed with the suffix -aia- on the lengthened (or full) grade of the root. In Avestan, a long \bar{a} may be shortened. The causative is transitive when it corresponds to a passive or intransitive verb. It is factitive ('make somebody do something') when it corresponds to a transitive verb.

Present stem		Causative:
suiia- 'be revitalized'	~	săuuaiia 'revitalize'
surunau- 'hear'	~	srāuuaiia- 'make heard > recite, sing'
taca- 'run, flow'	~	tācaiia- 'make run, flow'
vifiia- 'be buggered'	~	vaēpaiia- 'bugger'
<i>d^ab^anao-</i> 'deceive'	~	dābaiia- 'make deceive'
hiš.hak-, haca- 'follow'	~	hācaiia- 'make follow'

2.1.4.4. The aorist

There are four main stem formations of the aorist: (1) the root aorist; (2) the thematic agrists identical with those of the corresponding present conjugations; (3) the s-aorist made by adding IIr. *s (> Ir. $h/\dot{s}/s$) to the root, which has the long grade in the sg. indicative, elsewhere the full grade; and (4) the reduplicated thematic agrist with zero grade of the root. Some verbs have both root- and s-aorist forms (manh-/mas- ~ man- 'think').

Examples of s-aorists: indicative: $m\bar{\partial}\eta h\bar{\iota} < man-h-i$ 'I have thought', 'vas < *van-s-t 'he has won', ståηhat < *stā-h-nt 'they have stood', xšnāu-š 'he has favored', frašī 'I consulted' $< *frać-š-i (\sqrt{pars/fras 'ask'});$ subjunctive: $var \rightarrow s\bar{a}$ $< *varj-\check{s}-\bar{a}$ 'I shall perform', $j\bar{\partial}ngha^it\bar{\iota} < *jan-h-$ 'he shall come' (\sqrt{gam}); imperative *θrāzdūm* 'protect!'

2.1.4.5. The perfect¹⁷

The perfect stem is formed by reduplication. The verbs $\bar{a}d$ - $/\bar{a}\delta$ - 'to say' and $va\bar{e}d$ - $/va\bar{e}\delta$ - $(va\bar{e}\theta$ -) 'know' lack the reduplication (table 23). The only Old Persian example is *caxr*-, weak stem of **cakār*- < \sqrt{kar} 'do'.

17. Many perfect forms are found only in the Frahang i Oim, a list of Avestan words translated into Pahlavi.

The perfect has a similar distribution of strong and weak stems as the athematic verbs: strong stem in the indicative singular and the subjunctive (some exceptions), weak stem elsewhere (the stem $\bar{a}d$ -/ $\bar{a}\delta$ - 'say' is invariable).

The vowel of the reduplicated syllable is usually a, i, or u, in harmony with the vowel of the root, e.g., ca-kan- $< \sqrt{kan}$ 'love', da- δa - $< \sqrt{d\bar{a}}$; ci- $ka\bar{e}\theta$ - $<\sqrt{k}a\bar{e}\theta/ci\theta$ 'distinguish', $iri-ri\theta-<\sqrt{ra}e\theta/ri\theta$ 'pass away'; $uru-rao\delta-<\sqrt{ra}od/$ rud 'weep, howl'; $hu\check{s}x^vaf$ - (for * $hu\check{s}uuaf$ -) < $\sqrt{x^vap}$ 'sleep'.

Exceptions to this rule include a few verbs whose reduplication syllable has long \bar{a} : $d\bar{a}$ - dar^3s - $<\sqrt{va\bar{e}n/dars}$ 'see', $d\bar{a}dr$ - (YAv. $da\delta r$ -) $<\sqrt{dar}$ 'hold', $p\bar{a}$ -fr- < \sqrt{par} 'fill', $c\bar{a}$ -xr- < \sqrt{kar} . The stem $b\bar{a}buu$ - < \sqrt{bao} /bu 'become' is probably for * $b\bar{a}uu$ - (< * $b\bar{b}\beta\mu$ -), with reintroduction of the b of the stem, while buuāuu- < *buβāu-.

Roots beginning with \bar{a} - have long \bar{a} - in the perfect: $\bar{a}p$ - $<\sqrt{a}p$ 'reach', $a\eta h$ - < $\sqrt{a}h$ 'be'; roots beginning with $a\bar{e}$ -/i- have perfect stem in $iia\bar{e}$ - (commonly spelled $ia\bar{e}$ -, $ya\bar{e}$ -): $iia\bar{e}$ -/ $ia\bar{e}$ - < \sqrt{a} e 'go', $ya\bar{e}$ s- (for * $iia\bar{e}$ s-) < \sqrt{a} eš/is'seek'.

2.1.4.6. Suppletive stem systems

There are a few suppletive verb systems, e.g., Av. pres. mrao-, aor., perf. vac-'say'; Av. pres. vaēna-, OP pres. vaina-, Av. aor., perf. dar³s- 'see', OP impv. dī- (cf. MPers. pres. stem wēn-, past stem dīd-).

2.2. Endings

There are two main types of endings: those of the present and aorist systems, and those of the perfect system. Both these groups are further subdivided into primary and secondary endings, a classification whose main merit is on the diachronic level. Among the primary endings, there are three sub-groups: those of the present indicative/subjunctive, those of the imperative, and those of the perfect. The subjunctive takes both primary and secondary endings.

The primary endings differ from the secondary endings in various ways, sometimes by additional elements or vowel alternations. Thus, the primary endings of the 2nd and 3rd singular and the 3rd plural active and middle differ from the secondary endings as follows in the present ind. and inj.: primary thematic act. $-i \sim \text{mid.} *-ai (> -ie)$, secondary $-\emptyset \sim -a$ in the 2nd and 3rd sg. and 3rd pl.; 2nd sg. $-ahi \sim -\bar{o}$ (< *-ah), mid. $-a\eta he \sim -a\eta ha$; 3rd sg. $-a^i ti$ ~ -at, mid. -aite ~ -ata; 3rd pl. -ənti ~ *-ant > -ən, mid. -ənte ~ -ənta. The 1st sg. active has the endings primary thematic $-\bar{a}$, $-\bar{a}mi$ (sbjv. $-\bar{a}$, $-\bar{a}ni$) ~ secondary -əm, the 1st pl. active primary -āmahi ~ secondary -āma, etc. Among the dual endings note the opposition dual $uu \sim pl. m$ in the 1st pl.

In Avestan, the 3rd pl. middle has some endings with r (- ^{i}re , - ^{r}am) instead of *nt*; corresponding to 3rd sg. endings without t(-ie, -am), cf. perf. act. 3rd sg. $-a \sim 3$ rd pl. $-ar^{3}$. Endings with -r are found in the 3rd pl. opt. act. $(-ii\bar{a}r^3\check{s})$ and plupf. act. $(-\partial r^3\check{s} < *-r\check{s})$. Avestan does not have r-endings in the present or agrist (different from OInd.). In the following it is understood that the Old Avestan forms all have long final vowels $(-\bar{a}, -\bar{e}, -\bar{o}, -\bar{i}, -\bar{u})$.

1st singular active The primary ending is athematic -mi; the thematic ending *- \bar{a} (< IIr. - \bar{a} , Greek - \bar{o}) is still found in Avestan (OAv. - \bar{a} , YAv. -a), but - \bar{a} mi, OP - \bar{a} miy is more common. The subjunctive has - \bar{a} or athematic -ani, thematic -ani, OP -aniy, -aniy. There is no 1st pers. imperative. The secondary ending is -am after vowel, but -am, OP -am after consonant. The primary ending of the perfect is -a0 (< IIr. -a1).

2nd singular active The 2nd sg. ending typically contains the consonants $h/\check{s}/s$ in all forms except the imperative and perfect active. The primary ending is athematic - $hi/-\check{s}i/-si$, thematic Av. -ahi, OP -ahay, -ahiy. The secondary ending is athematic *- $h/-\check{s}/-s$, thematic *-ah, Av. - \bar{o} , OP - a^h . The YAv. 2nd sg. sbjv. form - $\bar{a}i$ for - $\bar{a}hi$, not infrequently found in late manuscripts, is probably a feature of late, unskilled pronunciation. The thematic active imperative has no ending and so ends in -a (OAv., OP - \bar{a}). The athematic imperative ends in OAv. - $d\bar{i}$, YAv. - $di/-\delta i$, OP - $d\bar{i}y$. The primary ending of the perfect is Av. - $\theta \bar{a}$ (- $t\bar{a}$ after s).

3rd singular active The endings of the 3rd sg. active are primary athematic Av. -*ti*, OP -*tiy* and secondary -*t*, OP - \emptyset , -*š*, thematic Av. -*aⁱti*, -*at*, OP -*atiy*, -*a^t*). The OP ending -*š* is most easily explained by a proportion: 2nd sg. *abara^h* : 3rd sg. *abara^t* = 2nd sg. $\bar{a}i\check{s}$: 3rd sg. $X \Rightarrow X = \bar{a}i\check{s}$ 'he came' (see Allegri and Panaino 1995). The imperative ending is -*tu*, thematic -*atu*, OP -*tuv*, -*atuv*. The primary ending of the perfect is Av. - \bar{a} (< IIr. -*a*).

1st plural active The 1st plural active endings are primary -mahi, OP -mahay, thematic -āmahi, OP -āmahay, secondary -ma, thematic -āma, OP -āmā.

2nd plural active The 2nd pl. active endings are primary $-\theta a$ ($-t\bar{a}$ after sibilants), thematic $-a\theta a$, secondary -ta, OP $-t\bar{a}$, thematic Av. -ata.

3rd plural active The endings of the 3rd pl. active are primary *-anti, OP - a^n tiy, or *-ati (<- η ti) and secondary -n/- ∂n /- ∂n /- ∂n . OP - ∂n . On the vowel gradation see above, 2.1.4.1. The endings without n are rare in Young Avestan, where the (thematic) full grade is common. The imperative ending is - $\partial \eta$ tu. The ending of the perfect is primary - ∂n and secondary - ∂n for the pluperfect (Jasanoff 1997). The athematic endings of the 3rd pl. optative are - ∂n are - ∂n which alternate without any (as yet) known principle of distribution. The YAv. thematic 3rd pl. optative ends in - ∂n (- ∂n), which is identical with the 3rd pl. indicative of ∂n alia-stems. In ∂n are set the original ending was therefore - ∂n which regularly became - ∂n and often corrupted to - ∂n and then replaced by the more familiar - ∂n . Similarly the 3rd pl. optative active ended in - ∂n are familiar - ∂n similarly the 3rd pl. optative active ended in - ∂n are familiar - ∂n similarly the 3rd pl. optative active ended in - ∂n are familiar - ∂n sa replacement for the expected *- ∂n are similar.

^{18.} The final superscripts in Old Persian are etymological indicators, not necessarily phonetic. The following analogy proportion, in fact, suggests strongly that they were not pronounced.

^{19.} See my review (1998) of Kellens (1995).

1st dual active The endings of the dual (only OAv.) parallel those of the plural, with uu instead of m: primary -uuahī, secondary -uuā.

2nd dual active No examples.

3rd dual active The primary endings of the 3rd dual active are thematic $-a\theta\bar{o}$ and $-at\bar{o}$, which correspond to OInd. 2nd dual -athah vs. 3rd dual -atah. The secondary ending is Av. -təm, thematic -atəm, OP -atam. The primary ending of the perfect is -atar³.

1st singular middle The ending of the 1st sg. middle is primary *-ai $(OAv. -\bar{o}i, -\dot{e}e, YAv. -\dot{e}e)$, OP -aiy, secondary -i, OP -iy; sbjv. -āi, -āne, OP -ānaiy. The optative has the ending -a (OAv. $-\bar{a}$, YAv. -a).

2nd singular middle The endings of the 2nd sg. middle are primary *-hai/-sai/-sai, athematic Av. *-he, -se, thematic Av. *-ahe, OP -ahaiy, and secondary *-ha/-ša/-sa, athematic Av. -ša, OP -šā, thematic Av. -aŋha. There is no ending corresponding to OInd. -thāh. The imperative ending is -huua/-šuua/-suua (dasuua < *dad-sua), OP -šuvā, thematic Av. - $a\eta^{\nu}$ ha, OP -ahuvā.

3rd singular middle The ending of the 3rd sg. middle is primary *-tai, OP -taiy (graphically indistinguishable from -tiy, both <-t-i-y>), thematic Av. $-a^{i}te$, OP -ataiy, and secondary -ta, OP $-t\bar{a}$, thematic -ata, OP $-at\bar{a}$. The imperative middle ending is Av. athematic -tam, thematic -atam. There is also a series of archaic Av. athematic endings without -t-: pres. *-ai (YAv. mruiie 'is spoken', niyne 'is smashed down'), impv. aor. -am (OAv. dam 'let it be placed', ucam 'let it be spoken').

1st plural middle The ending of the 1st pl. middle is OAv. primary *-madai and secondary -maidī. In Young Avestan, -mai δe (-maide) was generalized.

2nd plural middle The primary ending of the 2nd pl. middle was Ir. *-duai. In Old Avestan, this developed normally to *-du $u^i\bar{e} >$ -duii \bar{e} , while in Young Avestan, where intervocalic $d > \delta$, the u developed normally $> \beta$. The YAv. ending must originally have been *- $\delta \beta e$, but the only example has $-\theta \beta e$, with the (sporadic) change of $d > \theta$. The secondary ending—also imperative—was proto-Iranian *-duam. This developed normally to *- $du\mu \ni m > OAv. -d\bar{\mu}m$, but YAv. - $\delta\beta \ni m$.

3rd plural middle The endings of the 3rd pl. middle are primary *-ntai and secondary *-nta (postconsonantal zero grade -aite and -ata). There is also an archaic athematic ending YAv. $-re/-\bar{a}^i re$ (coupled with 3rd sg. in YAv. -e). The imperative ends in athematic pres. -ram (OAv. $j\bar{\nu}$ aram 'let them be smashed'), thematic -əntam. The perfect optative has -irəm < *-ī-ram (only YAv. vaozirəm 'they would have driven').

1st dual middle The endings of the dual (only OAv.) parallel those of the plural, with *uu* instead of *m*: secondary *-uuaidī*.

2nd dual middle No examples.

 $3rd\ dual\ middle$ The attested endings of the 3rd dual middle are primary OAv. $-a\bar{e}t\bar{e}$, YAv. $-\bar{o}i\theta e$, secondary OAv. $-\bar{a}t\partial m$, YAv. $-at\partial m$ (aiia-stems: $-aiiat\partial m$). The ending of the perfect is $-\bar{a}^ite$.

3rd sg. past passive The 3rd sg. passive has the ending $-\bar{i}$: OAv. (aor.) $auu\bar{a}c\bar{i}$, $v\bar{a}c\bar{i} < \sqrt{v}ak/vac$ 'speak, say', $sr\bar{a}uu\bar{i}$ ' $\sqrt{s}rao$ 'hear'; YAv. pres. $\partial r^{\partial n}auu\bar{i} < \partial r^{\partial n}ao - < \sqrt{a}$ 'set in motion', $ja^{i}ni$; perf. $\bar{a}^{i}\delta i < \sqrt{a}$ 'say, speak'.

2.3. Non-finite forms

The non-finite forms of the verb are the participles, a set of verbal adjectives expressing necessity, and the infinitives.

2.3.1. Present and aorist active participles

The active present participles of the present and aorist stems end in -nt-, those from the perfect stem end in -uuah-/-uš-.

The athematic verbs form the present participle from the weak stem of the root, while participles from thematic verbs have fixed stems in *-ant-(-ant-)*.

Participles from athematic verbs have strong stems in -aṇt- and weak stems in -at- (secondarily -āt-) and are declined like adjectives in -aṇt-, e.g., hatō, gen. sg. of haṇt- 'being'. Participles from "Narten" presents have fixed weak stem (OAv. stauuat- < *stáuṇt 'praising', YAv. mruuat-).

Examples:

Present OAv. athematic h
onumber n present OAv. athematic h
onumber n present OAv. athematic h
onumber n present (growing', h
onumber n present), h
onumber n present one of the matter one of the matter of the ma

Aorist OAv. root. dant-, hanant- 'gaining'; YAv. "buuant-

2.3.2. Perfect active participles

The perfect participle active is formed with the formant -uuah-/-uš- from the weak stem with the exception of YAv. vauuanuuah- 'having won' and haŋhanuš- 'having gained'. The only Old Avestan forms are vīduuah-/vīduš- 'knowing', nasuuah- (< na-ns-) 'having lost'. The Young Avestan forms vī δ uuah- and da δ uuah- must have replaced *vī δ pah- and *da δ pah- under the influence of (?) OAv. vīduuah- and *daduuah-.

In Young Avestan, both stems are attested of the following verbs (note the sound changes):

	strong stem	weak stem
√kaēθ 'distinguish(?)'	cikiθβah-, ciciθβah-	ciciθuš-
√dā 'place, give'	daδuuah-	daθuš-
√uid 'find, know'	vīδuuah-	vīθuš-
√uak 'say, speak'	vaox ^v ah-	vaokuš-
√uan 'overcome'	vauuanuuah-	vaonuš-
√iat 'lign up'	yōiθβah-	yaētuš-
√zā 'leave behind, win'	zazuuah-	zazuš-

Other forms include strong stems: *jaynuuah-* < \sqrt{gan/jan; *taršuuah-*(< *tatšuah-) < √taš 'fashion';—weak stems ånhuš- < √āh 'sit'; jaymuš-< √gam/jam 'come'; hanhanuš- < √han; mamnuš- < √man 'think'; tatkuš- $<\sqrt{\text{tak 'flow'}}; v\bar{a}uu\partial r^{\partial}zu\check{s}-<\sqrt{\text{varz 'produce'}}.$

There are two kinds of adjectives related to the perfect participle and with similar function:

- 1. In -u-: OAv. vīdu-, YAv. viθu- 'knowing', YAv. zazu- 'winner' (both only nom. sg.), and YAv. jiyāuru- 'waking' (only acc. sg.)
- 2. In $-\theta \beta an$: OAv. $cici\theta \beta an$ 'he who has taken notice' (cf. OInd. cikit*van-*), YAv. $y\bar{o}i\theta\beta$ an- 'he who has taken up his position' (both only nom. sg. $^{\circ}\theta\beta\bar{a}$); note also fracar $^{\circ}\theta\beta\dot{a}$ 'the one walking'

2.3.3. Middle participles

The middle participles of thematic verbs end in Av. -əmna-, OP -amna-, those of athematic verbs, including the perfect, end in Av. -ana-, with the exception of the OAv. pres. frīnəmna- 'seeking to win as guest friend(?)' and s-aor. xšnaošəmna- 'seeking to obtain the favor (of)':20 YAv. pres. aojāna-< √aog 'speak', ynāna- < √gan/jan, mruuāna- < √mrao 'say', hunuuana- <√hao 'press (haoma)', daθāna- <√dā 'give, place'; s-aor. $ma\eta h\bar{a}na - < \sqrt{man' think'}$, $mar^2x\bar{s}\bar{a}na - < \sqrt{mark' destroy'}$; perf. OAv. $\bar{a}pana - \sqrt{man' think'}$ $<\sqrt{a}$ p 'reach', vāuuərəzāna-, YAv. apāna-, da δ rāna- $<\sqrt{d}$ ar 'hold', ha η hanāna-< √han 'gain', ⁱririθāna- < √raēθ 'die', mamnāna- < √man 'think', pāpər^ətāna-< √part 'fight', vauuazāna- < √vaz (mid.) 'fly', zazarāna- < √zar 'be angry'.

The stem vowel of the thematic participles undergoes the usual changes in iia-stems, after a palatal consonant, and after -uu-: YAv. mainimna-< maniia- 'think'; daomna- < dauua- 'deceive', hacimna- < haca- (mid.) 'be followed (by)'; but the 'regular' forms have often been reintroduced in the iia-stems (cf. Y 68.21, mss. jaiδiiamnå, jaiδimnå < jaiδiia- 'ask for'). In the aiia-stems, only forms in -aiiamna- are attested.

2.3.4. Past participles

The past participle (Eng. 'done, killed') has the ending -ta-, before which the regular sound changes take place (except aoxta- < aog- 'speak', with invariable diphthong). The ending is, if possible, added to the zero grade of the root of the verb:

- Roots ending in diphthong/short vowel: δuta 'impelled' $< \sqrt{\delta} u$
- Roots ending in long vowels: dāta- 'placed, given' < √dā, stāta- 'stood'
- Roots ending in stops: *uxta-* 'spoken' < √vak, *dapta-* 'deceived' < √dab, Av., OP *basta-* 'bound' < √band
- Roots ending in sibilants and h: spašta- 'seen' < √spas, varšta- 'produced' $< \sqrt{\text{varz}}$, x^{ν} asta- 'thrashed' $< \sqrt{\text{x}}^{\nu}$ ah
 - Roots ending in nasals: *mata* 'thought' < √man
- Roots ending in r: $k \ni r \ni ta$ -'done, made' $< \sqrt{kar}$, $st \ni r \ni ta$ -'stunned' $< \sqrt{star}$, star²ta- 'spread out' < √starH

20. See Kellens 1984: 397; Skjærvø 2004.

2.3.5. Verbal adjectives in -θa-, -θβa-, and -iia-

Avestan has some adjectives derived from verbs which express 'that which should or can be done, what is to be done' (participles of necessity), similar in function to the Latin gerundive.

One group of adjectives has suffixes $-\theta a$ - (frequently $-\delta a$ - by internal sandhi; e.g., $ux\delta a$ - 'to be spoken', $\theta raf\delta a$ - 'to be satisfied', $fri\theta a$ - 'to be made friendly') or $-\theta \beta a$ - (-tuua- by internal sandhi; e.g., $ma\theta \beta a$ - $vax^{\vartheta}\delta \beta a$ - varštuua- 'which ought to be thought, spoken produced'); the others have the suffix -iia- (e.g., bərəjiia- 'to be strengthened (?)', išiia- 'to be sped (along)', karšiia- 'to be tilled, plowed'), which was also attached to nouns (e.g., yesniia- vahmiia-'worthy of sacrifices and hymns', Yt. 13.153). The formant $-\theta \beta a$ - as the "most characterized" seems to have been productive; cf. $ya\check{s}^{\partial}\theta\beta a$ - 'to be sacrificed' for *yaštuua.

From past participles and participles of necessity abstract nouns in -tacould be made: yesniiata- vahmiiata- xšnaoθβata- frasastata- 'sacrificeworthiness, hymning-worthiness, satisfaction-worthiness, glorificationworthiness' (Yt. 8.50).

There is a similar, moderately productive suffix -tuant-: stər³θβant-'(weapon) containing = causing paralysis', fracar $^{3}\theta\beta$ ant- 'going forth' (nom. sg. $fracar^{2}\theta \beta a$, Y 62.8), $v\bar{u}uu\partial r^{2}zduuant$ - 'seeking to bloat (one's possessions?)' (gen. sg. vīvərəzduuatō, Y 9.30).

2.3.6. Infinitives

Infinitives may be formed from the root or the present stem. There are two sets of infinitives: those ending in OAv. -diiāi/YAv. -δiiāi, which have medial function, and the others, which are neutral with respect to voice.

The middle infinitives in *-diiāi* can be made from the present stem: OAv. athematic jaidiiāi 'to be smashed', nī.məraždiiāi 'to be wiped out', mərəngdiiāi 'to be destroyed'; thematic diβžaⁱdiiāi 'to be deceived', vər^əziieⁱdiiāi 'to be produced', θrāiiō.diiāi 'to be protected'; YAv. athematic dazdiiāi 'to be placed/given'; frā.vərəndiiāi 'to be chosen'; thematic vazai \(\delta\)iiāi 'to be conveyed';—or from the agrist stem: athematic OAv. uzərəidiiāi 'to rise up', dər^{əi}diiāi 'to be (up)held'.

The neutral (active/middle) infinitives in Old Avestan comprise a large number of formations that are formally similar to dative singular forms: *-ai (pōi 'to protect'), *-uai (dāuuōi 'to give', vīduiiē 'to know'), *-tai (gat.tōi 'to come', stōi 'to be', itē 'to go', sastē 'to announce'), *-manai (xšēnmainē 'to[?]') *-uanai (vīduuanōi 'to know', uruuānē < *ṛ-uanai[?] 'to *obtain'), *-ahai (frādaýhē 'to further', srāuuaiiaýhē 'to make heard').

The Young Avestan neutral infinitive is identical with the dative of an action noun in -ti, with the ending -tēe, -taiiaē°, e.g.: uxtēe 'to speak', varštēe 'to perform', paitištātaiiaē° 'to withstand'. It is sometimes not clear whether we have an infinitive or an action noun in -ti.21

The verb ah- has the infinitive $st\bar{e}$ 'to be'. Old Persian has an infinitive in -tanaiy made from the root in the full grade: kantanaiy, bartanaiy, cartanaiy (< √kar).

21. Note that the instrumental of such nouns is very common, e.g., uxti 'by saying'.

2.4. Verbal prefixes (preverbs)

The preverbs are the common Indo-Iranian ones. In Avestan, but not in Old Persian, the preverbs may be detached from the main verb, usually preceding it, sometimes fronted to the beginning of the clause, sometimes separated by one word only; they may also be lowered to the end of the clause (or metrical half-line). In Old Avestan, they are often repeated directly before the verb, but without counting metrically. They are also separated from the verb by the conjunction -ca. When a verb with preverb is repeated, only the preverb may be repeated. In Young Avestan, a verb can, apparently, have two preverbs, e.g., paiti.auua.jasa-' to come down hither'.

Old Avestan examples:

- hiiat tā hēm maniiū jasaētem (< ham.jasa-) 'when those two inspirations come together' (Y 30.4)
- vər^əziiō.tūcā īţ ahmāi fracā vātōiiō.tū īţ (< fra.vātaiia-) 'Let him (or her) both keep producing it for this one and keep making it known!' (Y 35.6)
- hātam huuō aojištō yahmāi zauuēng jimā *kəroduš ā (< ā.jam-) 'He is strongest of (all) beings for whom I come to (his) calls (even if they are) weak(?)' (Y 29.3)
- *apānō darəgō.jiiāitīm ā xšaθrəm vaŋhōuš manaŋhō* (< *ā-ap-*) 'having obtained long life, having (obtained) the command of good thought' (Y 33.5)
- \bar{a} $m\bar{a}$ $[\bar{a}]$ $id\bar{u}m$ $vahišt\bar{a}$ \bar{a} $x^vai\theta ii\bar{a}c\bar{a}$ $mazd\bar{a}$ $dar^{\vartheta} satc\bar{a}$ (< $\bar{a}.v\bar{a}$ -) 'Ask me for mvbest (utterances), O Mazdā (and you others), (ask me) for (utterances) both having(?) their own (command?) and (uttered) strongly' (Y 33.7).

