# **Polysemy of Italian Event Nominals**

Elisabetta Jezek\*

It is well-known that event nominals tend to have both an event and one or more non-event interpretations. However, the representation of their polysemy can be improved. On one hand, the process-result ambiguity (Grimshaw 1990, Borer 1999) captures only a fragment of the phenomenon, given that result interpretations are not the only option available for event nominals. On the other hand, it has been assumed that result nominalizations introduce an additional semantic argument place, which may be filled by anything related causally to the event (Asher 1993: 151). Result nominals, however, may also bind a true argument of the event, while non-result interpretations may not bind a result argument or a true argument but rather a default argument, a shadow argument or even an adjunct (for a definition of true, default and shadow argument types, based on Pustejovsky 1995, see section 4 below). In other words, event nominals may potentially exploit the whole conceptual space of the event.

Given these premises, the general aim of this paper is to contribute to the representation of the semantic ambiguity exhibited by nominals denoting events¹ by providing an empirically well-founded list of sense alternations as found in corpus data. My specific goal is to investigate what elements of the event tend to be available for the restricted set of interpretations that event nominals may exhibit. Also, I intend to contribute to model event nominal polysemy in terms of semantic types. The language discussed is Italian but the proposed generalizations may expand on a broader perspective. The framework of semantic and aspectual analysis is the Generative Lexicon Theory (henceforth GL) (Pustejovsky 1995, 2001, 2006), which I briefly outline in section 4.

## 1. DEFINING EVENT NOMINALS

Following Kiefer 1998: 56, a nominal denotes an event if it is compatible with aspectual verbs like *continue*, *last*, *happen*, *take place*:

(1) What happened/took place?
i. A concert, a party, a trip, an examination
ii. \*A car, \*a bag, \*a cat, \*a bed, \*a table, \*a book = non-event nouns

\* University of Pavia. Courriel : jezek@unipv.it

<sup>1</sup> Event is used here as a general term for all sorts of dynamic eventualities.

Following Pustejovsky 1995, 2006, a noun denoting a physical object may be coerced to an event if it is selected by a verb requiring an event as argument, as in the case of *last* in (2) which coerces the physical object *beer* to the event of 'drinking' it<sup>2</sup>:

(2) last
i. my seminar lasted one our
ii. my beer lasted one hour

= event nominal
⇒ semantic type coercion

Following Gross 1996 and Gross - Kiefer 1995, event nominals are predicates when they appear in light verb constructions (take a decision, make a choice). Predicative event nominals (prédicats nominaux or noms prédicatifs d'événement) should be classified separately on the basis of the light verbs they select

#### 2. CLASSES OF EVENT NOMINALS

From a morphological point of view, event nominals can be *derived* or they can be *simple nouns* (Kiefer 1998: 56-58). *Derived nominals* are usually nominalizations of a verb stem, either through syntactic conversion (zero conversion as in 3a. or conversion and inflection as in (3b):

(3a) zero conversion: avere 'to have'  $\rightarrow$  gli averi 'the possessions'

(3b) conversion and inflection: arrivare 'to arrive'  $\rightarrow l'arrivo$  'the arrival'

or through a morphological process, as in (4):

```
(4) -zione: costruire 'to build' → la costruzione 'building'
-mento: allenare 'to train' → l'allenamento 'training'
-tura: bruciare 'to burn' → una bruciatura 'a burn'
-aggio: lavare 'to wash' → un lavaggio 'a wash'
-ata: nuotare 'to swim' → una nuotata 'a swim'
-enza: partire 'to leave' → la partenza 'departure'
-ìo: gocciolare 'to drop' → il gocciolio 'sequence of drops'
```

Simple event nouns (disguised nominals in Vendler 1967a) either exist without a corresponding verb in the lexicon, as in (5a), or they have a correspondent denominal verb, as in (5b):

(5a) It. cerimonia 'ceremony', incidente, 'accident', storia 'story'

(5b) It. pranzo 'lunch' (Verb pranzare), viaggio 'trip' (Verb viaggiare)<sup>3</sup>

<sup>2</sup> In Pustejovsky 2006 it is assumed that combinations of an aspectual predicate with an artifactual type (as in 'my beer lasted one hour') undergo an ordered sequence of coercion operations: first, the verb introduces an event (Event Introduction); then, the value of the Qualia of the Noun is exploited (Qualia Exploitation).