Young Avestan examples:

- huuarštå maθrå pairica dad³mahī āca vaēδaiiamahī 'the well-wrought thought poems we place all around and make them known' (Y 4.1)
- \bar{a} mạm yāsaŋ ha spitama fr \bar{a} mạm hunuuaŋ ha x^{ν} ar tēe aoi mạm staomaine stūiδi 'Ask me hither, Spitamid! Press me forth to drink! Praise me for strength!' (Y 9.2)
- us gēuš stuiiē tāiiāatcā hazanhatcā us mazdaiiasnanam vīsam *ziiānaiiatcā vīuuāpatcā 'By my praise I remove myself(?) from theft and violence to the cow, (by my praise I remove myself?) from damage and devastation of the houses of Mazdaiiasnians' (Y 12.2)
- $n\bar{i}$ tē zāire ma δ əm mruiiē nī aməm nī vər $^{3}\theta$ raynəm 'I call down, O tawny one, your intoxication, (I call) down your might and your obstructionsmashing power' (Y 9.17)
- āca nica mrūmaide 'we call hither and down to us' (Y 68.21)

3. Nominal system

There are no articles.

3.1. Inflection

3.1.1. Gender

Nouns, adjectives, and pronouns have three genders: masculine, feminine, or neuter. The distribution of the genders is by and large that of Indo-Iranian, with some individual Iranian features (vak-/vac- is feminine in Old

Indic, masculine in Avestan; neuter a-stems often become feminine in the plural in Young Avestan, etc.). Especially in poorly transmitted Young Avestan texts, gender is sometimes confused, e.g., aētat druxš/nasu 'this demon of deception/death' for aēša druxš/nasuš or aētam drujim/ nasāum (V. 9.45, etc.). This type of mistake may have originated in abbreviated text, e.g., aēt° dr°/nas°.

Feminine forms of adjectives of the a-declension are declined according to the \bar{a} -, occasionally the \bar{i} -declension (e.g., Av. $s\bar{u}ra$ - \sim fem. $s\bar{u}r\bar{a}$ - 'filled with life-giving strength'; OP tigra- ~ tigrā- 'pointed', but Av. zarənaēna-, fem. zarənaēⁱnī- 'of gold', maniiauua-, fem. maniiəuuī- 'in the world of thought', OP $a\theta$ angaina- ~ $a\theta$ angainī- 'of stone').

The feminine forms of u-stems and consonant stems are declined according to the *i*-declension (YAv. vanhu- ~ fem. vanvhi- 'good'; pouru- ~ fem. paoirī- 'much, many' (< *pṛH-u-, *pṛḤḍ-ī-); driyu- ~ fem. driuuī- 'poor'; apāņk- ~ apašī- 'backward-turning'; bərəzant- ~ bərəzaitī- 'lofty', amauuant-~ amauuaⁱtī- 'powerful', gaomaṇt- ~ gaomaⁱtī- 'with milk'; aṣ̄āuuan- ~ aṣ̃aonī-/aṣ̃āunī- 'sustainer of Order' (beside the irregular form aṣ̃āuuairī-Y 58.4); "manah- ~ "manahī- 'having . . . thought'; comparative maziiah- ~ maziiehī- 'greater'; perf. ptc. daδuuah- ~ daδušī- 'having put in place, creator'; pres. ptc. athematic -aitī-, thematic -əntī- (-intī-, -aintī-, -uuaintī-, -iieⁱntī-): vanant- ~ vanaⁱntī- 'winning'; OP *yaudaⁿtī- 'being in turmoil' (restored).

Feminine forms of *i*-stem adjectives are declined as feminine *i*-stems (e.g., masc., fem. āhuⁱri-, māzdaiiasni-).

Compounds with "tan \bar{u} - 'body' and "b $\bar{a}zu$ - 'arm' are declined as \bar{u} -stems (fem. acc. pl. sraotanuuō 'having sinuous (?) bodies', gen. sg. auruša.bāzuuō 'having white arms').

Neuter adjectives are declined like neuter nouns (e.g., nom.-acc. sg. Av. sūrəm, OP frašam 'perfect', Av. āhuⁱri 'belonging to Ahura (Mazdā)', vohu, OP paruv 'much').

Pronouns have the special masculine ~ feminine morphemes -hm- ~ -hi-: Av. dat. masc. a-hm-āi 'him', OP a-hm-atah 'from there', fem. gen. OAv. a- $\acute{x}ii$ - \mathring{a} , YAv. a- $\acute{\eta}h$ - \mathring{a} , OP a-hay- $\bar{a}y\bar{a}^h$.

In Old Avestan, there are also remnants of a pronominal nom. fem. in *-ai (cf. Latin quae), e.g., $x^{\nu}\bar{\partial}$ uruu \bar{a} $x^{\nu}a\bar{e}c\bar{a}$. . . da $\bar{e}n\bar{a}$ 'his own breath-soul, his own vision-soul'.

The numerals 3 and 4 have inherited feminine forms with a morpheme -hr-/- $\check{s}r$ -: Av. nom. masc. $\theta r \bar{a} i i \bar{o} \sim \text{fem. } t i \check{s} r \bar{o}$, $c a \theta \beta \bar{a} r \bar{o} \sim \text{fem. } c a t a \eta r \bar{o}$.

3.1.2. Number and case

There are three numbers: singular, dual, plural. Few dual forms are found, but they suffice to show that this category was basically of the old Indo-Iranian type.

Proto-Iranian had eight cases: nominative, vocative, accusative, genitive, dative, ablative, instrumental, locative, all of which are preserved in Avestan, while Old Persian has only six, with the genitive being also used for the dative and the ablative having merged with the instrumental.

Case syncretism is common: voc. \neq nom. only in the sg.; nom. = acc. in the fem. and neut. pl.; nom. = acc. in the neuter singular, dual, and plural; dat. = abl. in the pl.; dat. = abl. = ins. in the dual; in Old Persian (and Young Avestan?) gen. = loc. in the dual (Old Avestan distinguishes four cases in the dual).

3.2. Stem systems

Depending on stems and endings, nouns and adjectives are classified in various declensions. Vowel stems have a vowel before the ending: a ("thematic"), \bar{a} , i, \bar{i} , u, \bar{u} . The i- and u-stems have ablauting stem formants (*-i-/-ai-, -u-/-au-). Consonant stems have a consonant before the ending, most commonly n, r, h, but also p, t, nt, d, etc. Many consonant stems have ablauting stem formants. The masculine long vowel stems from laryngeal stems ($-\bar{a}$ -<-aH-, $-\bar{i}$ -<-iH-, $-\bar{u}$ -<-uH-) are declined as consonant stems. The "diphthong" ($a\bar{e}$ -, ao-) stems behave partly as vowel stems, partly as consonant stems.

3.2.1. Nominal stems ending in vowels

a-stems

The majority of Avestan vocalic stems are a- and \bar{a} -stems (see below), while other types are relatively rare. In proto-Iranian and Old Avestan the masculine a-stems were the only ones to have a full set of different endings in the singular (in all other declensions gen. = abl.). The a-declension also has a special gen. sg. ending, *-ahia; all other declensions have *-h/-š. In the dat.-abl. and loc. pl., the thematic vowel is replaced by the diphthong *-ai- (> Av. $a\bar{e}$, $\bar{o}i$).

i- and u-stems

The i- and u-stems fall into two/three categories, according to their ablaut patterns, of which there are three main ones:

On one hand we distinguish between so-called protero- and hysterokinetic variants of the gen. and dat. singular endings. In the proterokinetic variant the stem formant takes the full grade in the gen. (ending -š) and dat. singular; in the hysterokinetic one it takes the zero grade (gen. ending is *-ah). The dat. ending is *-ai in both variants.

On the other hand, we distinguish between *i-* and *u-*stems which take the lengthened grade of the stem formant in the strong cases and those that do not. Here the former group is categorized as diphthong stems (see below).

All *i*- and *u*-stems typically take the full grade of the stem formant in the loc. singular and nom. plural. The u-stem pasu- 'sheep' has the hysterokinetic YAv. nom. pl. pasuuō.

Two special i-stems are Av. vi- 'bird', nom. pl. vaiiō, gen. pl. vaiiam, and the hysterokinetic Av. ra'i- 'wealth', in which the original laryngeal produced an "ablauting" pattern raē- < *raHi- (acc. sg. raēm, pl. raēš) ~ rāii-< *raHi- (YAv. gen. sg. = OAv. nom. pl. rāiiō; YAv. ins. sg. raiia, gen. pl. raiiam with $aii < \bar{a}ii$). The feminine Av. ja^ini - 'woman', according to the

manuscripts, has YAv. gen. janiiaoš or janiiōiš²² (cf. OInd. patyuh, gen. of pati- 'master'?).

A small set of neuter u-stems have ambi-/holokinetic ablaut: either full/lengthened grade of the root and zero grade of the stem formant in the nom.-acc. (āiiu 'time/life-span', dāuru 'wood', *zānu 'knee'), zero grade of the root and full grade of the stem formant with proterokinetic inflection (only dat. sg.: yauue/yaoe; loc. sg. drao°), or zero grade of both root and stem formant (dat.-abl. pl.: YAv. žnubiias°; in compounds: OAv. darəgāiiu-'bestowing long life' < *darga-Hiu-, YAv. darši.dru- 'carrying a defiant mace', YAv. fra-šnu- 'knob-kneed(?)' for °xšnu-?).

aē- and ao-stems

There are two types of diphthong stems: monosyllabic and polysyllabic. Polysyllabic diphthong stems are those *i*- and *u*-stems that have forms with full or long grade of the suffixes: Av. -i- $-a\bar{e}$ - $-a\bar{i}$ -, and Av. -u--ao--au(u)-, OP -u- $-\bar{a}u$ - $-\bar{a}v$ -. Here these will be called $a\bar{e}$ - and ao-/au-stems.

The Avestan aē-stems include haxaē- 'companion, friend', kauuaē- 'poetpriest', xštauuaē-, a legendary people, sāuuanhaē-, a calendrical ratu.

The city name YAv. rayā- (nom. raya, acc. rayam; OP ins.-abl. ragāyā) apparently has suppletive weak stem raji- (abl. rajōit).

The ao-/au-stems include the Avestan monosyllabic stem gao- m., f. 'cow, bull', the masculine noun bāzao- 'arm', masculine adjectives in °bāzao- and °fšao- 'cattle', and the feminine nouns Av. daýhao-, OP dahayau- 'land', Av. nasao- 'carcass, demon of dead bodies', and pərəsao-'rib'. The monosyllabic gao- and the adjectives in °bāzao- have long grade in the nom. singular. They all have long or full grade in the nom.-acc. plural.

ā- and ī-stems

The feminine \bar{a} -stems have no ending in the nom. singular, like the \bar{i} -stems, which to some extent appear to have influenced the \bar{a} -stems. Thus, \bar{a} -stems add an element *- $\bar{a}i$ -: Av. -aii-, OP - $\bar{a}y$ -, before the ending in the gen., abl., dat., ins. (optional), loc. sg., which makes the \bar{a} -stems largely parallel with the *i*-stems (e.g., gen. *-*āyāh* ~ *-*iyāh*: Av. *daēn-aii-āh, OP $taum-\bar{a}y-\bar{a}h < taum\bar{a}$ - 'family'; Av. * $n\bar{a}^ir-ii-\bar{a}h < n\bar{a}^ir\bar{i}$ - 'wife, woman', OP $b\bar{a}xtr-\bar{i}y-\bar{a}h < b\bar{a}xtr\bar{i}$ 'Bactria'), and the voc. sg. of \bar{a} -stems adds -i, the ending of the *i*-stems (e.g., Av. $da\bar{e}ne < *-ai$, cf. $va\eta^{\nu}h-i$).

The feminine *i*-stems fall into two categories, commonly referred to as the "devi-" and "vrki-declensions." Of the two, the devi-declension is largely parallel with the \bar{a} -stems, with an ablauting stem formant: $-\bar{i}$ - $/-y\bar{a}$ -. Most Av. *ī*-stems belong to this declension. On the "vṛkī-declension" see below on laryngeal stems.

In Old Persian, the p-stem āp- 'water' and the h-stem māh- 'month' appear to have been transferred to the *i*-stems: *āpi*-, *māhi*-. The consonant stem $u\check{s}$ - 'senses(?)', usually in the dual $u\check{s}\bar{\imath}$ -, appears to have a nom. sg. ušīyā beside ušīy (dual?).

22. See the discussion by Pirart 1993, whose conclusions I do not necessarily endorse.

ū-stems

See below on laryngeal stems in 3.2.2.

3.2.2. Nominal stems ending in consonants

Consonantal noun and adjective stems can end in any consonant except the fricatives, affricates, and glides.

Stems in labial stops

The only stems in labial stops are two feminine root nouns in p: Av., OP $\bar{a}p$ -/ap-, Av. $k \ni r \ni p$ -/ $k \ni hrp$ - 'body, form'. Of the two, $\bar{a}p$ -/ap has normal ablaut, while $k \ni r \ni p$ - has the strong stem $k \ni hrp$ -. The labial becomes f before the nom. $-\ddot{s}$; no loc. plural forms are attested. Before endings with b, the labial was assimilated, as in the OP ins.-abl. pl. abiš 'with waters' with b < bb< p-b and the YAv. dat.-abl. pl. $a^i\beta ii\bar{o}$ 'for the waters' with $\beta < b$.

Stems in dental stops (d, t, nt)

Stems in d include a few nouns (OAv. išud- 'due, debt', YAv. $p\bar{a}\delta$ -/ $pa\delta$ -'foot', OP $\theta ar(a)d$ - 'year').

Stems in *t* include:

- Root-nouns in t from verbal roots ending in a vowel (Av. °bərət- 'carrying, riding', °xšnut- 'satisfying') and the neuter ast- 'bone'
- Feminine tāt-stems (e.g., hauruuatāt- 'wholeness', kahrkatāt- 'the term 'vulture')
- The āt-stems fraptərəjāt- 'winged' and rauuascarāt- 'roaming the open spaces', with nom.-acc. pl. neut. fraptərəjan and rauuascaran (Yt. 8.48)
- The word for 'grandchild', with a suppletive paradigm Av. (OP) napāh-(nom., voc. sg.), napāt- (acc. sg.), napt- (loc. pl. nafšū; fem. naptī-, deriv. naptiia-), naptār- (acc. sg.), naf δ r- (acc., gen. sg.)

Stems in nt include adjectives in Av. -ant, -uuant- (OP -uvant-), and -mant-, and active present and aorist participles in -ant-. The stem formants Av. -uuant- and -mant- are in complementary distribution: -mant- is used after stems in u or ao and -uuant- elsewhere. Av. mazānt- is probably an old *Hant*-stem. The *nt*-stems have the most complex stem systems, with as many as three stems. The adjectives have strong stem -(uu/m)ant-, weak stem -(uu/m)at-, plus a stem in -(uu/m)ah- for the nom. (beside -ant-) and voc. singular. Participles of athematic verbs have strong stem in -ant-, weak stem in -at-, but those of thematic verbs have -ant- throughout; both have YAv. nom. sg. masc. in $-\bar{o}$. Adjectives in *-uuant-* made from h-stems combine $-a(\eta)h$ and $uua- > -a\eta^{\nu}h$ - (except OAv. fem. $n \ni max^{\nu}a^{i}t\bar{\imath}$ - 'containing homage' and the YAv. name harax 'aitī- 'Arachosia', OP harahuvatī). In the strong forms, $-a\eta^{\nu}hant$ - regularly became $-a\eta hunt$ -, which the scribes frequently replaced with $-a\eta^{\nu}hant$ -.

The final t of these stems was assimilated to d before endings with b(OAv. $azd^{3}b\bar{i}\dot{s}$, " $b\partial r^{3}d^{u}bii\bar{o}$, $dr\partial guu\bar{o}.d^{3}b\bar{i}\dot{s} < dr\partial guuant$ - 'filled with deception', etc.), which in Young Avestan was replaced by t (yžāraiiat.biiō). The t was lost, by assimilation and simplification, before s in the nom. sg. and loc. pl. (OAv. ha^uruuatās, YAv. °bər^əs, OAv. drəguuasū). The neuter root noun Av. ast-'bone' has nom.-acc. pl. (or sg.?) as° in OAv. ascā. Thematic forms are common, e.g., Av. saošiiantaēibiiō < saošiiant- 'revitalizer', OP tunuvantahayā < tunuvant- 'powerful'. Athematic stem forms of thematic verbs may also occur: YAv. yžāraiiat.biiō < yžāraiiant- 'overflowing(?)', xšaiiatō < xšaiiant-'being in command', but these may have lost their *n* late in the manuscript tradition.²³

Stems in velar stops.

Stems in velar stops (only Av.) comprise a few root nouns (drug- 'deception', vak- 'word, speech') and the adjectives in -nk- (mostly denoting direction: frānk- 'forward', etc.). The root nouns with vowel a have normal ablaut. The velar becomes -x- before the nom. -š; no loc. pl. forms are attested. Before endings with b, the velar should have been assimilated to *-yb- (*- $y\beta$ -?), but this sound combination is not found at all in Avestan. Instead we have forms apparently built on the nom. sg.: dat. abl. $v\bar{a}yz^ibii\bar{o}$, ins. *vāyžibiš (only vayžibiš Fragment Nirgangistan twice), with "combined" *i*-epenthesis and anaptyxis $(-y\check{z}bii-> *-y\check{z}^{i}bii-> -y\check{z}^{i}bii-)$.

The nk-stems originally had a suffix -Hānk-/-Hānc-/-Hnk-/-Hnc-, which, combined with preceding a or i produced ablauting suffixes -ānk-/-ānc- $/-\bar{a}k-/-\bar{a}c-$ (-ac-), $-i'\bar{a}nk-/-i'\bar{a}nc-/-ik-/-ic-$. The stop itself only appears in the neut. nom.-acc. sg., which ends in $-\bar{a}g^{3}t$ (it has been suggested that this may not be directly from *-ākt, but a way of writing final -āk with a non-released final -k, like the final -t).24

Stems in n

Stems in nasals comprise stems in n (common) and m (rare).

The *n*-stems includes several subsets: root nouns, *yan*- and *man*-stems, which are in complementary distribution (m after u), Han-stems, ianstems, and in-stems. All these, except the in-stems, show ablaut in the stem formant: $\bar{a}n/an/n/a$ (< \bar{n}), $uu\bar{a}n/uuan/un/uua$, $m\bar{a}n/man/mn/ma$.

The zero grade un of the Av. uuan-stems combines with a preceding a > aon and a preceding u > un (yuuan-/yun-). Because of the morphophonological vagaries of u, the uan-stems are sometimes no longer recognizable as such, e.g., Av. span-/spa-/s \bar{u} n- 'dog' (< *ćuan-/ćun-/ćun-; "ru θ uan- 'entrails' $< *ru\theta \mu an -; a\delta\beta an - 'road' < *ad^h \mu an -)$. Av. $a\theta ar \mu an - 'priest' has strong stem$ $\bar{a}\theta$ rauuan-, weak stem $a\theta a^{\mu}$ run-. Some μ an-stems have voc. sg. forms in -um $(-\partial m)$, with the final -n apparently assimilated to the preceding labial $u(\partial)$, e.g., aṣ̄āum < aṣ̄auuan- 'sustainer of order', yum < yuuan- 'a youth'.

The masculine adjective Av. $\theta ri.zafan$ -'with three mouths' has nom. sg. θri.zafå, and short a in the acc. like vərəθrajå, °janəm 'obstruction smasher', but the word is probably a *uuan-stem* * θ ri.zafuan-, hence its voc. sg. θ ri.zafəm (for °zafum?).

There are a few Han-stems, among them $m_\theta \theta r \bar{a} n$ - 'poet' < *man $\theta r a$ -Han- and, possibly, $mar^{3}t\bar{a}n$ -/ $mar^{3}\theta n$ - if < *marta-Han-/martaHn-/martHn-'mortal'.

- 23. Instead of nt (we), many mss. write nt (w), in which the n is more exposed to being lost.
- 24. Note that it is often difficult to determine whether forms such as parāca, fraca, vica, tarasca are from the nk-stems or contain -ca 'and'.

There is one masculine Av. *iian*-stem (the proper name *frantasiian*-), and a small number of feminine ones (kaniian- 'young woman', kax^var³ⁱ Siian-, kind of female sorcerer, and kāiia Siian-/kaiie Siian-, kind of female sorcerer). The feminine *iian-stems have various forms from stems in -iiā-(nom. $ka^{i}ne$), -i- (gen. sg. $kanii\dot{a}$, $kax^{\nu}ar^{3i}\delta ii\dot{a}s^{\circ}$, $k\bar{a}^{i}\delta ii\dot{a}s^{\circ}$, acc. pl. $kanii\bar{o}$), or -in- (voc. sg. $kax^{\nu}ar^{\partial}\delta a^{i}ne < {}^{\circ}\delta i^{i}ne(?)$, acc. sg. $ka^{i}nin\partial m$, gen. sg./nom. pl. $ka^{i}n\bar{i}n\bar{o}$). The gen. pl. forms in -inam are from \bar{i} - or $\bar{i}n$ -stems.

There are a few Av. in-stems (Av. fraxšnin- 'having foreknowledge', YAv. par^ənin- 'winged', etc.).

The heteroclitic neuter r/n-stems have r-stem nom.-acc. sg. and r- or n-stem nom.-acc. pl., e.g., aiiar³ 'day', gen. sg. aiia (< -aŋh), nom.-acc. pl. OAv. aiiār⁵, YAv. aiian; OP *vazar, ins.-abl. vašnā.

Stems in m

There are a few *m*-stems (only Av.): the archaic and irregular *zam-* 'earth' and ziiam- 'winter', which form the nom. and acc. sg. as ā-stems, and the other cases from full grades (the disyllabic loc. sg. zəmi) or zero grades zəmand zim- (note ins. sg. $z^3m\bar{a}$ with the long $-\bar{a}$ of monosyllables); the semantically related ham- 'summer' (FO 25b loc. sg. hama for *hami); and dam-'house' (OAv. gen. sg. dāng°, OYAv. loc. sg. dam, YAv. dami). By regular sound developments, both zam- and ham- should have had weak stems *sm-; cf. upasma- '(living) in the earth'. Whether OP uzmayāpatiy (kar-) 'impale' contains zam- is uncertain.

Stems in h

The *h*-stems (*ah*-stems) comprise several common neuter nouns (manah- 'thought', etc.), including stems with laryngeals (dāh- gift' < *da'ah-), and a few masculine nouns and adjectives. Most of the masculine forms are in compounds with neuter h-stems (humanah- 'having good thoughts', etc.).

The h-stems also include some root nouns, active perfect participles (only Av.) in -uuah- (strong stem -uuanh-, middle stem -uuah-, weak stem -uš-), and comparatives in Av. -iiah-, OP -tyah- (strong stem Av. -iiāh-, OP -*ī*yāh-, weak stem Av. -*iiah*-).

Stems in sibilants

Stems in sibilants include stems in s, z, and š. The s- and z-stems are original stems in IIr. * \acute{c} , \acute{f} (IE $\^{k}$, $\^{g}$), while the \acute{s} -stems are IIr. \acute{s} -stems with \acute{s} by ruki. The s and z are assimilated to the nom. sg. - \check{s} (OAv. ma \check{s} < maz-'great', YAv. spaš < spas- 'spy', barš < barz- 'high'). The sibilants are assimilated to \check{z} before endings with b (Av. $vi\check{z}^ibii\bar{o} < vis$ - 'town'; $sna^i\theta i\check{z}biia$ $< sna^i \theta i \check{s}$ - 'weapon').

Stems in laryngeals

Laryngeal stems end in vowel plus laryngeal (* $aH > \bar{a}$, * $iH > \bar{i}$, * $uH > \bar{u}$). The laryngeal *iH-/i-stems are represented in Avestan and Old Persian by feminine forms of adjectives in Av. -aēna-, OP -aina-: Av. -aēnī-, OP -ainīand Av. female patronymics in - $f^{3}\delta r\bar{i}$ - 'whose father (is)'.

The ū-stems are represented by OAv. fs-ratū- '?' and OYAv., OP fem. tanū- 'body' (on Av. hizū- 'tongue', see below).

Typically these stems add the nominative singular endings directly to the stem: nom. *° $d\bar{a}H$ -s 'giver, placer' > Ir. *° $d\bar{a}h$ > Av. maz-då 'he who places (all things) in his mind, all-knowing', OP "maz-dāh; *jiH-š 'living', *suH-š 'giving life-giving strength' > Av. jiš, suš; Av., OP tanūš 'body'.

Before endings in vowels, the laryngeal was lost with hiatus (or inserted glide) in Old Avestan, but contraction in Young Avestan and Old Persian: acc. sg. *mazdaH-am > Av. mazdam (trisyllabic), OP °mazdām; *°jiH-am, *°suH-am > *°jii-am, *°suu-am > YAv. °jim, °sum; gen. sg. *mazdaH-as > *mazda'ah, OAv. mazdå (trisyllabic) > YAv. mazdå (disyllabic) (OP remade into ° $mazd\bar{a}ha^h$); nom.-acc. pl. *°jiH-as/-ns, *°suH-ah/-ns > *°ji'ah, *°su'ah > OAv. °jiiō, °suuō; nom.-acc. dual *priH-ā > friia 'dear'.

The masculine \bar{a} -stem Av. paṇt \bar{a} - 'road' < *pantaH- is characterized by holokinetic ablaut. In addition, when the laryngeal came directly after the t of the stem and before the vowel of an ending, the t became Ir. θ : nom. sg. *pantāH-s > *pantāh > paṇtå, acc. sg. *pantāH-m > paṇtam, gen. sg./acc. pl. *pntH-as > *pa θ ah > pa θ ō. In Old Persian, the word presumably became a feminine \bar{i} -stem (acc. sg. $pa\theta \bar{i}m$).

Av. masc. hizuuā-/hizū- 'tongue' has nom.(?) and acc. from the strong stem: Av. acc. hizuuam; other forms from the weak stem; the ins. is YAv. hizuu \bar{o} (with labialization of - $\mu a > -\mu \bar{o}$). In compounds we find hizuuå: OAv. hizuuå.uxδa- (= nom.?), etc. In Old Persian, this noun became an n-stem: acc. hazānam.