<sup>3</sup> In isolating-like languages like English, the distinction between nominals derived from verbs through zero conversion (call / a call), and simple event nouns from which a denominal verb is derived (lunch / to lunch) is sometimes blurred since it is not always obvious which of the two week (nominal or verbs) comes first.

obvious which of the two uses (nominal or verbal) comes first.

=PROCESS

## 3. THE SEMANTICS OF EVENT NOMINALS

Following Grimshaw 1990, event nominals tend to be systematically polysemous between a PROCESS reading (exhibiting argument structure) and a RESULT reading (exhibiting absence of argument structure):

(6a) It. la *costruzione* (del palazzo) e' durata due anni 'the building (of the house) took two years'

(6b) It. la *costruzione* (\*del palazzo) e' alta due piani 'the building (\*of the house) is two floors high'

Although the PROCESS-RESULT distinction captures an important generalization, a more refined classification of event nominals polysemy is desirable.

First, Grimshaw's RESULT class is primarily syntactic, and includes both eventand entity-denoting nouns<sup>4</sup>. In a semantic-ontological perspective, however, as the one adopted here, it is more convenient to classify temporal objects separately from non-temporal ones and explore further their syntactic corollaries. Also, after Grimshaw's influential study, the label RESULT has often been applied loosely to all *non eventive interpretations of event nominals* (no matter how different in type specification) without clarifying what RESULT should mean in this case. Only some authors (for instance, Asher 1993) have intentionally used RESULT as a semantic term, meaning 'a causal by-product of the event'. All this, I believe, has caused a general confusion about the ontological status of RESULTS<sup>5</sup>.

Second, the PROCESS-RESULT distinction does not clarify what elements of the event tend to be available for result nominals and how those can be characterized in terms of semantic types. In this respect, Asher 1993 claims that RESULT nominals introduce an *additional semantic argument place* which may be filled by anything related causally to the event satisfying the event argument of the corresponding PROCESS nominal (Asher 1993: 151). However, besides introducting an additional argument place (*analisi* 'analysis') RESULT nominals may also bind an argument of the event (*costruzione* 'construction'). Moreover, as noted for instance in Apresjan 1974 and Bierwisch 1990-91: 63, several interpretations other than RESULT are available for event nominals.

Last, the PROCESS-RESULT alternation does not distinguish between nominals where ambiguity is inherent and nominals which are ambiguous because of contextual operations of coercion (constructional or selectional polysemy, cf. Coperstake and Briscoe 1995, Pustejovsky 2006). However, this is an important distinction since the ambiguity exhibited by event nominals, while responding to regular patterns, is not systematic, i.e. it cannot always be predicted from the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In Grimshaw's account, nominals with a complex event structure fall into the PROCESS class, while nouns with a simple event structure fall into the RESULT class.

<sup>5</sup> As noted by Vendler 1967b, the notion of result is in itself problematic: it belongs to a family of related concepts, namely *results*, *effects* and *consequences*, which share analogies only in their common sense, not in their technical one.

verb's meaning; for instance, some nominals do not exhibit result readings, even though they potentially could.

A systematic empirical investigation of the polysemy of event nominals focusing on the issues outlined above is still missing.

## 4. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

In this section I briefly outline the components of the GL model which are relevant for the present discussion: these are the *Argument Structure* and *the Event Structure*.

Argument Structure specifies the number and nature of the arguments to a predicate. Within GL, Argument Structure may include true arguments, default arguments, shadow arguments and adjuncts (Pustejovsky 1995, 62-67). True arguments are the semantic parameters which are necessarily expressed syntactically. Default arguments are the parameters which participate in the logical expression but are not necessarily expressed syntactically: differently from adjuncts, however, if they are not expressed they are implicit. Shadow arguments are the parameters which are semantically incorporated in verb semantics and can be expressed only by operations of subtyping, which adds further semantic content to the expression. Adjuncts are the parameters which modify the logical expression, but are not part of a verb's semantic representation.