OP ā-stems

Old Persian has three masculine ā-stems: ahuramazdā-, xšayaaršā- (or xšayāršā-) 'Xerxes', and artaxšaçā- 'Artaxerxes'. Of these three, xšayaaršāwas originally an *n*-stem, *xšayaaršan*-, and *artaxšaçā*- probably an *a*-stem.

r-stems

Among the r-stems, the root-nouns (OAv. gar- 'song', sar- 'union'), words denoting kinship (OAv. p(i/a)tar-, YAv. pitar-, 'father', mātar- 'mother', OAv. dug²dar-/YAv. duydar- 'daughter', x^vanhar- 'sister', brātar- 'brother', naptar- 'grandson'), and nar- 'man, hero' have full grade in strong cases, while agent nouns in -tar- (e.g., pātar- 'protector', dātar- 'maker, creator') and star- 'star' have lengthened grade.

The r-stems behave partly like vocalic and partly like consonantal stems. Like vocalic stems they have -nš in the acc. pl. (e.g., nəraš), but like consonantal stems they form their nom. singular by lengthening the final syllable and dropping the final r, e.g., $d\bar{a}t\bar{r}$: nom. $d\bar{a}t\bar{a}$ > YAv. $d\bar{a}ta$. Like i-, u-, and n-stems, they have both protero- and hysterokinetic gen. singular forms (e.g., YAv. gen. narš, but $pi\theta r\bar{o}$, dat. $f^{\flat}\delta r\bar{o}i$).

There are a few neuter r-stems (aodar- 'cold': OAv. gen. sg. aodərəš and YAv. ins. sg. aodra [Herbedistan 17.3]; YAv. vadar- 'weapon': nom.-acc. sg. vadar² (< *uadṛ), YAv. vanhar- 'spring': loc. sg. vanri FO 8). On neuter r/nstems, see above on *n*-stems.

The word *ātar-* 'fire' was probably originally a neuter *r-*stem, with nom.-acc. sg. *ātṛ-. When it became a masculine noun, the endings were simply added onto this form: *ātṛ-š, *ātr-am, which produced the nom. ātarš and acc. ātr̄ðm (Hoffmann 1988: 58).

3.2.3. Suppletive stem systems

There are several suppletive stem systems, of various kinds:

- 1. Alternating vowel and consonant stems: Av. zā-/zam- 'earth', ziiā-/ziiam- 'winter' (see above); OAv. sauua- (sg. loc. pl. nom.-acc., ins.), sauuah- (sg. nom., ins., gen., pl. gen.) 'life-giving strength', ušā-/ušah-'dawn': sg. nom. OYAv. ušå, YAv. sg. acc. ušam, ušånhəm, abl. ušaiiāt, pl. loc. ušahuua; YAv. kaniiā-/kainīn- 'young woman': sg. nom. kaine < *kanjā, acc. kaniiam, kaininəm, gen. kaniia, kainino, pl. nom. kainino, acc. kaniio, dat.-abl. kainibiiō; OP āpī-(?)/āp- 'water'
- 2. Alternating consonant stems: neuter r/n-stems (see above); °carat-'walking': nom.-acc. pl. °caran; napah-/napat-/naptar- 'grandson': sg. nom. YAv. napå, OP napā (< -āh), YAv. voc. napō (< -ah), acc. napātəm, naptārəm, $naf^{\flat}\delta r \ni m$, gen. $napt\bar{o}$, $naf^{\flat}\delta r\bar{o}$, pl. loc. OAv. $naf\bar{s}\bar{u}$
- 3. Analogy: YAv. $s\bar{a}star$ -/ $s\bar{a}\theta r$ (for -str- in analogy with other tar-stems) '(false) teacher'

3.3. Endings

The case endings are mostly those inherited from Indo-Iranian. Special Avestan features include the proterokinetic genitive forms in i-, u-, r-, and n-stems (e.g., genitive singular *-ai-š, -au-š, *-ar-š, *-an-h), which are more common than in Old Indic and may represent an Avestan generalization of a type less common in Indo-Iranian; alternatively, Old Indic has lost this type.

Among the typically Young Avestan endings is the generalized ablative singular ending -t, by which the gen. is distinguished from the abl. in all declensions in the singular. Old Persian has this form (-auv < *-aut) beside the ablative = genitive $(-au\check{s})$.

Another Young Avestan feature is the addition of a final -a to the ablative singular and locative singular and plural endings, which is presumably identical with the Old Avestan particle \bar{a} , which is used to emphasize 'here and now/there and then' and is combined with a variety of local (temporal) cases. In Old Persian, a final $-\bar{a}$ may be added to the loc. singular and plural.

In post-Old Persian the monosyllabic endings seem to have been largely lost or merged into a single vocalic ending, written -a, -am, -ā, -ām.

Nominative singular masculine/feminine

In Indo-Iranian (and Indo-European), the nom. singular of masculine and feminine was formed in various ways:

- 1. By adding the ending $*-h/-\check{s}/-s$ to the stem
- 2. By lengthening the last vowel of the stem and dropping the final consonant

- 3. By both lengthening the vowel of the stem and adding the ending *-h/-š/-s
- 4. By using the stem without further characterization

Vowel stems with ending *-h/-š:

The regular ending of the a-, i-, and u-/ao-declensions was *-h/-š. The Indo-Iranian forms were therefore *-ah, *-iš, *-uš/-āuš.

In Old Persian, the final *-h was lost without trace; in Avestan, it caused backing and rounding of the preceding vowels: *- $ah > -\bar{a} > -\bar{o}$, *- $\bar{a}h > -a$. In sandhi, the s was retained, and the endings were Av. -as $^{\circ}$ and -as $^{\circ}$ (for *-as), respectively. The ending $-\bar{\partial}$ is Old Avestan only; it is typical of the pronouns $(v\bar{\partial}, k\bar{\partial})$, but is occasionally found in nouns (and other grammatical forms in *-ah). The ending -š survived intact in both Avestan and Old Persian.

The laryngeal stems (\bar{a} -, \bar{u} -, \bar{i} -stems [$v_r^r k \bar{i}$ -type]) also take this ending, e.g., °då (sandhi -ås°), tanūš, zarənaēⁱnīš 'of gold'.

The feminine \bar{a} - and \bar{i} -stems ($dev\bar{i}$ -type) have no ending in Avestan; in Old Persian, the \bar{i} -stems have $-\bar{i}y$ and $-\bar{i}\bar{s}$.

Consonant stems with ending *-s/-š:

The original ending -s is preserved only in dental stems, where the combinations -ts, -ds > *-ss > *-s (there are no nominative singular forms of d-stems).

Among nt-stems, the expected form -as is found in a few words (YAv. cuuas 'how much?', fšuiias 'husbandman'). In most Young Avestan adjectives and present participles, *-ant-s > *-anss appears to have been simplified to *-ans (or the t was lost; cf. the nk-stems, below) early enough to become *-anh (or the form was analogically remade), which developed as in the accusative plural (note also YAv. nom. sg. $c\bar{u}$, presumably $< *cuua\eta h$). The nom.-acc. singular neuter has athematic -at < -nt, thematic -an < -ant (OAv. yasō.xiiōn < *yasahian 'seeking glory', YAv. manaiiən 'resembling').

The t-stem napat- and the uuant- and mant-stem adjectives have nominative and vocative singular from h-stems (Av. napå, OP napā; OAv. $dr guu a, OP tunuv \bar{a}^h; YAv. xratum a'wise').$

In all other consonant stems the ending becomes -š. The labial p becomes the spirant f (YAv. $\bar{a}f\tilde{s}$, $k \ni r \ni f\tilde{s}$). Velars (k, g) become the spirant -x-(YAv. $v\bar{a}x\bar{s} < vak$ -; $drux\bar{s} < drug$ -) except in the nk-stems, where the -k/x- was lost (YAv. apaš 'backward-turning', paiti.iiaš 'turning toward', viš < *vįš 'going to all sides'). The nk-stems may have had lengthened grade (as in Old Indic), but the Av. a is ambiguous.

The s- and z-stems were from Indo-Iranian stems in *-ć- and -j-; in the nominative singular the final clusters (*-ćš and -jŝ) were simplified to -š according to the general rules (YAv. spaš < spas-; OAv. maš < maz-; YAv. bar³š $< b \partial r^{\partial} z$ -).

The nom. ātarš of the originally neuter r-stem ātar- is probably an analogical formation (see above on *r*-stems).

In Old Persian, no forms of this type are attested. It is indeed not certain what would happen to the final clusters, but in line with the general avoidance of final consonants, they would probably be felt as inadmissible

and possibly be lost.²⁵ This would in turn probably result in a whole-sale analogical remaking of the nominative or shift into a different stem class. This seems to be the case of the two root nouns $\bar{a}p$ - and $m\bar{a}h$ -, the nom. sg. forms of which would be $\bar{a}(f\tilde{s})$ and $m\bar{a}^h$ and which, apparently, were both transferred into the \bar{i} -declension (like the irregular $pant\bar{a}$ -/ $pa\theta$ -, > OP $pa\theta\bar{i}$ -): āpī- (nom. āpī° or āpiš°) and māhī- (gen.-dat. māhīyā).²⁶

Vocative singular

With the exception of feminine \bar{a} -stems, the vocative singular is identical with the stem, with the stem formant in the full or zero grade. Thus, a-stems have *-a (OAv. ahurā, mazdā < *mazdaH, OP martiyā 'man', marīkā 'young man'); i-stems have *-ai (OAv. ārmaitē 'humility', YAv. hāuuane, 'genius of the time before dawn'). Consonant stems with ablauting suffix have zero grade (OAv., YAv. ātarə, YAv. narə, pitarə, dātarə with -arə < *-ṛ; humanō, druuō; napah-: napō; uuan-stems: ašāum, āθraom, yum, θrizafəm; see above §3.2.2). When a consonant stem does not show ablaut, the vocative = nominative.

In Young Avestan, the full grade ending *-au in the u- (ao-) stems is normally realized as -uuō, since the vocative does not admit enclitics (ratuuō < ratu- 'model', $\partial r^{\partial}zuu\bar{o} < \partial r^{\partial}zu$ - 'upright, straight'), but appears as -ao (?) in the compounds gaohudå 'O cow giving good gifts', gaospənta 'O life-giving cow'. After i, the ending appears as $-\bar{o}$, presumably by assimilation or by simplification of the <u>iu</u>-group (maniiō < maniiu- 'spirit', vaiiō < vaiiu-, name of a god).

The \bar{i} -stems (*devi*-type) had IIr. *-*i* and the \bar{a} -stems *-*ai*, perhaps with the -i of the ī-stems; aši- 'reward' has the irregular voc. aši (e.g., aši srīre 'O beautiful Aši!'). In arəduuī sūre 'O Arəduuī Sūrā', the ending is usually long in the manuscripts.

Initial stress may be responsible for the lengthening of the a in the first syllable of $z\bar{a}^i re$ (< $za^i ri$ - 'tawny') and the shortening of the \bar{a} in the second syllable of spitama (< spitāma- 'having swollen strength(?)', standing epithet of Zarathustra).

In Old Persian, only the vocactive of *a*-stems is attested.

Accusative singular masculine and feminine

The endings of the singular are -m in vowel stems and $-\partial m$ in consonant stems (OAv. drujām). The manuscripts are not consistent in writing short or long -im/-im and -um/-ūm. Old Avestan seems to prefer the long variants before -m.

The accusative singular of ablauting stems—diphthong and consonant stems—typically takes the long grade (OAv. vācəm).

The iia-stems regularly have OAv. -iiām, OYAv. -tm (e.g., OYAv. maṣim < mašiia- 'man', OAv. anii $\bar{\rho}$ m and a^i nim < aniia- 'other', YAv. $ma^i\delta im$

^{25.} The only OP word ending in -s is the reconstructed, hence doubtful, * $da\theta a^n s$.

^{26.} OP $\langle p-\theta-i-m \rangle$ and $\langle a-p-i-\circ \rangle$ could in principle be short *i*-stems. The nom. $\bar{a}pi(\tilde{s})$ is only in <a-p-i-š-i-m> which can be read as api-sim or apis-sim 'the water (carried) him (away)'.

 $< ma^i \delta iia$ - 'middle'). The uua-stems have YAv. - $\bar{u}m$ (e.g., $dr\bar{u}m < druua$ -'healthy').

In the *ao*-stems, the acc. sg. $da\dot{\eta}haom < -\bar{d}uam$ 'land' is the 'normal' form (only in Yt. 10). The form daxiium is OAv., but is also found in the YAv. formula nmānəmca vīsəmca zantumca daxiiumca 'the house, the town, the tribe, and the land'. The forms $nas\bar{a}um < nasao$ - 'carcass' and $p \rightarrow r \bar{a}um$ < pərəsao- 'rib' occur only in the *Videvdad*. There seems to be no system in the distribution of the forms nasāum and nasum. The monosyllabic gaohas acc. sg. gam (cf. acc. pl. gå).

The form auuåntəm 'that much' was probably influenced by mazåntəm < mazānt- 'big' (Gershevitch 1967: 152).

The *m*-stems *zam*- and *ziiam*- form their nominative and accusative singular from the stems zā- and ziiā-: zam, ziiam.

Nominative-accusative neuter singular

Neuter stems take no endings in the nominative-accusative singular, except the a-declension, where the ending is $-\partial m$ (Av. $-\tilde{\partial}m$) = masc. acc. sg. The *i*- and *u*- stems have weak grade of the stem formants (-*i*, -*u*). The nominative-accusative singular neuter of thematic participles has the expected ending $-\partial n < *-ant$, that of athematic verbs is -at as in adjectives. The *Hant*-stem *mazānt*- may have had **mazāt* (Yt. 10.44, mss. ° $\bar{a}t$ and ° $\bar{a}\delta a$).

Instrumental singular

The ending of the instrumental singular is $-\bar{a}$ in all declensions, including in hysterokinetic $a\bar{e}$ -stems and u-stems ($-i\bar{a}, -u\bar{a}$), but excepting the iand *u*-stems, which have $-\bar{i}$ and $-\bar{u}$.

In the \bar{a} -declension, forms with -aii- are most common (YAv. -aiia), but forms with no ending (YAv. -a) are also found; the ī-stems have only *- tiā (Av. -iiā, OP -īyā).

The masculine \bar{a} -stem paṇt \bar{a} - has ins. sg. $pa\theta a$.

The instrumental singular of zam- is $z^{\partial}m\bar{a}$ with long final \bar{a} ($pa^{i}ti$ $\bar{a}iia$ $z^{\partial}m\bar{a}$ '[all] over this earth'), which indicates that it is monosyllabic (cf. OInd. *jmá*).

Dative singular

The dative ending in the singular was *-ai, which merged with the stem vowel of a-stems to form the ending -āi. In Old Avestan, āi can be followed by \bar{a} (- $\bar{a}i.\bar{a}$), which is commonly assumed to be for * $\bar{a}ii\bar{a}$ (cf. OInd. - $\bar{a}ya$).

The \bar{a} - stems have Av. -aiiāi, the \bar{i} -stems Av. -iiāi. Only ga $\bar{e}\theta\bar{a}$ - '(world of) living beings' regularly has YAv. $ga\bar{e}\theta ii\bar{a}i$ (in the mss.) rather than *gaēθaiiāi. This is no doubt due to the frequent expression astuuaiθiiāi $ga\bar{e}\theta ii\bar{a}i < astuua^it\bar{i}$ - $ga\bar{e}\theta\bar{a}$ - 'the bony world of living beings'. In metrical texts $ga\bar{e}\theta ii\bar{a}i$ counts three syllables.

In other declensions the ending *-ai became OAv. -ōi, OYAv. -aē°, -iĕ. The palatalization is seen clearly in the ending $-a\eta he$ of the h-stems.

The proterokinetic *i*-stems have dative in OAv. -ōiiōi, YAv. -ōe, -aiiaē°, while hysterokinetic *i*-stems and the $a\bar{e}$ -stems have OAv. $-iia\bar{e}^{\circ}$, YAv. -ie.

The proterokinetic u-stems and the ao-stems have dative in OAv. -auuōi, $-auu\bar{e}$, YAv. -auue/-aoe. The hysterokinetic *u*-stems and the \bar{u} -stems have *-uiiĕ* < **-uuai*.

In the hysterokinetic YAv. u-stems in -tu-, the original ending -tuai became $-\theta\beta e$ before -uai developed to *-uu ie, and in stems in -hu- the ending -ue combined with the preceding - ηh - to form - $\eta^{\nu} he$. In Old Avestan, this development did not take place.²⁷

Genitive, genitive-ablative singular

The most common ending in Indo-Iranian was *-h/-š, which behaved like the nom. *- $h/-\check{s}$. This *- $h/-\check{s}$ was sometimes added directly to the stem, sometimes preceded by an additional -a-.

The only exception is the a-stems, which have the ending *-ahia, OAv. -ahiiā (-axiiā°), YAv. -ahe, OP -ahayā. The YAv. gen. ending is found in OAv. $zara\theta uštrah\bar{e}$. The YAv. form $aša\eta h\bar{a}c\bar{a}$ is perhaps archaizing.

The \bar{a} - stems have Av. -aiiå, OP - $\bar{a}y\bar{a}^h$, and the \bar{i} -stems Av. -iiå, OP - $\bar{i}y\bar{a}^h$.

Proterokinetic *i*- and *u*-stems have full grade of the stem formant: OAv. -ōiš and -ōuš, YAv. -ōiš and -aoš, OP -aiš and -auš. Many YAv. u-stems take the OAv. ending -āuš instead of or beside -aoš, principally words "with strong Old Avestan connection."

The consonant stems (including many n-stems), the hysterokinetic u-, n-, and r-stems, and the \bar{u} -stems take the ending *-ah, which behaved like the nom. sg. of *a*-stems.

The *u*-stem ratu- has YAv. gen. sg. $ra\theta\beta\bar{o}$, but the archaizing(?) form ratāuš is also found (Vispered 9.6).

Proterokinetic n- and r-stems took the simple ending *-h/-s. In the n-stems the resulting ending *-anh developed as in the acc. pl. masc. of a-stems (YAv. barəsma < barəsman-, sacred twigs, barsom, etc.). The r-stems had *-ṛš: OAv. -ərəš, YAv. -arš (OAv. nərəš, YAv. narš, sāstarš, etc.).

Ablative singular

The ablative singular was originally identical with the genitive in all declensions except the a-declension, where the characteristic ending was *-t, before which the stem vowel of the a-stems was lengthened to give $-\bar{a}t$, -āat before enclitic (OAv. zaošāt < zaoša- 'pleasure', vīrāat o < vīra- 'man').

In Young Avestan, the -t spread to the other declensions (probably also in OP), where the ablative is obtained by substituting -t for the original *-h/- \check{s} of the genitive, e.g., daēnā- 'vision soul': *daēnaiiā-h \Rightarrow daēnaiiāt, $b \rightarrow a \pi a \pi b \rightarrow b \rightarrow a \pi a \pi b \rightarrow b \rightarrow a \pi a \pi b \rightarrow a \pi$ $bar^{3}sman$ - 'barsom': *barsman-h \Rightarrow *barsmant > bar ^{3}sm $\ni n$, nar- 'man': n $\ni r^{3}$ -s $\Rightarrow n \partial r^{\partial} t$.

In Young Avestan, an -a may be added to the ending $(-\bar{a}\delta a, -a\bar{e}\delta a]$?], -mənda), apparently with the specific meaning 'all the way to, up to and including(?), throughout': $x\bar{s}a\theta r\bar{a}\delta a$ 'throughout (Yima's) reign',

^{27.} In late manuscripts we sometimes find at instead of aē (e.g., -aiiatca for -aiiaēca), which may a graphic error: -at- va instead of -ae- va.

 $pa^{i}ti\check{s}.x^{\nu}ar^{3}n\bar{a}\delta a$ 'up to and including the jaw(?)', $druj\bar{o}$ vaēsməṇda '(all the way) to the entrance hall of the Lie' (Yt. 10.86).²⁸

Locative singular

The locative singular is formed in one of two ways:

1. With the ending i, with or without an additional $-\bar{a}$ (> Av. $-ii\bar{a}$, YAv. -ie, OP $-iv\bar{a}$). Note that this $-\bar{a}$ makes a separate syllable $(-i)\bar{a}$, not *- $i\bar{a}$). In the a-stems the ending -i combines with the stem vowel to produce the diphthong *-ai (OAv. $-\bar{o}i$ or $-\bar{i}\bar{e}$, YAv. $-\bar{i}e$; in sandhi: OYAv. $-a\bar{e}^{\circ}$).

The ā-stems have *-ājā: YAv. -aiia, OP -āyā, and the ī-stems *-īyā: YAv. -ie(?), OP $-\bar{i}y\bar{a}$.

Consonant stems sometimes take the full grade, sometimes the zero grade. In Young Avestan, the ending is -i or -e, which is either < -iia or the thematic ending; Old Persian has -iyā. Young Avestan forms such as apaiia (< ap-) and aṣ̃auuanaiia are probably for *-iia with epenthesis. The \bar{u} -stems had -i (YAv. tanuui).

2. With full or lengthened grade of the stem formant and no ending. Thus the *i*-stems have the ending $-\bar{a} < *\bar{a}(i)$, and the *u*- and *ao*-stems have the ending *-au (> YAv. -uuō, -ao°, -auua, OP -avā). In Old Avestan, the original ending may have been $-ao(-a\bar{o})$, as well, which is occasionally found in good manuscripts. More often we find -āu, -å, or -ā. Of these I suspect -āu and $-\dot{a}$ are rationalizations of -ao ($-a\bar{o}$). The ending $-\bar{a}$ could be genuine, corresponding to OInd. $-\bar{a}$ beside $-\bar{a}u$, but OInd. $-\bar{a}$ may be secondary after the i-stems.²⁹

The n- (-r/n-) and m-stems take the ending -i or no ending: OAv. $anm\bar{o}n\bar{i}$ 'breath, wind', cašmainī and cašman 'eye', dam < dam- 'house', YAv. aiian < aiiar/n- 'day', dam and dami, zəmi (disyllabic).

Nominative-vocative-accusative dual

The endings of the nom.-voc.-acc. dual are:

Av., OP -ā in masculine a-stems (OAv. yəmā 'twins', YAv. gaoša 'ears', OP gaušā), diphthong stems (OAv. gāuuā, YAv. °gāuua < gao- 'cow, bull', bāzauua < bāzao- 'arm'), and masculine and feminine consonant stems (OAv. hauruuatātā amərətatātā 'wholeness and undyingness', YAv. āpa 'water (and plants)', pāδa 'feet', rasmana 'battle lines', nara 'men', nåŋha 'nose < nostrils', bərəzanta 'tall')

OAv. $-\bar{o}i$, OYAv. $-\bar{e}$ in feminine \bar{a} -stems (OAv. $ub\bar{e}$ 'both', YAv. $uruua^i re$ '(water and) plants' and neuter a-stems (OAv. šiiaoθanōi 'two (kinds of) actions')

Av. - i in neuter consonant stems (OAv. manahi° 'two [kinds of] thoughts', $s\bar{a}x^{\nu}\bar{\partial}n\bar{i}$ [?] 'two *instructions', YAv. *baraⁱnti[?] 'carrying' V. 3.11, $x^{\nu}a^{i}riianti$ 'edible, tasty[?]' Yt. 19.32; Skjærvø 1999b: 186–87), perhaps OP ušīv 'senses(?)'

- 28. See Vaan 2001. Forms in $-a\bar{e}\delta a$ are less certain (perhaps * $ai\beta$ išita $\bar{e}\delta aca$ and *upasi $ta\bar{e}\delta aca$ in Yt. 19.6).
- 29. Examples of loc. sg. forms in $-\bar{o}$ are cited in the handbooks (e.g., Hoffmann and Forssman 1996: 130) but these are all in texts with poor manuscript transmission. See Skjærvø 2005.

The *i-*, *i-*, and *u-*stems have no endings (OAv. $x^{\nu}iti^{\circ} \partial n\partial^{i}ti < *hu-/an-iti-$ 'good going and non-going', azī 'pregnant', maniiū 'spirits', YAv. baoiδi '(firewood and) incense', saŋhauuāci arənauuāci '(the sisters) Saŋhauuācī and Arnauuācī', pasu vīra 'beasts and men').

Dative-ablative-instrumental dual

The dative-ablative-instrumental dual ends in -biia (OAv. -biiā), for which we sometimes find YAv. - βe or -uue, with -e < -iia. ³⁰

Genitive dual

The genitive dual ending was *- $\bar{a}h$ (Av. -a) preceded by -aii- in the a- and \bar{a} -stems (Av. -aiiå, OP - \bar{a} ii \bar{a} ^h).

Locative dual

The locative dual ending was OAv. -ō (-aiiō, -ōiiō).

In Old Persian, the genitive = locative dual.

Nominative-vocative plural

The nom.-voc. plural ending of masculine and neuter a-stems was proto-Ir. ā (but OInd. -ās). The nom.-voc. plural of YAv. aməša- spənta- is aməş \tilde{a} spənta beside the more frequent aməş \tilde{a} spənta. Note also $a^{i}re < a^{i}riia$ -'Aryan'). Masculine a-stems have the alternative ending *-āhah: Av. -åŋhō, OP $-\bar{a}ha^h$.

The nom.-voc.-acc. plural ending of feminine \bar{a} - and \bar{i} -stems was *- $h/-\bar{s}$, Av. -å, -īš.

The nom.-voc. plural ending of other stems was *-ah for both masculine and feminine nouns. Not infrequently, however, the thematic ending -a is found in the manuscripts, often probably as a transmission error. The nom.-voc. plural typically requires the strong stem. In stems without ablaut nom. pl. = acc. pl.

The *i-*, $a\bar{e}$ -, and *u-*stems have full grade of the stem formant: $-aii\bar{o}$, $-auu\bar{o}$, while the ao-stems have lengthened grade of the stem formant: -āuuō. The nominative plural of gao- is gauuō in its only occurrence (Aogəmadaēca 83), with $*\bar{a}u > Av$. auu. The u-stem pasu- takes the zero grade of the stem formant throughout its declension (nom. pl. = acc. $pasuu\bar{o}$).

Nominative-accusative neuter plural

The nom.-acc. plural of neuter a-stems is $-\bar{a}$. The i- and u-stems probably lengthened the stem vowel, but in Avestan and Old Persian the plural = singular. The n-, r/n-, and h-stems form the nom.-acc. plural by lengthening the final syllable of the stem.