Event structure defines the event type of the predicate and any subeventual structure it may have. Within GL, complex events are represented as tree structures in which subevents are ordered with respect to their temporal relations and to the prominence they play in the final interpretation (as well as in the mapping of the event arguments to syntax). Event Structures may be States, Processes or Transitions. States and Processes are both durative non-bounded events, while Transitions are binary branching structures encoding change. Transitions may be left- or right-headed, depending on which one of the two subevents provides the focus of the interpretation. Mary built a table is a left-headed Transition and its head is the subevent Process; The cup broke is a right-headed Transition and its head is the resulting State (Pustejovsky 1995: 67-75).

#### 5. POLYSEMY OF ITALIAN EVENT NOMINALS

In this section I present an analysis of Italian event nominal polysemy<sup>6</sup> based on corpus-derived data<sup>7</sup>. My general aim is to clarify what elements of the event tend to be available for the restricted set of interpretations that event nominals may exhibit. Also, I intend to characterize the various interpretations from an

<sup>7</sup> The corpus from which data are extracted is the ITWaC corpus - Italian Web as Corpus (see Baroni and Kilgarriff 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In the analysis, I consider both deverbal and simple nouns. I intentionally leave out stative nominals. Recent analyses on the semantics of Italian event nominals include Gaeta 2004, Melloni 2007 (both from a morphological perspective) and Simone 2000.

ontological point of view<sup>8</sup>. I identify senses of nominals by investigating their distributional behavior in texts and in particular by clustering their typical collocates (a key point being the distinction between verbs and adjectives selecting for events on the one hand and verbs and adjectives selecting for entities on the other hand)<sup>9</sup>. In this way, I isolate eleven regular patterns which are listed in (7) below.) While identifying the patterns, I refer to previous work where various types of regular polysemy of event nominals are discussed (especially Apresjan 1974, Bierwisch 1990-91, Pustejovsky 2005). For the sake of simplicity, I discuss the alternations as if they consisted of two senses only, which does not reflect the real complexity (see section 7). Also, with the exception of 2 and 3 below, I concentrate on domain-shifting alternations (across the temporal domain), and leave for further investigation domain-preserving alternations:

(7) 1. EVENT caduta 'fall' 2. EVENT / STATE inquinamento 'pollution' 3. EVENT / INTERVAL ricevimento 'reception' 4. EVENT / ABSTRACT OBJECT10 analisi 'analysis' discorso 'speech' disegno 'drawing' 5. EVENT / INFO 6. EVENT / PHYSICAL OBJECT pranzo 'lunch' 7. EVENT / FOOD 8. EVENT / MEAN riscaldamento 'heating' 9. EVENT / HUMAN aiuto 'help' direzione 'board of directors' partenza 'departure' 10. EVENT / HUMAN GROUP 11. EVENT / LOCATION

I assume that event nominals may bind one of the following elements of the event:

(8) Binding options available for event nominals

i) the event argument;

ii) a subevent of the event structure of (i);

iii) a true argument of the event;

iv) a default argument of the event;

v) a shadow argument;

vi) the result argument introduced by the nominalization process

vii) an adjunct.

<sup>8</sup> For instance, I will analyze a process-result nominal like Engl. *drawing* as an EVENT ('the drawing took three hours') and a PHYSICAL OBJECT ('the drawing was sold yesterday').

<sup>5</sup> In order to speed up the analysis, I explore the corpus through the *Sketch Engine* (Kilgarriff et al. 2004), a corpus query tool which provides *word sketches*, i.e. one-page automatic corpus-based summaries of a word's collocational behavior, linked to concordance lines.

<sup>10</sup> In Asher 1993's definition, ABSTRACT OBJECTS are objects which resemble events but are not, properly speaking, located in time and space and do not have causal power as events prototypically do. These timeless objects are *propositions* and, to a lesser extent, *facts* (Asher 1993: 57).

In the following section, I discuss the alternations listed in (7) separately<sup>11</sup>.

1. EVENT: Some nominals tend to exhibit exclusively an EVENT reading<sup>12</sup>. Examples are: abolizione 'abolition', sparizione 'disappearance'; guarigione 'healing' uccisione 'killing'; cambiamento 'change', inseguimento 'pursuing', invecchiamento 'aging', spostamento 'dispacement', svolgimento 'development', svuotamento 'emptying'; caduta 'fall', dormita 'sleep', lavata 'wash'; bocciatura 'rejection', cottura 'cooking'; rottura 'breaking'; atterraggio 'landing', montaggio 'assembling' salvataggio 'rescuing'; dondolio 'swing', gocciolio 'danosis', ancie (state) and state 'state (state) and state (s 'dropping'; avvio 'start', crollo 'collapse', rilascio 'discharge'.

(9) caduta EVENT

(a) EVENT: anticipare 'anticipate', rallentare 'slow down', arrestare 'stop', accellerare 'speed up', frenare 'slow down', affrettare 'speed up', ritardare 'delay'

Head Noun:

(b) EVENT: brusco 'abrupt', improvviso 'sudden', repentino 'sudden', rapido 'quick', frequente 'frequent', precipitoso 'rush', progressivo 'progressive', lento 'slow', continuo 'continuous', imminente 'imminent', recente 'recent'

The event structure of these nominals may be a bounded Process (10a), a leftheaded Transition (10b), a right-headed Transition (10c).

- (10a) Ho fatto una dormita di sette ore 'I had a seven-hour sleep'
- (10b) lo svuotamento della vasca fu lento lit. 'the emptying of the pool was slow'
- (10c) la caduta è avvenuta a un chilometro dall'arrivo 'the fall occurred one kilometer before the arrival'

If these event nominals denote a Transition, next to denoting the change of state, they may denote the effects that such a change typically brings about (see Asher 1993, 150, 157). Hence:

(11) il cambiamento è sotto gli occhi di tutti 'the change is there for all to see

11 Although the analysis is carried out using statistical tools, it is meant as a qualitative investigation and not a quantitative one. Also, the analysis is not meant to answer the question of whether there are correlations between the morphological properties of nominals and the polysemy patterns they fall into. Even if this is an interesting question, my primary aim is to build an empirically well-founded inventory of polysemy patterns for event nominals, to characterize them in terms of semantic types and to investigate what element of the event these types bind.

12 We keep out of the present discussion the factive interpretations which, in principle, are available for all nominalizations but which are due to the coercive property of factive predicates rather than the inherent semantics of event nominals (Zucchi 1991, Asher

1993, Pustejovsky 1995).

denotes the effects of the change<sup>13</sup>.

2. EVENT / STATE: Nominals denoting a Transition may denote the STATE persisting in time after the event has occurred (Bierwisch 1990-1991: 52). In GL terms, the STATE interpretation originates from the right sub-event of the event structure. Nouns denoting PROCESSES or STATES cannot license this reading, since their event structures do not include a resulting state to which reference can be made. Also, only a subset of nominals denoting TRANSITIONS license stative readings. This probably relates to the aspectual properties of the base verb: only nominals inheriting an event structure that foresees the persistence of a state after the culmination has taken place, may allow this interpretation. Examples are: agitazione 'distress', disoccupazione 'unemployment', intossicazione 'intoxication'; affaticamento 'tiredness', inquinamento 'pollution', isolamento 'isolation', abbandono 'state of abandonment', arresto 'state of arrest', assedio 'siege', degrado 'degrade'.

(12) l'*abbandono* delle campagne lit. 'the leaving of the countryside'

=EVENT

una casa in *abbandono* 'a house in state of neglect'

=STATE

Since both EVENTS and STATES are temporal objects, this sense alternation is to be considered a domain-preserving alternation.