Other neuter consonant stems appear to take the ending -i in the nom.-acc. plural, e.g., ast- 'bone', YAv. pl. asti.

In Old Avestan, one or the other method was apparently used: anafšmam (for ${}^{\circ}man$) 'without rhythm(?)', but $n\bar{a}m\bar{e}n\bar{i} < n\bar{a}man$ - 'name'.

- 30. The apparent exception is bruuat.biiam (V. 8.41, etc.) of uncertain form and genitive(!) function, 'eyebrow' being simply * $br\bar{u}$ -. The similarity with OInd. - $bhy\bar{a}m$ is therefore coincidental.
 - 31. In Young Avestan, neuter a-stems frequently become feminine \bar{a} -stems in the plural.

Accusative plural

In the plural, vowel stems have endings descended from proto-Avestan *- $\eta h/-n\check{s}$, except in the feminine \bar{a} - and $\bar{\imath}$ -stems ($dev\bar{\imath}$ -decl.), where the -n-was lost already in Indo-European, so that their acc. = nom. pl.

Masculine a-stems had the ending *- $a\eta h$, which developed regularly to *- $\partial \eta(h)$, OAv. - $\partial \eta g$, YAv. *- $\partial \tau$ or -a, in sandhi OAv. -as° (once $y \partial \eta gst \bar{u}$), YAv. - ∂s ° or -as°. After uu the - ∂t was assimilated to - \bar{u} , and the preceding uu could be lost ($da\bar{e}uu\bar{u}/da\bar{e}\bar{u} < da\bar{e}uua$ - 'demon', $grauu\bar{u}sca/gra\bar{u}sca < grauua$ -'handle'). The Old Persian ending is - \bar{a} , the reading and etymological interpretation of which is uncertain. ds

In i- $(a\bar{e}$ -) and u- (ao-) stems, the combinations *-inš and *-unš became nasalized *-isš and *-us, which developed into OAv. -isš and $-\bar{u}$ s, YAv. $-\bar{i}$ sš and $-\bar{u}$ s. The monosyllabic ao-stem gao- has acc. pl. ga (< * $ga\bar{h}$); cf. acc. sg. gam).

In *r*-stems the original ending *-*rnš* must first have become *- $\partial r^3 \check{s}$, with a nasalized vocalic *r*, which was then realized (written) either as - $\partial r a\check{s}$ or - $\partial r \partial \check{s}$, with the usual substitution of a or $\bar{\partial}$ for * $\bar{\partial}$. The ending - $\partial r \partial \check{s}$ in time came to be felt as incorrect, and the diphthong $\bar{\partial} u$ was introduced to produce the form - $\partial r \partial u\check{s}$ frequently found in the manuscripts ($str \partial u\check{s} < star$ -, $n\partial r \partial u\check{s} < nar$ -, with the familiar ending - $\partial u\check{s}$ of the *u*-stems).

In consonant stems, the Indo-European ending *- η s had become *-as in proto-Indo-Iranian, which developed and behaved like the nom. sg. of a-stems.

Instrumental plural

The instrumental plural has two allomorphs in Avestan: $-\bar{a}i\check{s}$ (a-stem) and $-bi\check{s}$ (all other stems). Old Persian has $-aibi\check{s}$ also in the a-stems. The only Young Avestan example is $\bar{a}friuuana\bar{e}^ibi\check{s} < \bar{a}friuuan$ 'friendly-making(?)' in a poorly transmitted text (Fragment Westergaard, in Westergaard 1852–54: 331–34). The use of $-bi\check{s}$ in the a-stems is presumably analogical with the demonstrative pronoun * $aibi\check{s}$: YAv. $a\bar{e}^ibi\check{s}$.

Young Avestan has *-biš* after a consonant ($cuua\underline{t}.biš < cuua\underline{n}t$ - 'how much') and analogically in vowel stems ($ga\bar{e}\theta\bar{a}bi\check{s}$, $\bar{a}z\bar{i}zana^itibi\check{s} < \bar{a}z\bar{i}zana^iti$ - '(woman) about to give birth'). The expected form of the ah-stems, *- $azbi\check{s}$, has been replaced by $-\bar{b}bi\check{s}$, as if *- $ah.bi\check{s}$. After vowels, Young Avestan must have had *- $\beta i\check{s} > *$ - $uui\check{s}$ (*- $aoi\check{s}$), but no such forms are attested directly; instead, the phonetic modifications, together with various analogies, eventually produced the moderately productive ending $-\bar{t}\check{s}$, which was replaced by OAv. $-bi\check{s}$ in some declensions, but commonly also by the dat.-abl. ending (!). Old Persian has $\bar{a}bi\check{s} < *\bar{a}b-bi\check{s} < *\bar{a}p$ - 'water'.

The expected phonetic developments are nowhere preserved intact, but probably in disguise in the following cases: the ins. pl. forms $va\eta hu\ddot{s}$, $va\eta uh\dot{s} < va\eta hu$ - 'good' and $auua\eta^v h\dot{s} < auua\eta hu$ - 'un-good' (mss. au-

32. According to Oswald Szemerényi (*Scripta Minora 4* [Innsbruck: Innsbrucker Beiträge zur Sprachwissenschaft, 1991] 1956–60), the ending may have had a final, unwritten -n, which became -m before a labial in abiy sakām pasāva (DB 5.21–22); since sakām is the last word of a sentence and pasāva begins not only a new sentence but a new section of the inscription, this hypothesis remains weak, however.

uaŋhīš, auuaŋhūš) are presumably all for *-ŋhuuiš < *-ŋhuβiš; yātuš, if genuine, must be for *yātuuiš < *yātuβiš < yātu- 'sorcere'; ažiš < aži-'dragon' coordinated with ins. forms in -āiš (Yt. 5.90) may be for *ažiuuiš.

In the ins. plural of *n*-stems we have the ending $-i\tilde{s}$: $n\bar{a}m\bar{b}n\tilde{i}\tilde{s}$, $a\tilde{s}aon\tilde{i}\tilde{s}$, and sunis. The simplest explanation of these forms, in my opinion, is that the forms *nāməuiš and *ašauəuiš, compared with the other oblique plural forms, instead of being remade into *nāməbiš and *aṣauuəbiš, were simply felt to miss an n, which was substituted for the 'irregular' uu. In ašaoniš the regular weak stem was then also reintroduced. The original ins. plural of span-/sun- 'dog' must have been (* $k\mu n$ -bhis > *spabiš >) *spaßiš > *spauuiš > *spaoiš/*spauuiš, which, for obvious reasons, was remade as $s\bar{u}n\bar{i}s$ after the pattern of ašaon $\bar{i}s$: weak stem + $-\bar{i}s$. This procedure produced forms that looked like they were made from the weak stem with the ending $-i\tilde{s}$, which may then have analogically supported the irregular u- and i-stem forms above.

Dative-ablative plural

The ending of the dat.-abl. plural is Av. -biiō (-biias°), before which the a-stems have the diphthong $a\bar{e}$ (OAv. $a\bar{e}$ or $\bar{o}i$). 33 After vowels, in Young Avestan, this ending became -βiiō and -uuiiō/-uuaiiō (xštəuuiβiiō xštauui-, ethnic(?), $v\bar{o}iyn\bar{a}uii\bar{o} < v\bar{o}iyn\bar{a}$, a kind of natural disaster, $ga\bar{e}\theta\bar{a}uu^aii\bar{o}$, rasmaoiiō < rasman- 'battle line', aṣ̄āuuaoiiō, nəruiiō/nuruiiō < nar-), but these forms are found only rarely, having been replaced by the postconsonantal (and OAv.) forms in -biiō.

The p-stem $\bar{a}p$ - has dat.-abl. pl. $a^i\beta ii\bar{o}$ (< *abjah < *ab-bjah < *ap-bjah).

The form maniiaoibiias° (< maniiauua-) must be a replacement for * $mania(u)\bar{o}iah$ (or sim.) < * $maniaua^iuiah$.

As in the ins., the expected form of the ah-stems, *-azbiiah, has been replaced by $-\bar{\partial}bi\bar{\partial}$, except $aza\eta hibii\bar{\partial} < azah$ - 'constriction, tight place'.

Genitive plural

The genitive plural ends in -am, before which an -n- is inserted in most of the vowel (incl. \bar{u} -stems) stems. Hysterokinetic *i*- ($a\bar{e}$ -), u-, and monosyllabic ao-stems have the endings *-jam and -uam (YAv. kaoiiam < kauui-'poet-priest', hašam < *hačįām < haxaē- 'companion', raijam < raē- 'wealth', gauuam, pasuuam, ra θ β am).

In the genitive plural, the Avestan manuscripts have short vowels in the a-stems (-anam), except in mašiiānam (possibly influenced by mašiiāka-'people', itself being for expected *mašiiaka-) and more often short than long vowels in the i- and u-stems (-t̄nam, -t̄nam). Old Persian has -ānām and $-\overline{u}$ nam (written <-u-n-a-m> or <-u-v-n-a-m>).

Locative plural

The locative plural endings are $-h\bar{u}/-s\bar{u}/-s\bar{u}$ or with -a (YAv. and OP): YAv. -huua, -šuua or -š.huua (see $\S 0.5$), OP - $^huv\bar{a}$, -šu $v\bar{a}$.

33. The dat,-abl, pl. $ha\bar{e}n\bar{o}bii\bar{o} < ha\bar{e}n\bar{a}$ - in Yt. 10.93 is probably in anticipation of the following draomābiiō.

The final -*a* forms a separate syllable (- u^2a); therefore, -huua remains and does not become *- $\eta^{\nu}ha$.

3.4. Comparative and superlative

The comparative and superlative of adjectives (including participles) and adverbs can be made in one of two ways: either with the suffixes *-tara-* and *-təma-* or with *-iiah-* and *-išta-*.

The suppletive type: Eng. $good \sim better$, is attested in OP vazarka- 'great', $ma\theta išta$ - 'greatest' (cf. MPers. wazurg, mahi/meh, mahist) and perhaps OP naiba-, *vahayah- (proper name vahayaz-data-), *vahišta- (cf. MPers. $n\bar{e}w/n\bar{e}k$, wahi/weh, wahišt). It seems not to be represented in Avestan.

The comparative in *-tara-* and superlative in *-təma-* are formed from the stem of adjectives (weak stem if ablauting) and with appropriate sandhi before the ending. The *a*-stems often use the "composition form" in $-\bar{o}$ before these endings. This is the "regular" and productive type, which can be made from all kinds of adjectival words, even another superlative: $dra\bar{e}$ - $ji\bar{s}t\bar{o}$.t- $ma\bar{e}\bar{s}uuaca$ $ni^uruzd\bar{o}$.t- $ma\bar{e}\bar{s}uuaca$ 'among the poorest, among the most emaciated' (V. 3.19) $< dra\bar{e}ji\bar{s}ta - < driyu$ -'poor'. A subgroup of this type contains prefixes with comparatives and superlatives in *-ara-*, *-ama*-(beside *-tara-*, *-tama-*):

Simple and derived adjectives and adverbs: OAv. f³raša- 'perfect', f³rašō.təma-; po^uru- 'plentiful', po^urutəma-; YAv. baēšaziia- 'healing', baēšaziiō.tara-, baēšaziiō.təma-; amauuaṇt- 'powerful', amauuastara-, amauuastəma- (-uuast- < *-ụn̥t-t-); aṣ̌auuan- 'orderly', aṣ̌auuastəma- (-uuast- for *-uua-t- < *-ụn̥-t- in analogy with uuant-stems).

Prefixes and adverbs: apa- Av. apara- 'future', apəma- 'last'; upa- 'up above', upara-, upəma-; $a\delta ara$ - 'below', antəma-, $ma\delta əma$ - 'middle'; with -tara-, -tama-: OP apa-, apatara- 'beyond(?)'; fra-, YAv., OP fratara- 'better' (OP also $fra\theta ara$ -), Av. $frat \Rightarrow ma$ -, OP fratama- 'foremost'; YAv. $nit \Rightarrow ma$ - and $ust \Rightarrow ma$ - 'last'.

Present participles: YAv. *hant-* 'being', *hastəma-* 'best'; *ta^uruuaiiant-* 'overcoming, victorious', *ta^uruuaiiastəma-*.

Compounds: $hu\delta\bar{a}h$ - 'giving good gifts', $hu\delta\bar{a}st\partial ma$ -; $hubao^i\delta i$ - 'smelling good', $hubao^i\delta itara$ -, $hubao^i\delta it\partial ma$ -; $y\bar{a}sk\partial r^{\partial}t$ - 'competitive(?)', $y\bar{a}sk\partial r^{\partial}stara$ -, $y\bar{a}sk\partial r^{\partial}st\partial ma$ -; $v\partial r^{\partial}\theta rajast\partial ma$ - (with -ast- in analogy with present participles).

The comparative in Av. -iiah- (OP -iyah- and superlative in Av., OP -išta-) are made from the root in the full grade, also with appropriate sandhi before the ending. Adjectives with suffixes lose these.

Simple adjectives (only with stem vowel): no suffix: Av. maz- (and $maz\bar{a}\eta t$ -) 'great', maziiah-, mazišta-; a-stems: Av. aka- 'evil', $a\check{s}iiah$ - (< * $a\check{c}iiah$ -), acišta-; adv.: $b\bar{a}\delta a$ 'occasionally(?)', $b\bar{a}^i\delta i\check{s}t\partial m$; u-stems: Av. $\bar{a}su$ - 'fast', $\bar{a}siiah$ -, $\bar{a}si\check{s}ta$ -; drival- 'poor', $dra\bar{e}ji\check{s}ta$ -; $\partial r^{\partial}zu$ - 'upright, straight', $razi\check{s}ta$ -; kasu- 'small', kasiiah-; $va\eta hu$ - 'good', vahiiah- (OAv. also $va\acute{x}iiah$ -, YAv. also $va\acute{y}hah$ -), $vahi\check{s}ta$ -.

Adjectives with suffixes: suffix -ta-: Av. masita- 'long', masiiah-, masišta-; spəṇṭa- 'life-giving', spaniiah-, spēṇišta-; suffix -ra-: Av. uyra- 'strong',

aojiiah-, aojišta-; namra- 'pliable', namišta-; xrūždra- 'hard', xraoždišta-; suffix -ri-: Av. $bu^i ri$ - 'plentiful', baoiiah-, $db\bar{o}i\check{s}ta$ - (with $-\bar{o}i$ - < $-\partial uui$ -); suffix -m/uant-: xratumant- 'wise', xraθβišta- (or superl. of °xratu- in compounds); OP tunuvaⁿt- 'mighty', tauvīyah-.

Compounds: OAv. zarazdā- 'confident', zarazdišta-.

Roots with internal laryngeal: dar³ya- 'long' (< *drHga-) drājiiah-, drājišta- (< *draHj-); with suffix -ra-: Av. ādra- 'lowly' (< *nHd-ra-), nāⁱdiiah-(< *naHd-ijah-); srīra- 'beautiful' (< *ćriH-ra-), sraiiah- (< *ćraiH-ijah-), sraēšta- (< *sraiH-išta-); stūra- 'sturdy, thick' (< *stHura-), staoiiah- (< *staHuiiah-), stāuuišta- (< *staHu-išta-); sūra- 'rich in life-giving strength' (< *ćuHra-), səuuišta- (< *ćauH-išta-); u-stems: pouru- 'plentiful' (< *pṛH-u-), frāiiah-(< *praH-iah-), fraēšta- (< *praH-išta-).

Roots with internal n: taxma- 'firm' (< *tnk-ma-), tašiiah- (< *tanč-ijah-), tancišta-.

There are a few superlatives in -išta- of verbal derivatives, which structurally correspond to either present participles or forms of the type -karaused in compounds: OAv. mairišta-, cf. marənt- 'remembering, memorizing'; bairišta-, cf. barənt- 'carrying' and 'bara-.

A few adjectives have both kinds of superlative, but with semantic differentiation, e.g., pouru- 'much, many', OAv. superl. pourutəma- (OInd. purutama-) 'in highest numbers', YAv. fraēšta- 'most'; spənta- 'life-giving', OAv. spāništa- 'most life-giving', spaṇtō.tama- 'having the name spaṇta- in the highest degree(?)'; aka-, OAv. ašiiah- 'the (more) evil of the two', YAv. akatara- 'worse (for)'.

3.5. Adverbs

Adverbs can be invariable particles, case forms of nouns or adjectives, including compounds, or forms derived from nouns or pronouns.

Invariable particles: Av. $a^i p \bar{i}$ 'hereafter'; OP $azd\bar{a}$ 'well-known(?)', OAv. daibitā 'from old, always(?)'; Av. mošu° 'soon, quickly'; Av. paiti 'in return', OP °pativ 'in addition'; OAv. $ar\bar{\rho}m$ 'in due measure(?)'; OAv. $n\bar{u}$, Av. $n\bar{u}r\bar{\rho}m$, OP nūram 'now'; OAv. adā 'below', auuarā 'hither!', nanā 'one way or another'; Av. $u^i t \bar{i}$ 'thus, quote'.

Adverbs with the ending *-s (Schindler 1987): aš° 'greatly' (< *mj-š; cf. maz- 'great'), OAv. ərəš 'truly', YAv. arš (< *Hrj-š; cf. ərəzu- 'straight'); cf. OAv. āuuiš 'openly'.

Adverbs of place and manner with modal and spatial suffixes: -θra 'where(to)', $-\theta a$ 'how', -da 'where, when', YAv. $-\delta \bar{a}t$, OP -das 'from where/when', 34 'from where', etc. (see on correlative pronominal adverbs, below). Note also OP $a^h ma$ - ta^h 'from there'.

Case forms:

Nom.-acc. neut. sg.: YAv. daršat, OP daršam 'strongly'; YAv. dar²yəm, OP dargam 'long, for a long time', YAv. po^urum 'in front', OP paruvam 'before';

34. The ending -daš is to be explained by a proportion (Hoffmann 1992: 744-45): bābirauv 'in Babylon' : $hac\bar{a}\ b\bar{a}birau\bar{s}$ 'from Babylon' = $avad\bar{a}$ 'there' : $X \Rightarrow X = hac\bar{a}\ avada\bar{s}$ 'from there'.

YAv. paoⁱrīm, bitīm, OP duvitīyam, YAv. θritīm, OP citīyam 'first(ly), secondly, thirdly (for the first/second/third time)'; YAv. haiθīm 'truly', OAv. vasō, OYAv. vasō 'at will'; OP apataram 'farther away', kamnam 'in small numbers', vasiy 'greatly'.

Abl. sing: Av. dūrāt, OP dūradaš 'from far away'.

Loc. sg.: Av. $d\bar{u}^i r \bar{e}$, OP $d\bar{u} r a i y$ (apiy) 'in the distance, far away'; YAv. airime 'in peace'; OP ašnaiy 'close' (see also §3.8.2).

Compounds: YAv. frā.āpəm 'with the stream', 'paitiiāpəm 'against the stream', $ya\theta \bar{a}.k ar^{3}t$ 'as it is done', OP pati-padam 'in place', duvitāparnam 'in two branches', ni-padiy 'in the footsteps of, close behind', pasāva (< pasā-ava) 'after that, afterward', para-drayah 'beyond the ocean'.

3.6. Pronouns

The Avestan pronouns are of the Indo-Iranian type: personal, demonstrative, reflexive-reciprocal, relative, interrogative, and indefinite (indefinite relative).

The personal pronouns distinguish three persons; the third person distinguishes three genders. Many have enclitic forms, including the 2nd sg./pl. nom.

The demonstrative pronouns have three-way deixis of varying emphasis. They all have two (or more) stems, one reserved for the nom. masculine and feminine, the other for the other cases, or a more complex distribution.

There are two near-dexis (1st pers.) pronouns: aii-/ima- 'this' is used of things near the speaker and things in this world, as opposed to in heaven, but also something impending, hence 'the following'; aēša-/aēta- 'this' partly overlaps with aii-/ima-, but most frequently refers to the matter at hand, both what has been said and what is going to be said; in the legal books of the Avesta, it is therefore used in the sense of 'this X in question', 'the aforementioned'.

The Old Avestan pronoun (nom.) huuō 'he, that one' may originally have had 2nd-person deixis: 'he, that one (near you)' (Watkins 2000). In the Old Avesta it appears to refer to somebody in the vicinity of the speaker and the one spoken of/to (the one currently "on stage"). The oblique stem ana- can, apparently, be used with "derogatory" deixis (cf. Latin iste, Spanish ese).

The original 'that'-deixis pronouns are ha-/ta-, with weak deixis (also used as 3rd pers. personal pron.) and hāu/auua- with strong 'yonder'deixis, notably used about things in heaven.

The relative pronoun has the IIr. stem ya-, which in Old Persian was univerbated with the 3rd pers. personal pronoun to form the system haya-/ taya-. The nom.-acc. neut. is OAv. hiiat (of unclear origin), YAv. yat (hiiat in a few "archaizing" formulas).

The interrogative and indefinite pronouns are formed from the IIr. stems ka- and ci-.

Special pronominal forms

Pronouns are basically inflected according to the a- and \bar{a} -declensions, but with some special "pronominal" case endings inherited from Indo-

European, notably neut. nom.-acc. sg. -at (YAv. -at, OP -at); masc. nom. plur. *-ai (YAv. -ie, OP -aiy); dat. sg. of the personal pronouns 1st and 2nd pers. in *-bia(h); the element *-hm- (Av. -hm-, OP -hm-) in several oblique cases masc.-neut.; and *-hi- (Av. -ńh-, OP -hay-) in several oblique cases feminine; the elements masc. *-aiš-, fem. -āh- in the gen. plural.

There are some Old Avestan feminine singular forms in $-a\bar{e}$, e.g., $x^{\nu}a\bar{e}^{\circ}$ (cf. Latin quae).

Some pronominal forms are also found in "pronominal" adjectives, including the Old Avestan possessive pronouns ma- 'my', $\theta \beta a$ - 'thy', Av. aniia-, OP aniya- 'other', Av., OP hama- 'one and the same', OP haruva-'whole', Av. vispa-, OP visa- 'every, all': neut. nom.-acc. sg. YAv. aniiat (but vīspəm), OP aniya^t, aniyaš-čiy; 35 masc. dat. sg. YAv. aniiahmāi, vīspəmāi (< *vispəmāi?); fem. gen., dat., loc. sg.: OP gen.-dat. hamahayāyā, loc. haruvahavāvā; nom. pl. YAv. aniie, vīspe, OP aniyaiy, visaiy; gen. pl. YAv. aniiaēšam, vispaēšam.

Reflexive and reciprocal pronouns

For 'own' Avestan has $x^{\nu}a$ -/hauua- and Young Avestan also $x^{\nu}a\bar{e}pa^{i}\theta iia$ -.

For 'self', the closest Young Avestan equivalent is tanū- 'body', with or without hauuā- or $x^{\nu}a\bar{e}pa^{i}\theta ii\bar{a}$ -, as in azəm tanūm aguze 'I hid my own body' (Yt. 17.55) and haom (for hauuam) tanum guzaeta 'he should hide his own body' (Yt. 4.4), haca hauuaiiås^ə tanuuō 'away from one's own body' (V. 10.5), $x^{\nu}a\bar{e}pai\theta$ iiås $anuu\bar{o}$ of (their) own body (Yt. 10.23).

Old Persian has huvaipašiya- 'self' and huvāipašiya- 'own'.

Interrogative and indefinite pronouns

The interrogative pronouns ka- and ci- 'who', cit 'what', katara- 'which (of two)' can be made indefinite by means of the particle -cit, repetition, or a combination of the two, e.g., YAv. katarascit 'each (of the two)', kəmcit 'each', kahmāiciţ 'to whomsoever', kahe kahiiāciţ 'of each and every one', kahmi kahmiciţ 'in each and every', kańhe kańĥe 'in each and every'; OP kašciy 'anybody', cišciy 'anything'.

Indefinite relative pronouns and indefinite adverbs are formed in the same way: yatcit 'whatever, whenever', kuuacit 'wherever'.

The indefinite particles -ca and -cana are less common, e.g., OAv. cišcā, *caiiascā, cīcā*; YAv. *cišca* 'whoever, everyone', *kaθacina* 'however'.

The negative indefinite pronouns are identical with the interrogative pronouns prefixed with the negation in Avestan, e.g., naēciš 'nobody', māciš '(let) nobody'; Old Persian has naiy . . . kašciy/cišciy 'nobody, nothing'.

Correlative pronominal adverbs

The pronominal stems give rise to numerous derived "rhyming" forms, adjectival and adverbial. These are often found in pairs e.g.:

i- 'here and now': OAv. $id\bar{a}$, YAv. $i\delta a$, OP $id\bar{a}$ 'here'; Av. $i\theta \bar{a}$ 'in this manner'; YAv. $i\theta r\bar{a}$ 'here'

- 35. Also by a proportion for *aniat-cit: masc. sg. aniya: aniyaš-ciy = neut. sg. anya: $X \Rightarrow$ X = aniyaš-ciy. Similarly also cišciy 'anything' (below) for *cit-cit.
 - 36. Cf. Modern Persian $x^{\nu}i\dot{s}$ and $x^{\nu}i\dot{s}tan$.

 \bar{a} eta- 'this': YAv. $a\bar{e}$ ta δa 'here'; $a\bar{e}$ tauuant- 'this much'

a- 'then and there': OAv. $ad\bar{a}$, YAv. $a\delta a$, OP ada° , adakaiy 'then'; YAv. $a\delta\bar{a}t$ 'from there'; Av. $a\theta\bar{a}$ 'in that manner'; Av. $a\theta r\bar{a}$ 'there'; YAv. auuant-, OP avā 'that much'

auua- 'yonder': YAv. auuaδa, OP avadā 'there'; OP avadaš 'from there'; YAv. $auua\theta a$, OP $ava\theta \bar{a}$ 'in that manner'; YAv. $auua\theta ra$ 'there'; YAv. $auua\theta ra$ uuant- 'that much'; OP avākaram 'of that kind'

ātara- 'that one (of two)': YAv. yatāra- 'which (of two)', katāra- 'which (of two)?', $\bar{a}tara\theta ra$ 'on that side (of two)'

ya-, rel.: OAv. yadā, YAv. yaδa, OP yadā 'when'; YAv. yaδāṯ 'whence'; Av. $ya\theta\bar{a}$ 'in what manner'; Av. $ya\theta r\bar{a}$ 'where'; Av. yauuant- 'as much (. . . as)'

ka-, ku-, c- interr.: OAv. $kad\bar{a}$, YAv. $ka\delta a$ 'when?'; Av. $ka\theta \bar{a}$ 'in what manner?'; OAv. kudā, YAv. kudat 'from where?'; Av. ku θ rā 'where?'; YAv. cuuant-'how much?'; OP ciyākaram 'of what kind?'

aniia- 'other': OAv. aniiada° 'elsewhere', OAv. aniiāθā 'differently'

3.7. Number words

Quite a few cardinals, ordinals, and other number words are attested in Young Avestan, while Old Avestan has hardly any. In Old Persian, few number words are spelled out, though several Old Persian numerals are found in Elamite texts.

The cardinals 'two' and 'three' have archaic feminine forms with the formant hr/šr.

The numerals 'one' to 'four'

'One'

'One' is Av. aēuua-, OP aiva-. Among the forms of aēuua-, note YAv. acc. sg. masc. aōim, ōim, ōiium, etc.

The stem *ha- (< *sm-) is found in YAv. hakərət, OP hakaram 'once'. The ordinals are Av. fratəma-.

'Two' and 'both'

'Two' is expressed by the stems *dua- and *dui- (YAv. bi° in compounds): cardinal YAv. duua; ordinal OAv. daibitiia-, YAv. bitiia- (cf. ātbitim/ā\delta\bitim 'a second time'), OP duvitīya- (duvitīyam 'for the second time'); YAv. biš 'twice'.

The words for 'both' are OAv. ubā, YAv. uua, OP ubā (gen.-dat. ubānām); YAv. uuaēm 'both' (OInd. ubhayam).

YAv. duua and uua (i.e., dúua, úua) have nom.-acc. masc. YAv. duua, uua, fem., neut. OAv. ubē, YAv. duiie, duuaē°, uiie; dat.-abl.-inst. duuaēibiia, and gen. duuaiiå, uuaiiå.

'Three'

The stem is θri - (YAv. θri ° in compounds), whence the cardinal nom. masc. $\theta r \bar{a} i i \bar{o}$ (acc. $\theta r \bar{i} \bar{s}$, gen. $\theta r a i i q m$, dat.-abl. $\theta r i b i i \bar{o}$), fem. $t i \bar{s} r \bar{o}$ (gen. $t i \bar{s} r q m$, tišranam), neut. $\theta r\bar{i}$; the ordinal is Av. $\theta ritiia$ -, OP çitiyam 'for the third time'; YAv. θ riš 'thrice'.

'Four'

The stem is $\check{c}a\theta\beta ar$ -/catur- (YAv. $ca\theta ru^{\circ}$ in compounds), whence the cardinal nom. masc. $ca\theta\beta\bar{a}r\bar{o}$ (acc. $catur\bar{o}$, gen. caturam), fem. $cata\eta r\bar{o}$, neut. catura; the ordinal is YAv. $t\bar{u}^i riia$ - ($\bar{a}xt\bar{u}^i rim$ 'a fourth time'); YAv. $ca\theta ru\bar{s}$ 'four times'.

The remaining cardinals

The numerals 'five' to 'ten': panca, xšuuaš, hapta, ašta, nauua, dasa, have thematic gen. forms (pancanam, nauuanam, dasanam).

Most of the numerals 'eleven' to 'nineteen' are known only from the ordinals, which were identical with the cardinals: aēuuandasa-, duuadasa-, θridasa-, caθrudasa-, paṇcadasa-, xšuuaš.dasa-, hapta.dasa-, ašta.dasa-, nauua.dasa-.

'Twenty' is *vīsaⁱti*.

'Thirty' to 'fifty' are compounded with "satəm: θ risatəm, ca θ β ar 3 satəm, pancāsatəm.

'Sixty' to 'ninety' are feminine ti-stems: xšuuašti-, haptāiti-, aštāiti-, nauuaⁱti-.

'Hundred' and 'thousand' are neuter a-stems, sata-, hazanra-: sg. satəm, hazaŋrəm, dual duiie saite, duiie hazaŋre, with mixed plural forms: tišrō sata, $ca\theta\beta\bar{a}r\bar{o}$ sata, nauua hazaŋra, etc.

Compounded numerals are represented by paṇcāca vīsaiti '25', θraiiasca θ risasca '33', paṇcāca haptāⁱti- '75', nauuaca nauuaⁱti '99'.

Higher numerals: duiie nauuaiti '180', nauuaca . . . nauuaitišca nauuaca sata nauuaca hazaŋra nauuasōsca baēuuan '999,999' (the highest Avestan number).

Derived numerals

The ordinals of 'four', 'five', and 'six' are characterized by the root vowel u: $t\bar{u}^i riia$ -, $pux \delta a$ -, $x \delta tuua$ -. 'Seventh' has the formant $-\theta a$ -: $hapta \theta a$ -; 'fifth' and 'eighth' to 'tenth' have -ma-: paṇcama-, aštəma-, naoma-, dasəma-; 'eleventh' to 'nineteenth' have the formant -a-, making them identical with the ordinals (see above). 'Twenty' and 'thirty' have the superlative suffix -təma-: vīsastəma-, Orisastəma-.

Multiplicatives ('times', '-fold') have various formations ('once' to 'four times', see above): the forms biš and θ riš may receive an additional formant -uat: bižuuat, θrižuuat; 'six/nine times' have a formant -aiia: xšuuažaiia, naomaiia; the tens have a formant -uå (-uəm) '-fold': vīsaitiuuå, θrisaθβå, θ risata θ β θ m, ca θ β ar θ sa θ β å, paṇcasa θ β å, xšuuaštiuuå, hapta θ iuuå, ašta θ iuuå, nauuaⁱtiuuå. The form nauuasə̄s° (cf. OInd. °-śaḥ) is used in nauuasə̄sca baēuuan '90,000'. Higher numbers: satāiiuš, hazaŋrāiš, baēuuarōiš.

The '-th time' is formed with the prefix \bar{a} -: $\bar{a}\underline{t}bit\bar{t}m/\bar{a}\delta bit\bar{t}m$, $\bar{a}\theta rit\bar{t}m$, āxtūⁱrīm.

Fractions are made with the formant -hua-/-šua-: θ rišuua-, $ca\theta$ rušuua-, pantan haptahuua-, aštahuua-. The same formation was used in Old Persian, as attested in Elamite texts: ši-iš-maš = *çišuva-, ṣa-iš-šu-iš-ma = * \check{c} açu $\check{s}uva$ -, $a\check{s}$ -du- $ma\check{s}$ = * $a\check{s}tauva$ -, etc. (Hoffmann 1965).

3.8. Derived nominals

Words can be derived in a variety of manners: by prefixes and suffixes and by composition, of which the following is a sample.

3.8.1. Suffixes

Several suffixes have been discussed above, e.g., -ti- for action nouns (see infinitives, §2.3.11), the suffixes making participles of necessity, the suffixes used to derive number words, the suffixes making stem forms in general, and others. Among the more common, even productive, Old Iranian suffixes are the following:

Among the many uses of this suffix (the thematic vowel), one is to make adjectives from nouns (including derived nouns and compounds), often accompanied by lengthened (or full) grade of the first syllable of the noun; when the first syllable has a long vowel, the adjective will be identical with the noun from which it is derived:

Av. maniiauua- 'belonging to the world of thought' < maniiu-; narauua-'son/descendant of Naru'; $haoza\theta\beta a$ - 'the fact of being from a good lineage' < huzantu- 'of good lineage'; āpa- 'waterlogged' < ặp- 'water', anāpa- 'waterless'; $upa^iri-z^{(\partial)}ma$ - 'living upon the earth' < zam- 'earth'; $haza\eta r\bar{o}.zima$ -< ziiam- 'winter' 'space of a thousand years';

OP $huv\bar{a}ipašiya$ - 'own' < huvaipašiya- 'self'; $m\bar{a}rgava$ - 'person from Margiana' < margu- 'Margiana'; pārsa 'Persian' < pārsa 'Persia'.

-na-, -ana-

This suffix produces various kinds of nouns and adjectives from roots:

Av. yasna- 'sacrifice' < √yaz 'sacrifice'; frašna- 'question' < √fras 'ask'; $x^{\nu}afna$ - 'sleep' $<\sqrt{x^{\nu}ap}$ 'sleep'; ° δana -, OP ° $d\bar{a}na$ - 'depository, container' < √dā 'place'; °stāna-, OP stāna- 'place (for . . .)' < √stā 'stand';—YAv. hanjamana- 'assembly, gathering' $< ham + \sqrt{gam/jam}$ 'come together'; vanhana-'dress' < √vah 'wear', maēθana- 'dwelling' < √maēθ 'dwell'; raocana- 'window' < √raok/raoc 'shine'; pacina- 'cooked meal' < √pak/pac 'cook';

OP hamarana- 'battle' < ham + √ar 'clash'; draujana- 'lier, deceiver' < √draug/drauj 'lie, deceive'; parīyana- < *pariyayana-(?) < pari + √ai 'go about'; \bar{a} vahana- 'settlement, town' $<\bar{a}$ + \sqrt{v} ah 'inhabit'; parana- in *paranam* 'before, formerly' < *para*^h 'before'(?).

-i-, -ia-

The suffix -i- and its thematicized form -ja- are also used to form adjectives denoting various kinds of appurtenance, notably geographical; they are often accompanied by lengthened grade in the first syllable:

YAv. $\bar{a}h\bar{u}^iri$ - 'pertaining to / belonging to Ahura (Mazdā)' < ahura-(mazdā-); hāuuani- '(time of day) pertaining to the haoma pressing' < hauuana- 'haoma pressing, mortar'; $v\bar{a}r^{\partial}\theta rayni$ - 'victorious' $< v\partial r^{\partial}\theta rayna$ -'victory, god of victory'; māzdaiiasni- 'belonging to the Mazdaiiasnians' $< mazdaiiasna-; aⁱ\betaimi\thetari-$ 'somebody acting against a contract'; haomiia'related to the haoma'; nmāniia- 'pertaining to the home' < nmāna-; vīsiia-'pertaining to the town' $< v\bar{i}s$ -; $x\bar{s}a\theta riia$ - 'in command' $< x\bar{s}a\theta ra$ - 'royal command'; $ra\theta\beta$ iia- 'according to the ratu'; $t\bar{u}^i$ riia- 'Turian(?)'; hupa θ maniia-'the fact of having good flights'; vīspō.bāmiia- 'being all light';

OP $y\bar{a}u^h mani$ 'being in control(?)' < *yauhman- 'harnessing(?)' < \sqrt{y} auk 'harness'; bāgayādi-, month name: '(month) devoted to sacrifices to the god' < baga- + *yāda-; nāviya- (YAv. nāuuaiia-) 'deep' (lit., needing a boat to cross?) < nāu- 'boat'; māniya- '(servant?) belonging to one's house'; $ha^m miciya$ - 'conspirator' < *ham-mica- 'having the same' $mi\theta ra$ "contract"; θαπυναπίγα- 'connected with/using a bow' < *θαπυναπ- 'bow'; xšāyaθίγα- $< *x šaya \theta a$ - 'the wielding of power' $< \sqrt{x}$ sai 'to be in power'; agriya- 'fore $most' < *agra- 'tip(?)'; a\theta uriya- 'Assyrian' < a\theta urā- 'Assyria', haxāmanišiya-$ 'Achaemenid' $< hax\bar{a}mani\bar{s}a$ - 'Achaemenes'; with k > c before the suffix: maciya- < maka- 'Makran', ākaufaciya- 'mountain-dwellers' < *ākaufaka-.

A special use of the suffix -i- is to denote the master of something, somebody in charge of, owner of: OAv. dami-, the one in charge of, the one holding the dāman- the (cosmic) 'nets, fabrics(?)'; YAv. uštrō.stāni-'somebody in charge of, owner of the camel stall (uštrō.stāna-)'; pasuš.hasti-'somebody in charge of, owner of the sheep pen (pasuš.hasta-)'.

The suffix -i- is also used to make patronymics: zaraθuštri- 'son of Zarathustra' $< zara \theta u \check{s} tra-$, beside $-\check{a}na-$, $-\bar{a}ni-$: YAv. $j\bar{a}m\bar{a}spana < j\bar{a}m\bar{a}spa-$; $\bar{a}\theta\beta ii\bar{a}ni-<*\bar{a}\theta\beta iia-$ (OInd. $\bar{a}ptya-$) (Schmitt 2002).

-ka-, -aka-, -kā-

This is the most productive suffix in both Indic and Iranian, but is still relatively rare in Avestan and Old Persian:

YAv. $ja^{i}nik\bar{a}$ < $ja^{i}n\bar{i}$ 'woman'; $ma\check{s}ii\bar{a}ka$ > $ma\check{s}iia$ 'man, mortal'; pasuka'sheep and goats' < pasu-; $n\bar{a}^i rik\bar{a}$ - < $n\bar{a}^i r\bar{i}$ - 'woman'; $jahik\bar{a}$ - 'whore' < $jah\bar{i}$ -; with compounds: Av. humaiiaka- proper name < humaiiā-, apərənāiiuka- = apərənāiiu- 'not vet adult'; used of demonic beings: əuuərəzikā- 'producing nothing (good)' (V. 18.30, etc.); niuuaiiaka nipašnaka apa.skaraka apa. xraosaka '(libations) to be 'woe'd down, to be (ground) under the heels, to be skreeched back(?), to be howled back(?)' (Yt. 5.95);

OP vazarka- < *vazar 'greatness' (cf. vašnā 'by the greatness'); ārštika-, huārštika- '(good) spearman' < *aršti- 'spear'; marīka- 'young man' < *mariya-; baⁿdaka- 'bondsman' <*banda- 'bond'; anāmaka-, month name < anāman- 'nameless'; karnuvaka- 'workman, artisan' (< karnau-, present stem, 'do, make').

-tāt- feminine

This is a productive suffix making abstract nouns from adjectives. It is also used to "quote" or refer to words. There are no examples in Old Persian, where it may have been replaced by -tā- (OP arštā- ~ Av. arštāt-):

Av. ha^uruuatāt- 'wholeness' < ha^uruua-; uparatāt- 'superiority' < upara-; OAv. kəuuitāt- 'the word/title of kauui 'poet'; auuaētāt- 'the word auuōi 'woe!'; YAv. yauuaētāt- 'that which is yauuaē 'forever', eternity'; ⁱriθiiastāt-'the fact of being 'riθiiant- 'dying'.

3.8.2. Compounds

Compounds with prefixes:

Exclusively nominal prefixes include:

a- (a-), an- 'not, non-, lacking', used to negate nouns and adjectives (including those made from verbs) and to make adjectives meaning 'lacking something': OAv. adrujiiant- 'non-deceiving', YAv. araθβiia- 'not according to the (cosmic) models', asrušti- 'non-hearing', anašauuan- 'not orderly', a-+ vista- 'found' > əuuista- 'unfound, not to be found', əuuisti- 'fact of not finding', əuuisəmna- 'not to be found(?)', anhaosəmna- 'not drying out', əuuiδuuah- 'ignorant', afratat.kušī- 'not yet flowing forth', akarana- 'endless, unlimited', anayra-'without beginning'.

hu- (Av. also x^{ν} -, OP huv-) 'good' and duš-, duž- 'bad, evil': Av. hušiti-, dušiti- (< °-šiti-) 'good/bad dwelling'; x^vīti-, dužiti- (< duš-iti-) 'good (easy)/ bad (difficult) going, comfort/discomfort'; hūiti- <*hu-uti- 'somebody whose weaving is good, artisan', hudāh-, duždāh- 'giving good/bad gifts' (<°da'ah-); huxš $a\theta ra$ -, duš θ -a-. 'having good/bad command'; humanah-, dužmanah- 'thinking good/bad thoughts'; huraoδa- 'beautiful' < raoδa-'growth, stature'; humata- hūxta- huuaršta- 'well thought, spoken, done';— OP huv-asa- 'having good horses', huv-asabāra- 'good horseman'; dušiyāra-(cf. YAv. dužiiāriia-) 'bad season, famine'.

Preverbs used as prefixes typically have slightly different meanings from those of the preverbs; compare:

- apa 'in the back, backward' (preverb: 'back, backward'): apakauua- 'with hump in the back, humpback', apazadah- 'with backward buttocks, flat-assed'
- fra 'in front' (preverb: 'forward, forth'): frabāzu- '(the length of) the arm held forward', frašnu- 'with knees jutting out, knob-kneed', frakauua-'hump-chested', fraiiara- 'morning'
- vī 'to the side(s), away' (preverb: 'to the sides, far and wide'): vī.bāzu-'(the length of) the arms held to out the sides', vī.āpa- 'from which the water has gone away, waterless', vīdaēuua- 'keeping the daēuuas away', vī.xrūmant- '(a blow) that causes blood to flow to all sides'

Other compounds as well as their individual elements can be made from all kinds of words (nouns, adjectives, adverbs, and other compounds). If one member of the compound is a word that normally contains two parts (ahura- mazdā-, etc.), only one part can be used in the compound, e.g., *ahuraδāta-, mazdaδāta-* 'established ('created') by Ahura Mazdā'.

Occasionally, however, we find compounds consisting of more than two members: YAv. frādat.vīspam.hujiiāⁱti- '(the ratu) called "the one who furthers all good living", druxš.vidruxš 'who is the most lie-dispelling for the lie', draoyō.vāxš.draojišta- 'who belies the lying word the most', and, especially, names of texts, e.g., xšmāuuiia.gōuš.uruuā- hāⁱti- 'the section beginning with xšmāuuiia gāuš uruuā' (= Y 29).

The final vowel of the first member usually becomes \bar{o} , whether it is an a-, ā-, or an-stem (daēuuō.dāta- 'established by daēuuas'; daēnō.dis-< daēnā- 'showing (the path) to the daēnā'; zruuō.dāta- < zruuan-) 'established by/in time') or an invariable in -a (hupō.busta- < upa 'well-scented'; haδō.zāta- < haδa 'born together with > sibling'; haptō.karšuuairī- < hapta 'belonging to the seven continents').

Nouns as second members of compounds are sometimes in the zero grade, e.g., $fr\bar{a}da\underline{t}.f\bar{s}u$ - < pasu- 'cattle-furthering'; $dar\bar{s}idru$ - < $d\bar{a}^uru$ - 'having a firm wooden club'; ərəduuafšnī- < fštāna- 'having perky breasts'; darəgāiiu- < *°Hiu- < āiiu- 'long-lived'; spitāma- (3 syllables) < *°Hma- < ama-'having swollen power(?)'.

Some adjectives use an *i*-stem as the first member of a compound, e.g., xšuuiβra-: xšuuiβi.išu- 'having vibrant arrows'; tiyra-: tiži.aršti- 'having sharp spears'; jafra-: jai\betai.vafra- 'with deep snow'; namra-: nami.asu- 'having soft shoots'; $b \rightarrow r^2 z a n t$: $b \rightarrow r^2 z i. g \bar{a} \theta r a$ 'singing loud songs'; * $x^{\nu} a n a n t$ -: $x^{\nu}a^{i}ni.ra\theta a$ - 'having singing wheels'.

The first member of a compound is often in a case form:

nom.: YAv. afš.ci θ ra-, ātarš.ci θ ra- 'containing the seed of water/fire', $a\beta^{\circ} zd\bar{a}na$ - (< $\bar{a}f\tilde{s}$ - d°) 'being containers of water' (Y 42.2), $k \rightarrow r^{\circ} f\tilde{s}.x^{\nu}ar$ - 'corpseeater, scavenger', druxš.manah- 'having deception in one's thought';

acc.: OAv. $v \ni r^{\vartheta} \theta r \ni m.jan$ - 'obstruction-smasher', $ah \bar{u}m.bi\check{s}$ - 'worldhealer', YAv. vīrənjan- 'man-smasher' < vīrəm + jan-; ašəm.stut- 'praising Order'; nasūm.kərət- 'corpse-cutter';

adverbial acc.: dar²yəm.jīti- 'long life';

gen. $drujas.kan\bar{a}$ - 'the den of the Lie', $z^{(\bar{a})}mas.ci\theta ra$ - 'whose seed is in/ from the earth';

dat.: yauuaējī-, yauuaēsū- 'forever living, forever vitalizing';

loc.: bərəzi.rāz- 'ruling on high'; armaē.šad- 'sitting in peace'; mai- $\delta ii\bar{o}i.\bar{s}ad$ - 'sitting in the middle'; $ra\theta a\bar{e}\bar{s}t\bar{a}$ - 'who stands on a chariot, warrior'; dūraēdərəs- 'who sees far into the distance'.

3.8.3. "Open" compounds

A few combinations of independent words in Avestan correspond to compounds in Old Indic and are therefore commonly classified as compounds in Avestan, as well, but are called "open" because the terms are not formally joined.

Open dvandvas

These consist of two words in the dual. Their nature as compounds is revealed by the fact that each member of the dvandva can consist of only one word: OAv. gāuuā azī 'a bull and a (fertile) cow' (rather than: 'two fertile cows'); YAv. miθra ahura 'Miθra and Ahura (Mazdā)', pasu vira 'cattle and men', āpa uruuaire 'water and plants', sanhauuāci aranauuāci 'the two (sisters) Saŋhauuācī and Arnauuācī', ahuna *airiiamana 'the (prayers) Ahuna (Vairiia) and (Ā) Airiiama (Išiiō)', aspa daēnu 'a stallion and his mare(?)'.

Repeated words

The amredita compounds are adverbial phrases consisting of repeated words: OAv. narəm narəm 'man for man'; YAv. nmāne nmāne 'in house for house'.

Sigla and abbreviations

0 part of compound or before enclitic (e.g., °dru- in darši-dru-,

drao° in draoca)

Av. Avestan (Young and Old)

FO Frahang i ōim Indo-Iranian IIr. Ir. Iranian MPers.

Middle Persian OAv. Old Avestan

OYAv. Old and Young Avestan

OInd. Old Indic (especially Rigvedic)

OP Old Persian V Videvdad Y Yasna

YAv. Young Avestan

Yt. Yašt

Bibliography³⁷

Allegri, Maurizio, and Antonio Panaino

1995 On the *š*-Ending in Old Persian akunauš and Similar Forms. With a Contribution by Ilya Gershevitch. Pp. 1–33 in Proceedings of the Second European Conference of Iranian Studies, ed. Bernd G. Fragner et al. Rome: Istituto Italiano per il Medio e Estremo Oriente.

Andreas, Friedrich Carl

1904 Die Entstehung des Awesta-Alphabets und sein ursprünglicher Lautwert. Pp. 99-106 in Verhandlungen des XIII. Internationalen Orientalisten-Kongresses. Hamburg September 1902. Leiden: Brill.

Bartholomae, Christian

1895–1901 Vorgeschichte der Iranischen Sprachen, Awestasprache und Altpersisch. Pp. 152-248 in Grundriss der Iranischen Philologie, ed. Wilh. Geiger and Ernst Kuhn. Strassburg: Trübner. Repr. Berlin: de Gruyter, 1974.

Beekes, Robert S. P.

1988 A Grammar of Gatha-Avestan. Leiden: Brill.

1999 Review of Avestische Laut- und Flexionslehre, by Karl Hoffmann and Bernhard Forssman 1996. Kratylos 44: 62-71.

Brandenstein, Wilhelm, and Manfred Mayrhofer

1964 Handbuch des Altpersischen. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

Duchesne-Guillemin, Jacques

1936 Les composés de l'Avesta. Liège: Faculté de Philosophie et de Lettres / Paris: E. Droz.

Emmerick, Ronald E.

1992 Old Indian. Pp. 163–98 in *Indo-European Numerals*, ed. J. Gvozdanović. Trends in Linguistics. Studies and Monographs 57. Berlin: de Gruyter.

Geldner, Karl Friedrich

1896 Avesta the Sacred Book of the Parsis. 3 vols. Stuttgart: Kohlhammer.

37. Hoffmann and Forssman 1996 contains an exhaustive bibliography by paragraph.

Gershevitch, Ilva

- 1964 Zoroaster's Own Contribution. Journal of the Near Eastern Society 23: 12-
- 1995 Approaches to Zoroaster's Gathas. *Iran* 33: 1–29.
- 1967 The Avestan Hymn to Mithra. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Hinz, Walter
- 1973 Neue Wege im Altpersischen. Göttinger Orientforschungen 3/1. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- 1975 Altiranisches Sprachgut der Nebenüberlieferungen. Göttinger Orientforschungen 3/3. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

Hoffmann, Karl

- 1958 Altiranisch. Pp. 1–19 in vol. 1/4/1 of Handbuch der Orientalistik. Leiden:
- 1965 Zu den altiranischen Bruchzahlen. Zeitschrift für vergleichende Sprachforschung 79: 247-55. Repr. pp. 182-90, with addenda on p. 338 in vol. 1 of Aufsätze zur Indoiranistik, ed. Johanna Narten. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- 1970 Zur awestischen Textkritik: Der Akk. Pl. mask. der a-Stämme. Pp. 187– 200 in W. B. Henning Memorial Volume, ed. Mary Boyce and Ilya Gershevitsch. London: Lund Humphries. Repr. pp. 274–87 in vol. 1 of Aufsätze zur Indoiranistik, ed. Johanna Narten. Wiesbaden: Reichert, 1975.
- 1975–92 Aufsätze zur Indoiranistik, ed. Johanna Narten. 3 vols. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- 1976 Präteritaler Optativ im Altiranischen. Pp. 605–19 in vol. 2 of Aufsätze zur Indoiranistik, ed. Johanna Narten. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- 1988 Avestan Language. Pp. 47-62 in vol. 3/1 of Encyclopaedia Iranica. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.

Hoffmann, Karl, and Johanna Narten

1989 Der Sasanidische Archetypus. Wiesbaden: Reichert.

Hoffmann, Karl, and Bernhard Forssman

1996 Avestische Laut- und Flexionslehre. Innsbrucker Beiträge zur Sprachwissenschaft 84. Innsbruck: Institut für Sprachwissenschaft [Review: Beekes 1999].

Jackson, A. V. Williams

1892 An Avesta Grammar in Comparison with Sanskrit. Stuttgart: Kohlhammer. Repr. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft 1968.

Jasanoff, Jay H.

1997 Gathic Avestan cikōitərəš. Pp. 119–30 in Sound Law and Analogy. Papers in Honor of Robert S. P. Beekes, ed. Alexander Lubotsky. Amsterdam: Rodopi.

Kellens, Jean

- 1974 Les noms-racines de l'Avesta. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- 1984 Le verbe avestique. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- 1988 Avesta. Pp. 35-44 of vol. 3/1 of Encyclopaedia Iranica. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul [comprehensive biblio. pp. 42–44].
- 1989 Avestique. Pp. 32–55 In Compendium Linguarum Iranicarum, ed. Rüdiger Schmitt, Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- 1994 [1995] Retour à l'infinitif avestique. Münchener Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft 55: 45-59.

- 1995 Liste du verbe avestique. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- 1998 Considérations sur l'histoire de l'Avesta. *Journal asiatique* 286: 451–519. Kent, Roland G.
- 1953 Old Persian Grammar, Texts, Lexicon. 2nd rev. ed. American Oriental Series 33. New Haven: American Oriental Society.

Mayrhofer, Manfred

1978 Supplement zur Sammlung der altpersischen Inschriften. Sitzungsberichte der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, philosophischhistorische Klasse, Veröffentlichungen der Iranischen Kommission 7. Vienna: Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften.

Morgenstierne, Georg

1942 Orthography and Sound System of the Avesta. Norsk Tidsskrift for Sprogvidenskap 12: 30–82. Repr. pp. 31–83 in Irano-Dardica. Wiesbaden: Reichert, 1975.

Pirart. Eric

- 1992 Les fragments vieil-avestiques du Y 58. Annali dell'Istituto Universitario Orientale di Napoli 52: 225-47.
- 1993 Avestique janiiaoš. Indo-Iranian Journal 36: 337–40.

Reichelt, Hans

1909 Awestisches Elementarbuch. Heidelberg: Carl Winter. Repr. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 1967.

Schindler, Jochem

- 1986 Zum Jüngstawestischen: Die femininen Komparativa auf -iiaiiå. Die Sprache 32: 384-90.
- 1987 Zur avestischen Kompositionslehre: aš.- 'groß'. Pp. 337–48 in Festschrift for Henry Hoenigswald . . . , ed. George Cardona and Norman H. Zide. Tübingen: Gunter Narr.
- 1982 Zum Nom. sg. m. der *nt*-Partizipien im Jungavestischen. Pp. 186–209 in Investigationes Philologicae et Comparativae. Gedenkschrift für Heinz Kronasser, ed. Erich Neu. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

Schmitt, Rüdiger

- 1980 Altpersischforschung in den Siebzigerjahren. Kratylos 25: 1–66.
- 1991 The Bisitun Inscriptions of Darius the Great. Corpus Inscriptionum Iranicarum. London: Corpus Inscriptionum Iranicarum.
- 1997 Notgedrungene Beiträge zu westiranisch st versus št oder: Epigraphik und historische Dialektologie. Incontri linguistici 20: 121–30.
- 1999 Beiträge zu altpersischen Inschriften. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- 2002 Onomastische Bemerkungen zu der Namenliste des Fravardīn Yašt. Pp. 365-74 in Religious Themes and Texts of Pre-Islamic Iran and Central Asia. Studies in Honour of Professor Gherardo Gnoli on the Occasion of His 65th Birthday on 6 December 2002, ed. Carlo Cereti, Mauro Maggi, and Elio Provasi. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- 2003 Die Sprache der Meder—eine grosse Unbekannte. Pp. 23–36 in Continuity of Empire (?): Assyria, Media, Persia, ed. Giovanni B. Lanfranchi, Michael Roaf, and Robert Rollinger. Padua: Sargon.

Skjærvø, Prods Oktor

1998 Review of Liste du verbe avestique, by Jean Kellens. Kratylos 43: 190–91.

- 1999a [2002] Methodological Questions in Old Persian and Parthian Epigraphy. Bulletin of the Asia Institute 13: 157-67.
- 1999b [2002] Review of Zamyād Yasht. Yasht 19 of the Younger Avesta. Text, Translation, Commentary, by Helmut Humbach and Pallan R. Ichaporia. In Bulletin of the Asia Institute 13: 182-90.
- The Antiquity of Old Avestan. Nāme-ye Irān-e Bāstān. The Interna-2003-4 tional Journal of Ancient Iranian Studies 6 (= vol. 3/2): 15-41.
- 2005 Avestica III. Four Notes on Avestan Morphology. Pp. 196-97 in Languages of Iran: Past and Present. Iranian Studies in Memoriam David Neil MacKenzie, ed. Dieter Weber. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz [pub. 2006].
- 2006 Iran IV. Iranian Languages and Scripts. Pp. 344–77 of vol. 13/3 of Encyclopaedia Iranica. New York: Encyclopaedia Iranica Foundation.

Swennen, Philippe

1995 Les participes présents actifs dans l'Avesta. Annali dell'Istituto Universitario Orientale di Napoli 55: 207-16.

Testen, David

1997 Old Persian and Avestan Phonology. Pp. 569-600 in Phonologies of Asia and Africa, ed. Alan S. Kaye. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns.

Tremblay, Xavier

- 1996 Zum suffixalen Ablaut o/e in der athematischen Deklination des Indogermanischen. Die Sprache 38: 31–70.
- 1996 Un nouveau type apophonique des noms athématiques suffixaux de l'Indo-européen. Bulletin de la Société de Linguistique de Paris 91: 97–145.
- 1998 Sur parsui du Farhang-i-ōim, ratu, pərətu-, pitu- et quelques autres thèmes avestiques en -u. Essais de grammaire comparée des langues iraniennes III. Studia Iranica 27: 187-204.
- 1999 Ist jungawestisch nāismi, nāist Präsens oder sigmatischer Aorist? Pp. 537-43 in Compositiones Indogermanicae in Memoriam Jochem Schindler, ed. Heinz Eichner et al. Prague: Enigma.

Vaan. Michiel de

- 2001 Avestan vaēsməṇda. Münchener Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft 61: 185–92.
- 2003 The Avestan Vowels. Leiden Studies in Indo-European 12. Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- 2004 'Narten' Roots from the Avestan Point of View. Pp. 591–99 in Per aspera ad asteriscos. Studia Indogermanica in Honorem Jens Elmegard Rasmussen sexagenarii Idibus Martiis anno MMIV, ed. Adam Hyllested et al. Innsbruck: Institut für Sprachen und Literaturen der Universität Innsbruck.

Watkins, Calvert

2000 sá figé in Indo-Iranian and Anatolian. Pp. 263–71 in Anusantatyai. Festschrift für Johanna Narten zum 70. Geburtstag, ed. Almut Hintze and Eva Tichy. Dettelbach: J. H. Röll.

Westergaard, Niels L.

1852-54 Zendavesta or the Religious Books of the Zoroastrians edited and translated with a dictionary, grammar, &c. Vol. I. The Zend Texts. Copenhagen: Berling. Repr. with intro. by R. Schmitt, Wiesbaden: Reichert, 1994.

Note on tables: Since the Avestan corpus is so deficient, there is no good way to present the Avestan grammar in tables of actual forms. If one tries to make up forms, one is soon confronted with uncertainties. These tables, therefore, contain only attested forms, but not *all* attested forms. Many forms are also cited in the text above.

Table 1: Ablaut

	zero grade: -C	full grade: aC/Ca	lengthened grade: āC/Cā
Av.	<i>h</i> -əṇti, s-tā, z-dī, Ø-mahi	ah-mi, as-ti	åŋh-a
	ux-ta-	vac-	vāx-/vāc-
	da- δ -ən, da- s -ta	da- $\delta \bar{a}$ -mi (< -daH-)	
OP	h-a ⁿ tiy	a ^h -miy, as-tiy	
	i	ai	āi/āy
Av.	ga ⁱ r- <i>i</i> -š; ° <i>i</i> -ta-	gar- <i>ōi</i> -š; <i>āe</i> - ⁱ ti	gar-a
OP	cišp- <i>i</i> -š; ° <i>i</i> -tā	cišp- <i>ai</i> -š; <i>ai</i> -tiy	
	и	аи	āu
Av.		xšn <i>ao</i> š-	xšn <i>āu-</i> š
	kər ^ə -n <i>u</i> -	kər ^ə -n <i>ao-,</i> kər ^ə -n <i>auu-</i> a-	
	gāt-u-	gāt- <i>ao</i> -š, gāt-uuō	
OP	°dahạy- <i>u</i> -m	dahạy- <i>au</i> -š	dahay- <i>āu</i> -š, dahay- <i>āv</i> -a
	durux-ta-; gāθ-u-	drauga-; gāθ-av-ā	
	n, a (< n)	an	ān
Av.	aš <i>n</i> -ō		as <i>ān-</i> ō
	ja- ⁱ δi	j <i>an</i> -a <u>t</u>	
OP	ja-diy	a-j <i>an</i> -am	
	ā (< ņH)	nā (<nah)< td=""><td></td></nah)<>	
Av.	<i>ā</i> d-ra- (< *ņHd-ra-)	<i>nā</i> id-iiah- (< *naHd-i̯ah-)	
	m, a (<m)< td=""><td>am</td><td>ām</td></m)<>	am	ām
Av.	°g <i>m</i> -a ⁱ ti-	j <i>ām-, jim-</i> a- (< jam-)	j <i>ām</i> -aiia-
OP	han-g <i>m</i> -ata	ā-j <i>am</i> -iyā	
	r, ŗ	ar	ār
Av.	b <i>ər</i> ³-ta-	b <i>ar</i> -a-	b <i>ār</i> -aiia-
OP	k <i>ar-</i> ta, ca-xr-iyā	°k <i>ar-</i> a, c <i>ar</i> -tanaiy	u-c <i>ār</i> -am;
	piça (< *piθrah)		pit-ā, framā-tāram
	ar (< rH)	ar, rā (< arH, raH)	
Av.	d <i>ar</i> ³γa-	d <i>rā</i> j-ah-	
OP	darga-		

Table 2: Alternations of stops

	Stop:	Spirant:	
		unvoiced	voiced
p: √ap	āp-ō	ā <i>f</i> -š	a ⁱ β-iš
√ptar	p-ata	<i>f</i> -∍δrōi	
b: √dab	da <i>p</i> -ta		di <i>β</i> -ža-, da ⁱ uu-iš
√gamb	•	ja <i>f</i> -ra-, ja̞ <i>f</i> -nu-	ja $^{ar{i}}oldsymbol{eta}$ i-

Table 2: Alternations of stops (cont.)

		Stop:	Spirant:			Sibilant:	Nothing:
		_	unvoiced	voiced			
t:	√ptar	p ^a t-a	pi <i>θ</i> -re	f³δ-rōi		OP piça	
	-tua	varš- <i>t</i> uua-	mą- <i>θ</i> βa-	vax- ^ә δβa-		_	
	t(u)u-	<i>t</i> uuēm,	<i>θ</i> βam	_			
		OP tuvam	OP <i>θ</i> ^u vām				
		OP mạr <i>t</i> iya-				mašiia-	
		mər ^ə - <i>t</i> a-	mər ^ə - <i>θ</i> iiu-			OP °mar-šiy	u-
	bər ^ə t	°bər ^ə - <i>t</i> -əm					°bər ^ə -s
d:	√dā	dā-ţ	da- <i>θ</i> -a <u>t</u>	da- δ ā-mi		da-z-di	
						da-s-ta	
	√band	baṇ <i>d</i> -aiia-				Av., OP bas-	ta-
_		Stop:	Spirant:		Palatal:	Sibilant:	Nothing:
		•	unvoiced	voiced			Ü
k:	√vak		vāx-š	vā <i>y</i> -ž ⁱ biš	vā <i>c</i> -im		
	√tank	tak-aθra-	tax-ma-		taṇ <i>c</i> -išta-	tạắ-iiah-	
	-ank-	parō.k-, parāg	P <u>t</u>		niiåṇ <i>c</i> -ō	apaš́-ī-	parą-š
g:	√aog	aog- ^ə maide	aox-ta		ao <i>j</i> -aite	-	-
	√aog	aog-ar ^ə		u <i>y</i> -ra-	aoj-ah-		
	√draog	_	dru <i>x-</i> š	drao <i>y</i> -a-	dru <i>j</i> -im	druž-a-	druu-ant-
	O	OP draug-a-	d ^u ru <i>x-</i> ta-	o o	d ^u ru <i>j</i> -iya-		•

Table 3. Thematic verbs: present indicative

0.4	37.4	OB
OAv.	YAv.	OP
		°barāmiy
, acriarii	1 ',	
bara ⁱ tī; dābaiie ⁱ tī	baxša ⁱ ti; uxšiie ⁱ ti	°baratiy
	baratō; yu ⁱ δiiaθō	
sə̄ŋhāmahī	yāsāmahi;	θahayāmaha y
•	fraēšiiāmahi, zbaiiamahi	
išaθā		
išəṇtī; vana ⁱ ṇtī	°marəṇti, taciṇti;	bara ⁿ tiy
	ja¹õiieiṇti, vər³ziṇti	
	yeze (< *i̯azai), °iiese (< *i̯asai)	maniyaiy
	vašańhe; xšaiiehe	
yaza ⁱ tē	yaza ⁱ te, fraδātaē°; maniiete	yadataiy
•	•	,
pər ^ə saētē	carōiθe; °zaiiōiθe	
•		
yazama ⁱ dē, vīsāmadaē°	yazama ⁱ de; maniiāma ⁱ de	
	*	
maniientē	,,	
	sāŋhāmahī išaθā išəṇtī; vana ⁱ ṇtī yaza ⁱ tē pər ^o saētē yazama ⁱ dē, vīsāmadaē ^o dīdrayžō.duiiē frādəṇtē, haciṇtē;	yāsā, auuāmī °zbaiia, yāsāmi; ufiiemi pər³sahi; jaiδiiehi bara¹tī; dābaiie¹tī baratō; yu¹δiiaθō sāŋhāmahī yāsāmahi; fraēšiiāmahi, zbaiiamahi išaθā išəntī; vana¹ntī °marənti, tacinti; ja¹δiieinti, vər³zinti yeze (<*iazai), °iiese (<*iasai) vašanhe; xšaiiehe yaza¹tē yaza¹te, fraδātaē°; maniiete pər³saētē carōiθe; °zaiiōiθe yazama¹dē, vīsāmadaē° yazama¹de; maniiāma¹de ocaraθβe frādəntē, hacintē; yazənte, hacinte

Table 4. Thematic verbs: present injunctive and imperfect

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
Active			
sg.			
1	rādəm(?)	°barəm; bər ^ə jaēm; baom	abaram
2	jasō; uxšiiō	apər ^ə sō, jasō; dāesaiiō	°gaudaya
3	jasaţ; vər ^ə ziiaţ	auuaēnat, barat, ja ⁱ δiiat	abara
du.			
3		jasatəm; ta ^u ruuaiiatəm	ajīvatam
pl.			
1	ta ^u ruuaiiāmā		°atarayāmā
2		ta ^u ruuaiiata	
3	banaiiən	tacin; uxšin, °δāraiiən, baon	abara ⁿ
Middle			
sg.			
1		aguze; °ba ⁱ re	amaniyaiy
2		°θβər ^ə saŋha; °zaiiaŋha	
3	maniiātā	adauuata, barata; maniiata	
du.			
3	°jasaētəm	°caēšaētəm	
pl.			
3	°duuārəṇtā; maniiaṇtā	yazəṇta, fraor³ciṇta; ja¹δiiaṇta; adāuṇta	agauba ⁿ tā

Table 5. Thematic verbs: present subjunctive

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
Active			
sg.			
1	zbaiiā, sāṇghānī; ufiiānī	azāni, jasāni; daēsaiieni	
2		barāhi, jasāi; °saocaiiāhi	vaināhạy
3	išāţ	barāt̯, jasāiti; iriθiiāt̯	
pl.			
1		daēsaiiama	
2	azāθā		
3	išåṇtī; vər ^ə ziiạn	°baran, baråṇti; °uxšiian, jaiδiiåṇti	i
Middle			
sg.			
1	isāi	yazāi, yazāne; hācaiiene	kunavānaiy
2		pər ^ə såı́jhe; °pāraiiåı́jhe	maniyāhay
3		yazāite; paiδiiāite	yadātaiy
pl.			
1	isāma ⁱ dē		
3	hacåṇtē	yazåṇte; vaziiåṇte	

Table 6. Thematic verbs: present/preterital optative

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
Active			
sg.			
2	rapōiš	barōiš; °δāraiiōiš; auuaēnōiš	
3	jasōiţ	barōiţ; zbaiiōiţ; auuarōiţ (< bara-)	kariyaiš (passive) (post-OP akunaiy)
du.			
3		°caraiiatəm	
pl.			
1		jasaēma	
3		pacaiiən; °dar ^ə zaiiaēn, °snāδaiiən	
Middle			
sg.			
1	isōiiā	haxšaiia	
2		yazaēša; zbaiiaēša	yadaišā
3	var ^ə daiiaētā	azaēta; °snaiiaēta; °δaxšaiiaētā	
pl.			
1	vāurōima ⁱ dī	°vaēnōima ⁱ dī; bu ⁱ δiiōima ⁱ δe	
2		rāmōiδβəm	
3		maēzaiiaņta; °snaiiaiiaņta	akunavaya ⁿ tā

Table 7. Thematic verbs: present imperative

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
sg.			
2	pər ^ə sā	jasa; nase, °uruuīse (< -si̯ā)	parsā
3	vər ^ə ziiō.tū	hacatu; mitaiiatu	baratuv
pl.			
2	jasatā	duuarata; dāiiata	
3		patəṇtu, °uuāδaiiaṇtu	
Middl	e		
sg.			
2		yāsaŋʰha; varəδaiiaŋʰha	°paya ^h uvā
3	vər ^ə ziiātạm	vər ^ə ziiatam	varnavatām
pl.			
2	vaēdō.dūm	dāraiiaδβəm	
3	°xraosəṇtạm	-	

Table 8. Athematic verbs: present indicative, root stems

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
Active			
sg.			
1	ahmī, vas ^ə mī; mraomī, staomī	ahmi, staomi	a ^h miy
2	ahī, vašī, hafšī	ahi, vaši	ahay
3	astī, vaštī, haptī, sāstī, aē ⁱ tī, šaē ⁱ tī	asti, ja ⁱ ṇti, aē ⁱ ti, stao ⁱ ti	astiy, ja ⁿ tiy, aitiy
du.			
1	usuuahī		
3		stō, °mrūtō	
pl.			
1	mahī, usēmahī	mahi, usmahi	a ^h mahạy
2	stā, uštā		
3	həṇtī; ye ⁱ ṇtī, šiie ⁱ ṇtī	həṇti	ha ⁿ tiy
Middle			
sg.			
1	aojōi, gər ^ə zōi; °mruiiē	mruiie, °γne	
2	°påń́hē		
3	vastē; isē(?)	°yne, mruiie, mrū ⁱ te, āste, saēte, vaste	
pl.			
1	aog ^ə madaē°	mrūma ⁱ de	
3		*°ynā ⁱ re, mruuā ⁱ re, åŋhā ⁱ re, sōire/saēre, aoja ⁱ te, vaŋha ⁱ te	

Table 9. Athematic verbs: present indicative, reduplicated and *n*-stems

		_	
	OAv.	YAv.	OP
Active			
sg.			
1	daδąmi, zaozaomī	daδami, °frīnāmi, kər ^ə naomi, cinahm	ni
2		daδāhi, kər ^ə nŭši	
3	dadā ⁱ tī; hunā ⁱ tī; vīnastī	daδāiti, hiš.haxti, °grəβnāiti,	kunautiy
		kər ^ə nao ⁱ ti, vinasti	
pl.			
1	dad ^ə mahī; huuamahī;	danmahi (< dadm-)	
	friiamahī; cīšmahī		
3	dada ⁱ tī	(thematic vindəṇti)	
Middle			
sg.			
1	°dadē, °iiōi; vər ^ə nē	pər ^ə ne (¹pər ^ə nā-)	
3	dastē, dazdē; vər ^ə ṇtē	dazde, daste, °zūzušte, °gərənte, viste	
du.			
3		zazā ⁱ te	
pl.			
1	dadəma ⁱ dē	daδ ^a ma ⁱ de, cīšma ⁱ de	
2	mər ^ə ṇgduiiē		
3	mər ^ə ṇca ⁱ tē		

āhantā, āyantā

YAv. OAv. Active sg. 1 mraom 2 mraoš 3 as, °jēn (jan-), tāšt, mraoţ ās, °āmraot, mraot °ajan, āiš du. 1 ahuuā 3 °āitəm pl. 2 mraotā 3 °āin (< *āiian) āhan, °ajanan Middle sg. 1 aojī 2 aoyžā aog^ədā, gər^əždā 3 °āmrūta, aoxta °ajatā pl.

Table 10. Athematic verbs: present injunctive, root stems

Table 11. Athematic verbs: present injunctive, reduplicated and n-stems

3

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
Active			
sg.			
1		daδam, °diδaēm (< -aiiam)	(post-OP akunā[m])
2	minaš		
3	^u rūraost, dīdas, dadāt, cinaot, cinas	ādadat, °akər ^ə naot, daδāt, kər ^ə naot	adadā, adānā, akunauš;
			(post-OP akunaš, akun ^ā)
pl. 2			
2	d ^ə b ^ə naotā	°srinaota	
3	jīgər ^ə za <u>t</u> , dada <u>t</u> , mīzən	kər ^ə nāun	akunava, akunavaš
Middle			
sg.			
3		dasta, hunūta, °vər ^ə ṇta	aku ⁿ tā
pl.			
3	vər ^ə nātā		(thematic akunava ⁿ tā)

Table 12. Athematic verbs: present imperative

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
Active			
sg.			
2	zdī (< s-di), idī, cīždī	ja ⁱ δi, mrŭ ⁱ δi, stŭ ⁱ δi, dazdi, kər ^ə nŭ ⁱ δi	°idiy, jadiy
3	astū, sāstū, mraotū, dadātū	astu, jaṇtu (jasa- √gam), mraotu	*astuv, dadātuv, kunautuv

Table 12. Athematic verbs: present imperative (cont.)

pl.			
2	°zān ^a tā	staota, nīsta, dasta	°itā, jatā
3	hə̄ṇtū	*həṇtu, °iiaṇtu, °frīnəṇtu	
Middle			
sg. 2			
2	dasuuā (< dad-sua)	dasuua	ku ⁿ šuvā
pl.			
2	sāzdūm, °idūm, °dazdūm	1	
3	jə̄n ^ə ram, xrūn ^ə ram		

Table 13. Athematic verbs: present subjunctive

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
Active			
sg.			
1	aŋhā, aiienī	aŋha, °mrauua, janāni, kər³nauuāni, daθāni, aiieni, °mrauuāni	ahaniy
2		aŋhō, °mrauuāi, °daθō	āhay (< *ahahi)
3	aŋhat̪, vasat̪, °aiiat̪, mrauuat̪, dadat̪, aŋha ⁱ tī, mrauua ⁱ tī	aŋhat, hunauuat, daθat, frīnāt; aŋha ⁱ ti (aŋhā ⁱ ti)	ahatiy, *kunavātiy
pl.			
1	åŋhāmā	janāma, daθāma, viṇdāma	
3	aŋhən, dadən, zazəṇtī, kər ^ə naon	aŋhən, daθən, kər ^ə naon, *aiie ⁱ ṇti	
Middle			
sg.			
1	aojāi, šiiauuāi, frīnāi	°mrauuāne, kər ^ə nauuāne	kunavānaiy
2	°iiaŋhā (√yā)		
3	vər ^ə nauua ⁱ tē	ər ^ə nauuataē°, daθa ⁱ te	kunavātaiy, varnavātaiy
pl.			
1		cinaθāmaide, hišcama ⁱ dē	
3	dadəṇtē	ər ^ə nauuaṇte, vər ^ə nauuaṇte	

Table 14. Athematic verbs: present/preterital optatative

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
Active			
sg.			
1	x́iī̄om < (hi̞-)		
2	χ́iiå	janiiå, mruiiå, da ⁱ θiiå, da ⁱ δĭš, s ^u runuiiå, °stər ^ə nuiiå	
3	usiiāt, mruiiāt, pāiiāt; sāhĭt, da ⁱ dĭt, mərašiiāt (< mər³nc-i-)	hiiāṭ, da ⁱ δīṭ, da ⁱ δiiāṭ, kər ^ə nuiiāṭ, cĭšiiāṭ	avājaniyā (< ava-a-)
du.			
3		da ⁱ δĭtəm(?)	

Table 14. Athematic verbs: present/preterital optatative

pl. 1 2	xiiāmā xiiātā	
3	Allata	hiiār ^o
Middle		
sg.		
1		tanuiia
2		āhĭša, °mruuĭša, da ⁱ θĭša
3	da ⁱ dītā	aojĭta, °γnĭta, °mruuĭta, da ⁱ θĭta

Table 15. Aorist indicative and injunctive

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
Active			
sg.			
1	darəsəm (vaēna), ^a cōišəm (cinah-); °vaocim (mrao- √vak)		
2	då (dadā-), cōiš; dāiš (daēsaiia-); tašō (tāš-)	°uuaocō	
3	ā.vaocaţ; dāţ, cōišt, cōrəţ (kər³nao-), dōrəšt (vaēna- √dars?), °jēn (jasa-, √gam); xšnāuš (√xšnao), dārəšt (vaēna- √dars?), sas (saδaiia-), vas (vana-); tašaţ, vaocaţ		adā ^t
du.			
1	duuaidī		
pl.			
1	dāmā; āuuaocāmā	°uuaocāma	
2	dātā	cōišta (P. 25?), dāta	
3	dạn(?), gmən (jasa-), yūjən (yunag-); ståŋhat (hišta-)		
Middle			
sg.			
1	cēuuišī (< *cōišī); frašī (pər ^ə sa-), mēŋ́hī (maniia-)	°rāhī (rāsa-)	adaršiy (dāraya-)
2	dåŋhā		
3	maṇtā, ciuuištā (< *cōiš-); fraštā, mastā; gūšatā (gūš-)	°srīta (srinao-), θraošta (√θrao), varšta (vər³ziia-); masta	a
du.			
3	asruuātəm (s ^u runao-)		
pl.			
1	amāhma ⁱ dī (maniia-), varəma ⁱ dī (vər ^ə nā-)	°yaoxma ⁱ de (yunag-)	
2	daduiiē		
3	dātā		

a. The corresponding present or root is given in parentheses.

Table 16. Aorist subjunctive

	OAv.	YAv.
Active		
sg.		
1	jimā (jasa-), darəsānī; dōišā, varəšā; vaocā, hanānī (hanaiia-)	buua; *jaŋhāṯ
2	dāhī	°frå (√par)
3	jima ⁱ tī, jimaṭ, buuaṭ (bauua-), buua ⁱ tī, dāṭ, dā ⁱ tī; naēšaṭ (naiia-), varəšaitī, vəŋhaṭ (vana-), jə̄nghati° (< jaŋ-h-; jasa); vaocāṭ, vīdāṭ (vinad-), vīdā ⁱ tī	darəsa <u>t</u> (FO 14?); ståŋha ⁱ ti, spåŋha ⁱ ti (spaiia-); vaocā <u>t</u>
du.		
3	jamaētē	
pl.		
1	dāmā; nāšāmā (ašnao-); vaocāmā	jimama, darəsāma, vaocāma
2	caiiaθā (cinao-), dātā	
3	°jimən, buua ⁱ ṇtī, dạn; xšnaošən, varəšəṇtī, vaŋhən (vana-)	bun (< buuan); jaŋhəṇti
Middle		
sg.		
1	xsāi (kasa-), mēnāi, dānē; xšnaošāi, varəšāne, haxšāi, mēŋhāi	°uuarāne; grəfšāne (grəβnā-)
2	dåýhē; råŋhaŋhōi (rāsa-)	
3		°dā ⁱ te, °vara ⁱ te
pl.		
3	ārəṇtē (ərənao-), yaojaṇtē, dåṇtē	°δåṇte

Table 17. Aorist optatative

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
Active			
sg.			
1	diiam		
2	_	jamiiå, dăiiå, buiiå	biyā ^h
3	diiāt, saxiiāt (sāŋha-); sīšōit (sāh-)	°asiiātౖ (ašnao-), jamiiāt̤, buiiāt̤, vaint̃t̤; °jaŋhōit̤, zahit̤ (zazā-); vaocōit̤	
pl.			
1	zaēmā (zazā-), vaocaēmā, hanaēmā	jamiiama, buiiama, nāšīma (ašnao-)	
3		jamiian, buiian, jamiiār ^ə š, buiiār ^ə š	
Middle			
sg.			
1	diiā		
2	dīšā	xšaēša, hanaēša	
3	xšaētā (xšaiia-)		

Table 18. Aorist Imperative

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
Active			
sg.			
2	dā ⁱ dī, ga ⁱ dī (jasa-), cĭždī; vaocā	dā ⁱ dī	
3	dātū, jaṇtū (jasa-)	pātū (pẵiia-), bar³tu (bara-)	pātuv (paya-)
pl.			
2		dāiiata(?), buiiata	
3	scaṇtū (haca-)		
Middle			
sg.			
2	dāhuuā, kər ^o šuuā; frašuuā; gūšahuuā		
3	dạm, ucạm (mrao- √vak)	bər ^ə tam	
pl.			
2	θrāzdūm (θrāiia-); gūšōdūm		

Table 19. Perfect indicative

	OAv.	YAv.
Active		
sg.		
1		cikaēθa (cinaθ-), dādarəsa (vaēna-), diduuaēša (d ^{ai} bišiia-), ^{°u} ruraoδa (raoδa-)
2	dadāθā	dadẵθa, vauuaxδa (mrao- √vak)
3	tatašā, °nēnāsā (nasiia-), hišāiiā (hiia-)	bauuara (bara-), buuāuua (bauua-), cakana (√kan), daδa, daδāra, hušx ^v afa (x ^v afsa-), tataša, vauuaca, viuuaēδa (vinad-), yaēša (aēšaiia-), yaiiata (yātaiia-)
du.		
3		yaētatar ^ə (yātaiia-), vaocātar ə , vāuuər ^ə zātar ^ə
pl.		
1	vaoxəmā	diduuišma, susruma
3	åŋhar ⁵ (ah-)	åŋhar³, dāδar³, baβrar³, bābuuar³ (bauua-), vaonar³,
	cāxnar⁵ (√kan), vaonar⁵ (vana-)	ⁱ rīriθar ^ə (ⁱ riθiia-), °šastar ^ə (nišad-), cāxrar ^ə (kər ^ə nao-)
Middle		
sg.		
1		susruiie (s ^u runao-)
3	ārōi (ər ^ə nao-), dādrē, pafrē (² pər ^ə nā-), vāuuər ^ə zōi	daiδe, mamne, vaoce, vaoze (vaza-), tu θ ruiie
du.		
3		mamnāite (maniia-)

Table 20. Pluperfect

-	OAv.	YAv.
Active		
sg. 3		
3		jaymaţ (or sbjv.)
pl.		
3	cikōitər ^ə š	

Table 21. Perfect subjunctive

	OAv.	YAv.
Active		
sg. 3		jaymat (or plupf.), tatašat
pl.		jayınat (or piupi.), tatasat
2	vaorāzaθā	
3 Middle		iieiian
sg.		
3		°pāfrāite

Table 22. Perfect optative

	YAv.	OP
Active		
sg.		
1	jaγmiiam (jasa-), da ⁱ δiiam (diδaē-), °šušuiiam (šauua-)	
3	åýhāṯ (ah-), vaoniiāṯ (vana-), °hisiδiiāṯ (√saēd), °hazdiiāṯ (hiδa-)	caxriyā ^t (kunau-)
du.		
3	åýhāt.təm	
pl.		
3	da ⁱ δin, °baβriian, °saciiār ^ə š (saca-)	
Middle		
pl.		
3	vaozirəm (vaza-)	

Table 23. āda-, vaēda

	Indicative		Subjunctive	Optative	
	OAv.	YAv.	OAv.	YAv.	OAv.
sg.					
1	ādā, vaēdā	vaēθā°	vaēdā (-a'ā)		
2	võistā			vaēθaţ	
3	vaēdā	vaēδa			vīdiiāţ
pl.					
3	ādar ^ā	ādar ^ə , *vīδar ^ə		vaēθəṇti	
Middle					
sg.					
3		$\bar{a}^i\delta e$			

Lists of cited verbs by present stems or root.

aēšaiia- 'seek', auua- 'help', aza- 'lead, drive', banaiia- 'sicken', bara-'carry', bauua- 'become', baxša- 'distribute, give', bərəjaiia- 'strengthen(?)', buⁱδiia- 'become aware (of)', caēša- 'pile up(?)', cara- 'walk', dāesaiia- 'show', dauua- 'tell lies', daxšaiia- 'mark(?)', dābaiia- 'make deceive', dāiia- 'give', dāraiia- 'hold', daibišiia- 'harm', darzaiia- 'chain', dīdrayža- 'wish to hold', duuăra- 'run', fraēšiia- (< fra-išiia-) 'send', fraorəca- (< fra-uuərəca-) 'catch up (with)(?)', frāda- 'further', gauba- (mid.) 'call oneself', gaudaya- 'hide', guza-'hide', haca- 'follow', hanaiia- 'gain', haxša- 'follow', hācaiia- 'make follow', hiδa- 'sit', hiia- 'tie', hišta- 'stand', "iiasa- (< √yam) 'take', isa- 'be able', iša-'come', $ja^i\delta iia$ - 'ask for', jasa- (< $\sqrt{gam/jam}$) 'come', $j\bar{\imath}va$ - 'live', kasa- 'see', maēza- 'urinate', maniia-, maniya- 'think', mara- 'remember', mitaiia-'dwell(?)', naiia- 'lead', nasiia- 'perish', paca- 'cook', nišad- 'sit', pai Siia- 'lie, fall', pata- 'fall', păiia-, paya- 'guard', pāraiia- 'help to pass', pərəsa- 'ask', rapa- 'support', raoδa- 'loose, leave out', rāda- 'direct(?)', rāma- 'rest', rāsa-'give', ${}^{i}ri\theta$ iia- 'die', ${}^{u}ruu\bar{i}siia$ - 'wring', saca- 'pass, go', sa δ aiia- 'seem', saocaiia- 'burn (trans.)', səŋha- 'announce', taca- 'run', snāiia- 'wash', spaiia-'throw (away)', šauua- 'go', taraya- 'cross over', tauruuaiia- 'overcome', θ ahaya- 'be said', $\theta\beta \partial r^{3}$ sa- 'cut, fashion', $\theta r\bar{a}iia$ - 'protect', ufiia- 'weave', uxšiia- 'grow', vaēda- 'find', vaēna- 'see', vana- 'overcome', varadaiia- 'make grow', vaša- 'move up and down', vāδaiia- 'lead', vāura- (< *uā-ur-) '?', vərəziia- 'work, act', visa- 'be ready', xšaiia- (mid.) 'rule (over)', yaza-, yada-'sacrifice (to)', yātaiia- 'put in order', xraosa- 'howl', x^vafsa- 'sleep', yāsa- 'ask for', $yu^i\delta iia$ - 'fight', zaiia- 'be born', zbaiia- 'invoke'.

Root stems:

 $a\bar{e}$ - (ai-)/i- 'go', ah-/h- 'be', aog- 'declare', \bar{a} h- 'sit', $g \rightarrow r^3 z$ - 'complain', $g \breve{u} \breve{s}$ -'listen', hap- 'seize', jan-/yn- 'smash', mrao-/mru- 'say', naid-/nid- 'blame, scorn', saē- 'lie', sāh- instruct', stao-/stu- 'praise' (see Vaan 2004), šiiauu- 'set in motion', tāš- 'fashion', vah- 'don, wear', vas-/us- 'wish'.

na-stems:

cinah-/cīš- 'assign', cinaθ- 'mark(?)', mərənak-/mərənk- 'destroy', minaz-/ mīz- (< miz-) 'tend(?)', vinad-/vind- 'find', yunag-/yunj- 'yoke'.

nā-stems:

dānā- 'know, savoir', frīnā-/frīn- 'invite as guest-friend', gərənā-/gərən-'welcome', grəβnā- 'take', pərənā-/pərən- '1. overcome; 2. fill' vərənā-/vərən-'chose', xrūnā-/xrūn- 'bleed (trans.)', zānā-/zān- 'know, savoir'.

nao-stems:

ašnao- 'reach', cinao- 'collect', dəbənao- 'deceive', ərənao- 'set in motion', kərənao-/kərənu-, kunau- 'do', srinao- 'lean', stərənao- 'spread', tanao-'stretch', vərənao- 'cover(?)', varnau- (impers.) 'believe'.

Reduplicated stems:

dadā-/dad- 'give', diδaē-/diδii- 'see', dīdah- 'learn(?)', hiš.hak-/hišc- 'follow', $j\bar{i}g \partial r^{\partial}z$ - 'complain repeatedly', hunā-/hun- 'impel', iia- ($\bar{i}ja$ - $< \sqrt{y}\bar{a}$) 'ask for', "rūraod- 'howl', zaozao- 'call repeatedly', zazā-/zaz- 'leave behind', zūzuš- 'enjoy'.

Only perfect forms: $\bar{a}d$ - 'say', $va\bar{e}d$ - 'know'.

Roots without attested present stems:

√dars (pres. *vaēna-, vaina-*) 'see', √kan 'love', √par 'traverse', √saēd 'cut', √θrao 'assemble(?)', √xšnao 'favor'.

Table 24. Nouns and adjectives. a-stems

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
sg.			
N	ahurō, ciθrē, akas°	ahurō (-ras°), ma ⁱ riiō, gaiiō, daēuuō	pārsa
V	ahurā	ahura, ma ⁱ re	martiyā
A	ahurəm; pa ^u ruuīm, gaēm	ahurəm; ma ⁱ rīm; gaēm, daē(uu)ūm	pārsam
NAna	xšaθrəm, pauruuīm	nmānəm, ha ⁱ θīm, ho ^u rum, vīdōiiūm	xšaçam
I (IAbl.)	sāṇghā; xšaθrā	yasna, x ^v aēpa ⁱ θe	pārsā
D	ahurāi, ahurāi.ā	ahurāi	
A	zaošāţ, vīrāaţ°	nmānāt, xšaθrāδa	
G(GD)	ahurahiiā, spəṇtaźiiā°; pa ^u ruiiehiiā, zaraθuštrahē	ahurahe, aṣ̃aŋ́hā°; ma ⁱ riiehe, gaiiehe	pārsahayā
L	sə̃ŋ́hē, mar³kaē°, šiiaoθanōi, xvāθrōiiā	xšaθre, ahu ⁱ re, zastaiia	pārsaiy, dastayā
du.			
NVA	zastā, pa ^u ruiiē	ahura, gaoša	gaušā
NAn.	š̃iiaoθ ^a nōi	šiiaoθne	
IDAbl.	zastōibiiā	ahuraē ⁱ biia, *gaošaē ⁱ βe	dastaibiyā
	(them. pāδauue)		
G	rānaiiå	(thematic pāδaiiå)	gaušāyā
L	zastaiiō, ubōiiō		
pl.			
NV	mašiiā, mašiiåŋhō; pa ^u ruiiē	yazata, yazatåŋhō, a ⁱ re	martiyā, aniyāhā
A	mašiiāṇg, sāṇghạs°	yazatā, yazatas°, haoma, daē(uu)ū	martiyā
NAn.	šiiaoθ ^a nā	nmāna (fem. nmānå)	āyadanā
I (IAbl.)	mašiiāiš	zastāiš	martiyaibiš
DAbl.	mar ^ə taē ⁱ biiō, yasnōibiiō; dātō ⁱ biias°	yazataē ⁱ biiō	
G (GD)	yasnanam	yazatanam	martiyānām
L	mašiiaēšū	yazataēšu, yazataēšuua	mādaišuvā

a. NAn. = nominative-accusative neuter. Old Persian cases are in parenthesis: I (IAbl.) means the form is Av. instrumental, but OP instrumental-ablative.

a-stems: ahura- 'lord', aka- 'evil', aⁱriia- 'Aryan', aṣ̄a- '(cosmic/ritual) order', āyadana- 'place of sacrifice', ciθra- 'luminous(?)', dāta- 'law', gaoṣ̄a- (gauṣ̄a-) 'ear', haoma-, maraka- 'destruction', marəta- 'mortal', maṣ̄iia-, martiya- 'man', māda- 'Median', nmāna- 'house', pārsa- 'Persia, Persian', rāna-

'thigh', $s\bar{e}_ngha$ - 'announcement', $sp\bar{e}_nta$ - 'life-giving', $v\bar{i}ra$ - 'man', $s\bar{i}iao\theta^ana$ -'action, work', uba- 'both', $x \check{s} a \theta r a$ - ($x \check{s} a \varsigma a$ -) '(royal) command', $x^v \bar{a} \theta r a$ -'comfort', yasna- 'sacrifice', yazata- 'deity', zaoša- 'taste, pleasure', zasta-(dasta-) 'hand'; - iia-stems: aniia- (aniya-) 'other', gaiia- 'life', gāiia- 'step', haiθiia- 'real, true', mašiia- (martiya-) 'mortal man', pauruuiia- 'former, first', $x^{\nu}a\bar{e}pa^{i}\theta iia$ - 'own'; – uua-stems: $a\bar{e}uua$ - 'one', $da\bar{e}uua$ - 'demon', gauua-'milk', hauruua- 'whole', vīdaēuua- 'discarding the demons'.

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
sg.			
N	daēnā; pa ^u ruiiē	daēna; na ⁱ re	hainā
V	bər ^ə xδē	daēne	
A	daēnam	daēnam	taumām
I (IAbl.)	daēnā, daēnaiiā	daēnaiia	haināyā
D	daēnaiiāi	daēnaiiāi, gaēθiiāi	
Abl.		daēnaiiāţ, uruuaraiiāaţ°	
GAbl. (GD)	daēnaiiå	daēnaiiå(s°)	taumāyā
L	_	grīuuaiia	aθurāyā
du.			
NVA	ubē	uruua ⁱ re	
IDAbl.	_	vąθβābiia	
G (GL)	_	nā ⁱ rikaiiå	
pl.			
NVA	daēnå, -å(s°)	daēnå(s°)	stūnā
I (IAbl.)	daēnābīš	daēnābiš	
DAbl.	daēnābiiō	daēnābiiō, gaēθāuu ^a iiō, vōiγnāuiiō	
G (GD)	sāsnanam	gaēθanam	°zanānām
L	gaēθāhū	gaēθāhu, gaēθāhuua	maškāuvā

Table 25. Nouns and adjectives. Feminine \bar{a} - stems

 $a\theta ur\bar{a}$ - 'Assyria', $b\partial r^{\partial} x \delta \bar{a}$ - 'worth strengthening(?)', $da\bar{e}n\bar{a}$ - 'vision, soul', gaēθā- 'living being', grīuuā- 'ridge', hainā- '(enemy) army', maškā- 'inflated hide', nairiiā- 'woman', nāirikā- 'woman', sāsnā- 'instruction', stūnā- 'column', taumā- 'family', uruuarā- 'plant', vaθβā- (dual, plural) 'flock', vōi ynākind of disaster, "zana-'of many kinds'.

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
sg.			
N	nā ⁱ rī, vaŋ ^v hī	ašaoni; °staoiiehi	uvārazmīy, uvārazmiš
V		ašaoni	
A	təuuīšīm, vaŋ ^v hīm	ašaonĭm; masiiehĭm	harauvat ĭ m
I (IAbl.)	vaŋhuiiā	xraoždiiehiia	harauvatīyā
D	ušiiāi	ašaoniiāi	•
Abl.		bar ^ə θriiā <u>t</u>	
GAbl. (GD)	aziiå, vaŋhuiiå	ašaoniiå, arduiiå	harauvatīyā
L	•	pər ^ə θβe(?)	harauvatīyā

Table 26. Nouns and adjectives. Feminine i-stems

		,	` `	
du.				
NVA	azī			
pl.				
NVA	azīš, vaŋ ^v hīš	ašaontš; masiiehtš		
I		āzīzanā ⁱ tibiš		
DAbl.	šiie ⁱ tibiiō	ašaonibiiō		
G (GD)		ašaon ĭ nam		
L		xšaθrīšu, xšaθrīšuua		

Table 26. Nouns and adjectives. Feminine *i*-stems (cont.)

arəduui- epithet of a goddess, ašaoni- < ašauuan- 'righteous', āzi- pregnant', āzīzanāitī- 'about to give birth', barθrī- 'womb', harauvatī- 'Arachosia', masiiehī- < masita- 'large', $n\bar{a}^i r\bar{\imath}$ - 'woman', $p \ni r^{\vartheta} \theta \beta \bar{\imath}$ - < $p \ni r^{\vartheta} t \iota$ - 'broad', staoiiehī- < stūra- 'thick', təuuĭšī- 'strength(?)', ušī- 'hearing(?)', vaŋ'hī-< vanhu- 'good', $xraoždiieh\bar{\imath}-< xr\bar{u}\bar{z}dra-$ 'hard', $x\bar{s}a\theta r\bar{\imath}-$ 'female', $\bar{s}iieit\bar{\imath}-$ (< *šiiatī-) 'settlement', uvārazmī- 'Chorasmia'.

Table 27. Nouns and adjectives. i- and aē-stems

	OAv.	YAv.	OP	OAv.	YAv.
sg.					
N	ārma ⁱ tiš	ga ⁱ riš	šiyātiš	°haxā	kauua, haxa
V	ārma ⁱ tē	hāuuane			
A	ārma ⁱ tīm	ga ⁱ rĭm	šiyātim	°haxāim	kauuaēm, haxāim
NAn.	bŭiri°	āhŭ ⁱ ri	vasiy(?)		
I (IAbl.)	ārma ⁱ tī	axti			haša
D	axtōiiōi;	ziiānaiiaē°, patāe;			haše
	pa¹θiiaē°	pa ⁱ θe			
GAbl. (GD)	ārmatōiš	garōiš	fravartaiš		
Abl.		garōiţ			
L		gara			
du.					
NVA	ānəitī, x⁰īti°	bao ⁱ δi; aši	ušīy(?)		haša
IDAbl.		ašibiia			
pl.					
NV	ārmataiiō	garaiiō		kāuuaiias°	haxaiiō, xštāuuaiiō
A	īštīš	ga ⁱ rīš	arašnīš		
NAn.		zaraθuštri			
DAbl.		frauuašibiiō			xštəuuiβiiō
G (GD)		ga ⁱ rinam			kaoiiam, hašam

arašni- 'ell', aši- neut. '(evil) eye', axti- 'pain', āhū'ri- 'ahurian', ārmaitideity, $bao^i\delta i$ - 'incense', $b\tilde{u}iri$ - 'plenty', $\bar{\partial}n\bar{\partial}^i ti$ - <*an-iti- 'trouble', fravartiproper name, frauuaši- immortal soul of men, gairi- 'mountain', haxaē-'companion', žšti- 'wish or ritual', kauuaē- 'poet-priest', paiti- 'master, husband', *šiyāti-* 'happiness', *ušī* (dual) 'hearing, understanding', *vasi-* 'much', *xštauui*- a people(?), $x^v \bar{\imath} t \bar{\imath} - \langle hu - i t \bar{\imath} \rangle$ 'comfort', $zara \theta u \bar{\imath} t \bar{\imath}$ 'like Zarathustra', ziiāni- 'harm'. On raē-, see §3.2.1.

Tuble 20. Hours and adjectives. u-stems				
	OAv.	YAv.	OP	
sg.				
N	aŋhuš	ratuš, maniiuš	marguš	
V		ratuuō, maniiō		
A	ahūm	ratŭm	margum	
NAn.	vohū	pouru	paruv	
I (IAbl.)	ahū, xratū;	vohu;	bābirauš, bābirauv	
	xraθβā	xraθβa		
D	vaŋhauuē;	maniiauue;		
	ahuiiē	aŋ ^v he, xraθβe		
GAbl. (GD)	aŋhāuš, paraoš	maniiaoš; pasuuō, xraθβō	kurauš	
Ab		maniiaot		
L	pər ^ə tao, xratā	gātuuō, gātauua	margauv, gāθavā	
du.				
NVA	maniiū	maniiu		
IDAbl.	ahubiiā	bāzubiia, bāzuβe		
G	ma ⁱ niuuå, ahuuå			
L	aŋhuuō			
pl.				
NV	xratauuō	ratauuō; pasuuō		
A	xratūš, pourūš	baršnŭš		
NAn.		pouru		
I (IAbl.)		*auuaŋhuuiš(?)		
DAbl.	pourubiiō	ratubiiō, hinuu ⁱ βiiō		
G (GD)	vohunam	vohunam; pasuuam, raθβam	parū(v)nām	
L	pourušū	vaŋhušu, pasuš.huua		

Table 28. Nouns and adjectives. u-stems

ahu- 'existence', auuanhu- 'not good', baršnu- 'height', bābiru- 'Babylon', bāzu- 'arm', gātu- 'place, throne', hinu- '?', kuru- 'Cyrus', maniiu- 'spirit', margu- 'Merv', pasu- 'sheep', pauru- 'much', pərətu- 'ford', ratu- '(divine) prototype', vahu- 'good', xratu- 'wisdom'.

-	Tuble 27. Itoliis and adjectives. Ambiente a stems				
:	OAv.	YAv.	OP		
sg.					
NAn.	āiiū	āiiu; dā ^u ru (zānu°)	dāruv		
I	yauuā	āiiu, yauua			
D	yauuōi	yauue, yauuaē°			
GAbl.	yaoš	aiiaoš, yaoš; draoš			
L	•	drao°			
pl.					
DAbl.		žnubiias°			

Table 29. Nouns and adjectives. Ambikinetic *u*-stems

 $\bar{a}iiu$ -/yao-/°yu- 'life/time span', $d\bar{a}^u$ ru-/drao-/°dru- 'wood', $z\bar{a}$ nu-/žnu-/°xšnu- 'knee'.

Table 30. Nouns and adjectives. ao-stems

	OAv.	YAv.	OAv.	YAv.	OP
sg.					
N	gāuš	gāuš	°bāzāuš		dahąyāuš
V		gao°			
A	gam	gam		dańhaom,	dahayāum,
				nasāum	dahayāvam
D	gauuōi	gauue			
GAbl.	gāuš	gāuš, diiaoš			
Abl.		gaot			
L					dahạyauvā
du.					
NVA	gāuuā	gāuua		bāzauua	
G		°gauuå			
pl.					
NV		gauuō		dańhāuuō	dahayāva
A	gå	gå		•	
I	~	gaobīš			
G (GD)		gauuam			dahayūnām
L		0 .			dahayušuvā

 $^{^\}circ b\bar{a}zu$ - 'having . . . arms', $da\acute{\eta}hu$ -/ $dah\dot{q}yu$ - 'land', diiao- 'heaven', gao-'bull, cow'.

Table 31. Nouns and adjectives. Laryngeal i- and \bar{u} -stems

	ī-stems	-	-	ū-stems		-	
	YAv.		OP	OAv.	YAv.		OP
sg.							
N	°jĭš	zarənaēniš		fs ^ə ratuš		tanuš	tanŭš
A	°jĭm	zaranaēnĭm		tanuuə̄m fs ^ə ratūm	ı,*sŭm	tanŭm	tanŭm
I (IAbl.)		zaranaēniia		hizuuā			
D				tanuiiē		tanuiie	
Abl.						tanuuaţ	
Abl.G		°f°6riiō		°tanuuō, hizuuō	°suuō	tanuuō	
L						tanuui	
du.							
NVA	friia						
pl.							
NVA	jiiō	tištriiaē ⁱ niias°	aθa ⁿ gainiya	tanuuō			
I				hizubīš			
DAbl.						tanubiiō	
G						tanunam	
L				*tanušu°		tanušu	

 $a\theta a^n gaina$ - 'of stone', frī- 'friendly', "jī- 'living', zarənaēna- 'of gold', °f°δrī- 'having a . . . father', fs³ratū- '?', hizū- 'tongue', °sū- 'revitalizing', tanū- 'body', tištriiaēⁱnī- (pl.) 'Pleiades'.

Table 32. Nouns and adjectives. Stems in labial stops (p)

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
sg.			-
N		āfš, kər ^ə fš	(āpĭ̃[š])
A	kəhrpəm	āpəm, kəhrpəm	
I		apa, kəhrpa	
D		ape, apaē°; xšape	
GAbl. (GD)		ăpō, kəhrpō, xšapō	xšapa
Ab		apat	xšapaţ
L		apaiia, kəhrpiia	ăpiyā
du.			
NVA		āpa	
pl.			
N		āpō, kəhrpas°	
A	apō	ăpō	
I			ăbiš
DAbl.		aiβiiō	
G	kəhrpam	apam, kəhrpam	

āp- 'water', kəhrp- 'body', xšap- 'night'.

Table 33. Nouns and adjectives. Stems in velar stops (k, g, nk)

	k-stems		nk-stems	g-stems	
	OAv.	YAv.		OAv.	YAv.
sg.					
N	vāxš, °haxš	vāxš, °mər ^ə xš °hāxš	apaš, pa ⁱ ti.iiaš, viš	usixš, druxš	druxš
A	vācəm	vācim	°niiåṇcim, huna ⁱ riiåṇcim	drujəm, būjim	drujəm/-jim, °bujim
NAn.		°hāg ^ə ţ			
I	sūcā	vaca	parāca, vīca		
GAbl.	vacō	vacō, °mər ^ə cō		drūjō	drūjō
Abl.					druja <u>t</u>
pl.					
N		vācō	niiåṇcō, huna ⁱ riiåṇcō		°drujō
A		vacō			
I		vāyž ⁱ biš			
DAbl.		văyž ⁱ biiō			
G		vacam			adružam

adruj- 'having no deception', apănk- 'backward', buj- 'deliverance', drug-/druj- 'deception', °hāk- 'following', hunaⁱriiānk- 'skillful', °mər³k/ °mərəc- 'destroying', niiānk- 'downward', paitiiānk- 'toward', sūc- 'burning', usig- kind of priest, vāk-/vāc- 'voice', vīnk- 'to the sides'.

Table 34. Nouns and adjectives. Stems in dental stops (t, d). Root nouns.

	t stoms		d atoms		
	<i>t</i> -stems		<i>d</i> -stems		0.5
	OAv.	YAv.	OAv.	YAv.	OP
sg.					
N		°xšnus, °bər ^ə s			
A	xšnūtəm	°xšnŭtəm, °bər ^ə təm	išudəm	pāδəm, sar ^ə δəm	θardam
NAn.					
I			vər ^ə dā	°vər ^ə da	
D		°bər ^ə te			
GAbl. (GD)	stūtō	astō			θarda ^h
Abl.		°bər ^ə ta <u>t</u>			
du.					
NVA		°bər ^ə ta; asta		pāδa	
IDAbl.				(thematic pāδauue)	(pādaibiyā)
pl.					
N		stūtō	išudō		
A		stūtō			
NAn.	as°(?)	asti			
I	azd ^ə bīš	azd ^ə bīš			
DAbl.	°bər ^ə d ^u biiō			paδəbiias° (paţ.biias°)	
G	stutam	°stŭtam; astan	ı	sar ^ə Sam	

ast- 'bone', "bərət- 'carrying, riding', išud- 'debt(?)', $p\bar{a}d$ - 'foot', $sar^{3}d$ -/ $\theta ar(a)d$ - 'year', stut- 'praise', v- θ -' 'growth', v-'satisfaction'.

Table 35. Nouns and adjectives. Stems in dental stops (t). *tāt*-stems

	OAv.	YAv.
sg.		
N	amər ^ə tatås	ha ^u ruuatās, ha ^u ruuås°
A	amər ^ə tatātəm	ha ^u ruuatātəm
I	amər ^ə tatātā	
D	yauuaētā ⁱ tē	yauuaētātaē°
GAbl.	amər ^ə tatātas°	ha ^u ruuatātō
Abl.		ⁱ riθiiąstāta <u>t</u>
L	amər ^ə tatā ⁱ tī	uštatā ⁱ tiia
du.		
NVA	amər ^ə tatātā, hauruuātā	ha ^u ruuatāta
IDAbl.		ha ^u ruua <u>t</u> biia
GL		amər ^ə tātå, ha ^u ruuātå
pl.		
N		uxšiiąstātō
A		uparatātō
G		vaŋhutātạm

amərətatāt- 'fact of not being dead', hauruuatāt- 'wholeness', iri@iiastāt-'fact of dying', uparatāt- 'superiority', uštatāt- 'state of having obtained one's wishes', uxšiiastāt- 'state of growing', vanhutāt- a bloody substance, yauuaētāt- 'eternity'.

Table 36. Nouns and adjectives. Stems in dental stops (t). ant- and Hant-stem adjectives

	YAv.	
sg.		
N	bər ^ə zō	maza
V	bər ^ə za (Vispered 21.2)	
A	bər ^ə zantəm	mazåntəm
NAn.	bər ^ə za <u>t</u>	*mazāţ
I	bər ^ə zata	
D	bər ^ə za ⁱ te	
GAbl.	bər ^ə zatō	
L	bər ^ə za ⁱ ṇtiia	
du.		
NVA	bər ^ə za <u>n</u> ta	
IDAbl.	bər ^ə zəṇbiia	
pl.		
N	bər ^ə zaṇtō	
G	bər ^ə zatam	

bər^əzaṇt- 'high', mazāṇt- 'great'.

Table 37. Nouns and adjectives. Stems in dental stops (t). uuant-, mant-stem adjectives

	uuant-stems			mant-stems
	OAv.	YAv.	OP	YAv.
sg.				
N	drəguuå; θβāuuas	druuå; cuuas	tunuvā	xratumå
V		druuō		
A	drəguuaṇtəm	druuaṇtəm	tunuva ⁿ tam	gaoməṇtəm
NAn.	astuuat			
I	drəguuātā			gaomata
D	drəguuā ⁱ tē, mauua ⁱ tē	astuua ⁱ te		vohuma ⁱ te
GAbl.	drəguuatō	astuuatō	(tunuva ⁿ tahyā)	ratumatō
Abl.	druuatat		•	
L		astuua ⁱ ṇti, cuua ⁱ ti		
du.				
NVA		anusauuaṇta		
IDAbl.		cuuatbiia		

		-,	,	,,
	uuant-stems			mant-stems
	OAv.	YAv.	OP	YAv.
pl.				_
N	drəguuaṇtō	cuuaṇtō		afrašūmaņtō
A	drəguuatō			
NAn.	mīždauuan			
I	drəguuō.d ^ə bīš			yātumaţbīš
DAbl.	drəguuō.dəbiiō	druuatbiiō		
G	drəguuatam	druuatam		yātumatam
L	drəguuasū	•		, ,

Table 37. Nouns and adjectives. Stems in dental stops (t). uuant-. mant-stem adjectives (cont.)

afrašūmant- 'without forward movement', astuuant- 'with bones', cuuant- 'how much', draguuant-/druuant- 'possessed by deception', gaomant-'containing milk', māuuant- 'like me', mīždauuant- 'generating fees', ratumant- 'containing (the word) ratu', tunuvant- 'mighty', vohumant- 'possessing good things', xratumant-'wise', yātumant-'possessed by sorcerers'.

Table 38. Nouns and adjectives. Stems in dental stops (t). Present participles in -ant-

	Athematic		Thematic		
	OAv.	YAv.	OAv.	YAv.	
sg.					
N	has, stauuas		xšaiias	barō	fšuiias
A	°iiaṇtəm	həṇtəm	fšuiiaņtəm	barəṇtəm	fšuiiaṇtəm
NAn.		haţ	yasō.xiiən		x ^v a ⁱ riian, manaiiən
D		ha ⁱ te	hanəṇtē, fšuiieṇtē	barəṇte	fšuiiente, fšuiiaṇtaē°
GAbl.		hatō	saošiiaņtō	barəṇtō	fšuiiaṇtō
Abl.		_		°saocaṇtaṭ	tbišiiaņtat
L		daδā ⁱ ti (N. 66?)		barəṇti	družaņti
du.					
NAn.					x ^v a ⁱ riiaņti
G (GL)		°uxšaiiaņtå			
pl.					
N	daņtō	haṇtō	marəṇtō, afšuiiaṇtō	barəṇtō	
A		_	nadəṇtō, juuaṇtō	barəṇtō	fšuiiaṇtō
I		haδbiš			
DAbl.		_		_	tbišiiaņbiiō
G	hātạm	hātạm	saošiiaņtam	barəṇtam	tbišiiaņtam
L		fšuiiasū	•	•	·

barant- 'carrying', (da)dant- 'giving', družant- 'lying', fšuiiant- 'sheepherder', hanant- 'gaining', hant-/hat- 'being', iiant- 'going', juuant- 'living', marant- 'remembering', manailant- 'resembling', nadant- 'reviling', yasaxiia- 'seeking to obtain glory', saocant- 'burning', saosiiant- 'who will revitalize', tbišiiant- 'harming', uxšaiiant- 'making grow', xšaiiant- 'ruling', x^vaⁱriiant 'tasty(?)'.

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
sg.			
N	vaså; °då (< °da'å), må (< *ma'ah)	°manå	aspacanā
V		°manō	
A	°aēnaŋhəm	°manaŋhəm	nāham
I	-	°manaŋha	
D	hudåńhē	°manaŋ̈́he	
Abl.		°manaŋhaṯ	
Abl.G	°aojaŋhō; hudåŋhō, māṇg (< *maʾaŋh)	°manaŋhō, °dåŋhō	
L	manahi		
du.			
NVA	anaocaŋhā		
G (GL)		°nəmaŋhå	
pl.			
NVA DAbl.	°varšnaŋhō; hudåŋhō hudåbiiō	°manaŋhō	

Table 39. Nouns and adjectives. Masc. h-stems

aēnah- 'sin', anaocah- '?', aojah- 'strength', aspacanah- proper name, °dāh- 'giving . . . gifts', manah- 'thought, mind', māh- 'moon', nāh- 'nose', nəmah- 'homage', varšnah- 'masculinity', vasah- 'doing at will'.

	,		
	OAv.	YAv.	OP
sg.			
NAn.	manō; då (< da¹ō)	manō	draya, manaš°
I (IAbl.)	^ā anhā, mananhā	åŋha, manaŋha	manahā
D	aēnańhē	manańhe	
Abl.		manaŋhō, °dåŋhō	
Abl.G	åŋhō, manaŋhō; dåŋhō, yåŋhō	manaŋhaţ	
L	θβōi.ahī; yāhī	manahi	drayahayā
du.			
NVA	manahi°		
pl.			
NAn.	manå	manå	
I (IAbl.)	vacēbīš	raocēbīš	raucabiš
DAbl.		raocēbiiō	
G	yåŋham	manaŋhạm	
L		azahu, raocōhuua	

Table 40. Nouns and adjectives. Neut. h-stems

āh- 'mouth', azah- 'constriction', dāh- < *da'ah- 'gift', drayah- 'ocean', raocah-/raucah- 'light', θβaiiah- 'fear', vacah- 'speech', yāh- < *ya'ah- 'competition'.

I G

L

OAv. OAv. YAv. YAv. sg. Ν spaniiå spaniiå tauvīyāh vīduuå vīduuå V nāⁱdiiåŋhəm °vīδuuåŋhəm spaniiaŋhəm Α NAn. vahiiō daθušō kasiiańhe vīdušē vīduše Abl.G vīdušō Abl. daθušaţ du. NVA āsiiaŋha pl. NVA masiiaŋhō vīδuuåŋhō vaźiiå NAn.

Table 41. Nouns and adjectives. *iiah*- (comparative), *uuah*-stems (perfect participles)

See the text (§3.4).

staoiiābīš

kasiianham

Table 42. Nouns and adjectives. an-, Han-stems

dadūžbīš

zazušu

vaonušam

	OAv.		YAv.		
sg.					
N	uxšā, kar ^a pā	mąθrā		xšapa	mar ^a ta
V					
A			asānəm	xšapanəm	
I					mar ^ə θnō
D		mąθrānē		xšafne	mar ^ə θna <u>t</u>
Abl.G		mąθrānō	ašnō	xšafnō	
Abl.			ašnāaţ°	xšafnāaţ°	
pl.					
NV	uxšānō, kar ^a panō	mar ^ə tānō	asānō	kar ^a panō, xšapanō	
A	asānō			xšafnas°	
G				karafnam, xšafnam	
L				xšapō.huua	

asan-/asn- 'stone, sky', kar^apan - kind of priest, $mar^3t\bar{a}n$ -/ $mar^3\theta n$ - 'mixed with death, mortal', $ma\theta r\bar{a}n$ - 'holder of the poetic thought', uxsan- 'bull', xsan-/xsan- 'night'.

	OAv.	YAv.	OP	OAv.	YAv.	OP
sg.						
N	a ⁱ riiamā	a ⁱ riiama		ašauuā	ašauua	artāvā
V				ašāum		
A		a ⁱ riiamanəm	asmānam	ašauuanəm	ašauuanəm	
I	a ⁱ riiamnā	a ⁱ riiam ^a na				
D		a ⁱ riiama ⁱ ne		ašaunē, ašaunaē°	așaone	
Abl.G	$a^i riiamanas^{\circ} \\$	a ⁱ riiamanō		ašaunō	ašaonō	
Abl.					ašaonat	
L		a ⁱ riiama ⁱ ni			așauuan ^a iia	
du.						
NVA		rasmana			ašauuana	
G (GL)					ašaonå	
pl.						
NVA				ašauuanō	ašauuanō	
A		rasmanō		ašāunō	ašaonō	
I				•	ašaonīš	
DAbl.		rasmaoiiō		așauuabiiō	ašauuabiiō, ašāuuaoiiō	
G				ašāunam	ašāunam	

Table 43. Nouns and adjectives. man-, uuan-stems

aⁱriiaman- a deity, asman- 'sky', aṣ̌auuan-/a̞rtāvan- 'sustainer of order', rasman- 'battle-line'.

OP OAv. YAv. sg. ÑAn. anmā bar^əsma nāmā mazənā baršnā D °cašmaⁱne anmānē Abl.G cašmāṇg barəsma Abl. barəsmən, cašmanat L anmānī, cašmainī, cašman du. L cašmanå pl. NAn. nāman, afšmānī nāman nāmānīš DAbl. duuanmaibiias° ^uruθmaⁱbiiō

Table 44. Nouns and adjectives. Neut. man-stems

afšman- 'rhythm(?)', anman- 'breathing', barðsman- 'barsom', barðzan-/baršn- 'height, depth', cašman- 'eye', dāman- 'creation', duuanman- 'cloud', mazan- 'size', nāman- 'name', "ruθman- growth'.

nāmanam

dāmōhu, dāmahuua

G

L

nāmanam

Table 45. Nouns and adjectives. Miscellaneous n-stems

====	Root		Missollan	20110 1144 04	0226			
	noun	s	Miscellane	eous <i>µan-</i> st	ems			
	OAv.		OAv.	YAv.				
sg.								
Ñ	°jā	°ja/°jå	^u ruuā	^u ruua	spā	āθrauua	y ^a uua	zruua
V					spānəm	āθraom	yum	
A			^u ruuānəm	^u ruuānəm	•	āθrauuanəm	y ^a uuānəm	zruuānəm
D		°yne		^u rŭne				zrŭne
Abl.G		°ynō/ °janō		^u rŭ̃nō	sŭnō	$a\theta a^u run\bar{o}$		zrū
Abl.		°ynaţ					yŭnaţ	
du.								
NVA				spāna				
pl.								
NV		°janō	^u ruuānō	^u ruuanō				
A		°janō	^u runas°	^u rŭ̃nō		(aθa ^u runas°)		
I		,						
DAbl.				u ruu \bar{o}^{i} bii \bar{o}	sŭnĭš			
G		°ynam	ı		sŭnam			

 $\bar{a} \theta$ rauuan-/a θ a"run- kind of priest, °jan-/үn- 'smasher', "ruuan-/ "rйn-'soul', span-/sйn- 'dog', yuuan-/yıйn- 'youth', zruuan-/zrйn- 'time'.

Table 46. Nouns and adjectives. r-stems

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
sg.			
N	nā; ptā, dug ^ə dā; dātā; ātarš	nā; pita, duγδa, x ^v aŋha; dāta; ātarš	pitā
V	ātar ^ə	nar ^ə ; pitar ^ə ; dātar ^ə ; ātar ^ə	
A	naršm; p ^a tarēm;	narəm; pitarəm, duγδarəm, x ^v aŋharəm;	framātāram
	dātārəm; ātrēm	dātārəm; ātrəm	
I	āθrā	nara	
D	narōi; f³δrōi, piθrē; āθrē	na ⁱ re; f ^ə δrōi/piθre, brāθre; āθre	
Abl.		nər ^ə ţ	
Abl.G	nər ^ə š; āθrō	narš; piθrō, brāθrō; zaotarš;	piça
L		na ⁱ ri	
du.			
NVA		nara; pitarə, zāmātara(?)	
IDAbl.		nər ^ə biia	
G (GL)		narå	
pl.			
NV	narō; mātarō; mar ^ə xtārō	narō; p ^a tarō, mātarō; dātārō; ātarō	
A	nəraš; mātəraš°	nəraš, nərəš; f ^ə δrō, mātəraš°	
DAbl.	nər ^ə biias°	nər ^ə biiō, nəruiiō; ptər ^ə biiō	
G	naram, dug ^ə dram	naram; duyδram, āθram	

aiian(i), karšuuan, ^uruθβan, baēuuan, baēuuani

asnam, baēuuaranam

karšuuōhu, ^uruθβō.huua

ātar- 'fire', brātar- 'brother', dātar- 'creator', dug³dar-/duyδar- 'daughter', framātar- 'commander', mātar- 'mother', nar- 'man', ptar- 'father', x⁰aŋhar-'sister', zaotar- 'libator', zāmātar- 'brother-in-law'.

OAv. YAv. OP sg. NAn. aiiar⁵, huuar⁵, rāzar⁵ aiiar^ə, huuar^ə, karšuuar^ə, *vazar ^uruθβarə, baēuuar^ə T rašnā vašnā Abl.G x^vəng (< *huuanh), rāzəng aiia, hū (< *huuanh), *uruθβa Abl. uru0Bən L aiian du. NVA sāxvānī(?)

pl.

G

L

NAn.

aiiār^ā. sax^vār^ā

rāšnam

Table 47. Nouns and adjectives. Neut. r/n-stems

aiiar/n- 'day', *azar/asn- 'day', baēuuar/n- '10,000', huuar/n- 'sun', rāzar/n- 'ruler(?) (measuring device)', sāx^var/n- 'instruction(?)', karšuuar/n- 'continent', "ruθβar/n- 'intestine', *vazar/vašn- 'greatness'.

s-stems (OP θ -stems) z-stems OAv. YAv. OP OAv. YAv. sg. Ν dər^əš°, maš *darš, barəš °darš, spaš V A bər^əzəm vīsəm vīsəm, spasəm NAn. I (IAbl.) masa, vīsa viθā° dərəzā D mazōi vīse Abl.G masō, vīsō mazā bər^əzō Abl. vīsat vīsi, vīsiia viθiyā var^əzī L pl. NV spasō VĪSŌ I (IAbl.) viθbiš DAbl. vīžⁱbiiō vīžibiiō G vīsam °uuarəzam

Table 48a. Nouns and adjectives. Stems in sibilants

bər³z- 'high', °biš 'healing', °dar³s- 'seeing', dər³z- 'chain', mas- 'large', maz- 'great', spas- 'spy', var³z- 'invigorant', vīs-, viθ- 'town'.

Table 48b. Nouns and adjectives. Stems in sibilants

	<i>š</i> -stems		
	OAv.	YAv.	OP
sg.			
N	°biš		
A	īšəm		
NAn.	təuuiš	vīš, sna ⁱ θiš, ar ^ə duš	hadiš
I	sna ⁱ θišā	sna ⁱ θiša, ar ^ə duša	
D		°ţbiše	
Abl.G	īšō	hadišas°	
Abl.		ar ^ə duša <u>t</u>	
du.			
IDAbl.		sna ⁱ θīžbiia	
pl.			
NA	°išō		
G		°gūšąm, sna ⁱ θišąm, ar ^a dušam	

ar ar du ilde s a degree of sin, ${}^\circ g reve{u} ilde s$ 'listening (to)', hadis 'homestead, seat', ${}^\circ is$ 'seeking', $reve{t} ilde s$ 'blow', t ar du u ilde s 'violence(?)', ${}^\circ t ilde b is$ 'harming', vīš 'poison'.

Table 49. Personal pronouns. 1st person

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
	tonic; enclitic	tonic; enclitic	tonic; enclitic
sg.			
N	azēm	azəm	adam
A	—; mā	mam; mā	mām; -mā
D	ma ⁱ biiā, ma ⁱ biiō; mōi	māuuōiia; mē	
Abl. (IAbl.)	maţ	maţ	—; -ma
G (GD)	—; mōi	mana; mē	manā; -maiy
L	mōi(?)		
du.			
NVA	vā(?)		
pl.			
NV	vaēm	vaēm	vayam
A	ahmā; nå	—; nō	
I	āhmā		
D	ahma ⁱ biiā; nē	—; nō	
Abl.	ahmaţ		
G (GD)	—; nā	ahmākəm; nō	amāxam

Table 50. Personal pronouns. 2nd person

	OAv.	YAv.	OP
	tonic; enclitic	tonic; enclitic	tonic; enclitic
sg.			
N	tuuām; tū	tŭm	tuvam
V		tū	
A	θβат; θβā	θβат; θβā	θ uvām
I		θβā	
D	ta ⁱ biiō; tōi	—; tē	
G	tauuā; tōi	tauua; tē	
Abl.	θβαξ	θβαξ	
L	θβōi (Υ 48.8?)	_	
du.			
NVA		yuuuākəm	
pl.			
NV	yūžəm; yūš	yūžəm	
A	—; vå	—; vō	
I	xšmā		
D	yūšma ⁱ biiā; vē	yū̃šmaoiiō; vō	
	xšma ⁱ biiā	xšmāuuōia	
G	—; vā	yŭšmākəm; vō	
Abl.	yūšma <u>t,</u> xšma <u>t</u>	yŭšma <u>t</u>	

Table 51a. Personal pronouns: 3rd person weak deictic ha-/ta-

	OAv.		YAv.	
	masc., neut.	fem.	masc., neut.	fem.
sg. N				
N		hā	hō	hā
A	tām	tạm	təm	tạm
NAn.	taţ		taţ	
I	tā			
du.				
NA	tā		tā	
NAn.			tē	
pl.				
N	tōi	tå	tē, taē°	tå
NAn.	tā		tā	
A	tēṇg			
I	tāiš		tāiš	

Table 51b. Personal pronouns: 3rd person i-, hi-/ši-, YAv./OP di-

	OAv.		YAv.		OP
	masc., neut.	fem.	masc., neut.	fem.	masc.
sg.					
N		hī			-šim, -dim
A	īm	hīm	ĭm, dim	dim	
NAn.	īţ		ĭţ, dĭţ		-diy
I (IAbl.)					-šim (!)
GD	hōi	hōi	hē, šē	hē, šē	-šaiy
du.					
NVA		hī			
NAn.	hī				
pl.					
A	īš	hīš	dĭš	dĭš	šīš, -dīš
NAn.	Ī				
G					-šām

Table 52. Near-deictic demonstrative pronoun a-/ima

	OAv.		YAv.		OP	
	masc., neut.	. fem.	masc., neut.	fem.	masc., neut.	fem.
sg.						
N	aiiām	īm (< *iiam) (Y 45.3?)	aēm	īm	iyam	iyam
A		imam	iməm	imam	imam	imām
NAn.	imaţ		imaţ		ima	
I		ōiiā (< *aijā)	ana	aiia	anā	
D	ahmāi	axiiāi	ahmāi	ańhāi		
Abl.	ahmāţ		ahmăţ	ańhāţ		
G (GD)	ahiiā		ańhe, ahe	aŋ̂hå		ahayāyā
L	ahmī		ahmi, ahmiia	ańhe (< -jặ)		
du.						
NVA			ima			
NAn.						
IDAbl.		ābiiā		ābiia		
G	ås°, aiiå		aiiå			
pl.						
N (NA)			ime	imå	imaiy	
A			imą			
NAn.	imā		ima		imā	
I (IAbl.)	āiš	ābīš	āiš	ābīš	imaibiš	
DAbl.	aē ⁱ biiō	a ⁱ biias°	aē ⁱ biiō	ābiiō		
G (GD)	aēšam		aēšam	åŋhạm	imaišām	
L		āhū	aēšu, aēšuua	āhuua		

YAv. masc., neut. fem. masc., neut. fem. masc., neut. fem. sg. huuō, hāu Ν huuōa hā huuō, hāu hauv hauv A *auūəm auuam avam avām auuam aom NAn. auuat auuaţ ava, avaš° I (IAbl.) auuā avanā auua D auuańhāi Abl. auuaŋ́hāţ auuaŋ́hå G (GD) auuaŋhe avahayā du. NVA *avā auuå G pl. N (NA) auue auuå avaiy *avā A auuū, aū NAn. auua avā auuāiš auuāiš DAbl. auuabiiō G auuaēšam auuaēšam avaišām

Table 53. Far-deictic demonstrative pronoun hau-/aua-

Table 54. Relative pronouns

	OAv.		YAv.		OP	
	masc., neut.	fem.	masc., neut.	fem.	masc., neut.	fem.
sg.						
N (NA)	yō	yā	yō	yā	haya	hayā
A	yām, yim	yam	yim	yam	tayam	tayām
NAn.	hiiaţ		yaţ		taya	
I	yā		yā		tayanā	
D	yahmāi		yahmāi			
Abl.			yahmă <u>t</u>	yeńhāt		
G	yehiiā		yeńhe	yeŋ́hå		
L	yahmī		yahmi, yahmiia	yeńhe		
du.						
NVA	yā		yā	yōi	tayā	
G	yaiiå		yaiiå			
pl.						
N (NA)	yōi	yå	yōi, yaē°	yå	tayaiy	tayā
A	yāṇg		ya			
NAn.	yā		yā	tayā		
I	yāiš			yāiš		
DAbl.	yaē ⁱ biiō		yaē ⁱ biiō	yābiiō		
G	yaēšam		yaēšam	yåŋham	tayaišām	
L	yaēšū		yaēšu	yāhu, yāhuua		

a. On huuō, ana- see §3.6.

Table 55. Interrogative and indefinite pronouns

	OAv.		YAv.		OP	
	masc., neut.	fem.	masc., neut.	fem.	masc., neut	. fem.
sg.						
N	kā, kas°, ciš	kā	kō, ciš	kā	kă, kaš°	ciš°
A	kəm, °cīm	kam	kəm, cim	kam		
NAn.	kaţ, °cīţ		ka <u>t,</u> ci <u>t</u>		(°ciy)	
I	kā		kā, kana			
D	kahmāi		kahmāi	kańhāi		
Abl.			kahmāţ	-		
G	kahiiā, cahiiā		kahe	kańhå		
L		kahiiā°	kahmi, cahmi	kańhe		
pl.						
N	kōi, caiias°					
A	kāṇg		kōi, caiiō			
NAn.	Cΰ		kā°			
I			kāiš			
DAbl.	kaē ⁱ biiō		kaē ⁱ biiō			
G			kaŋham			