3. EVENT / INTERVAL: Although in principle all nominals expressing durative events may denote a time span, some nominals more clearly than others denote an EVENT and the INTERVAL or period of time for which the event holds. See for instance inaugurazione 'inauguration', premiazione 'prize-giving', rivoluzione 'revolution'; allattamento 'breastfeeding', fidanzamento 'engagement' ricevimento 'reception'; fioritura 'blooming, blossoming'; raccolta 'harvest', cerimonia 'ceremony', festa 'party'.

(13) il ricevimento degli ospiti inizia alle 18 'the reception of the guests will start at 6 pm' =EVENT

durante il ricevimento si e' sentita male 'during the reception she felt bad'

=INTERVAL

Since both EVENTS and INTERVALS are temporal objects, this sense alternation is to be considered a domain-preserving alternation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The ontological nature of EFFECTS is controversial: Vendler 1967b considers them as TEMPORAL OBJECTS: 'effects are not facts or physical objects, but events or processes which are due to other events or processes in the world' (p. 155), 'results are not effects, because [...] they are not events or processes at all' (p. 155), 'results are facts and they are due to other facts (159)'. To sum up, for Vendler effects last in time, while results don't

4. EVENT / ABSTRACT OBJECT: Nominals may denote an EVENT and an ABSTRACT OBJECT. The abstract object may bind the additional argument place brought up by the nominalization process (Asher 1993: 151). In (14) for instance analisi 'analysis' does not denote either the subject or the object argument of analyse but rather the abstract object resulting from the event:

# (14) analisi (Event / Abstract object)

Object :

(a) EVENT: effettuare 'perform', eseguire 'carry out', fare 'do, make', compiere 'make', condurre 'conduct', completare 'complete', svolgere 'carry out', concludere 'conclude', avviare 'to start', proseguire 'go on with', ultimare 'complete', terminare 'finish', cominciare 'begin', iniziare 'start', realizzare 'accomplish', rinviare 'postpone'

(b) ABSTRACT OBJECT: condividere 'share', confermare 'confirm', pubblicare 'publish', contestare 'contest', smentire 'deny', citare 'quote', illustrare 'illustrate', apprezzare 'appreciate', commentare 'comment', diffondere

'spread', presentare 'present'

il ricercatore ha completato l'*analisi* 'the researcher has completed his analysis'

=EVENT

condivido la sua *analisi* e la principale conclusione 'I agree with his analysis and the overall conclusion'

=ABSTRACT OBJECT

In other cases, however, the abstract object may bind an argument of the event (invenzione 'invention'). Further examples are: autorizzazione 'authorization', classificazione 'classification', combinazione 'combination', descrizione 'description'; invenzione 'invention', spiegazione 'explanation'; avvertimento 'warning', esperimento 'experimento 'regulation'; accordo 'agreement', analisi 'analysis', richiesta 'request'.

5. EVENT / INFORMATION: Nominals may denote an EVENT and the INFORMATION which is transmitted during the event (specialization of the alternation EVENT / ABSTRACT OBJECT) (Pustejovsky 2005: 5). It is not evident how INFO should be characterized, i.e what element of the event it encodes. Examples are: dichiarazione 'declaration', discorso 'speech', esame 'examination, exam', intervista 'interview', lezione 'lecture', seminario 'seminar', storia 'story'.

# (15) discorso (EVENT / INFO)

Object

(a) EVENT: riprendere 'start again', riavviare 'again', tenere 'hold', intavolare 'start', fare 'do, make', avviare 'start', troncare 'cut', interrompere 'interrupt', rimandare 'postpone', imbastire 'put together', concludere 'conclude', proseguire 'go on with', aprire 'start', rinviare 'postpone', terminare 'finish', iniziare 'start', continuare 'continue', finire 'finish', completare 'complete'

(b) INFO: apprezzare 'appreciate', commentare 'comment', capire 'understand', semplificare 'simplify', citare 'quote', condividere 'share', giudicare 'judge', accettare 'accept', rivedere 'go through again', criticare 'criticize',

interpretare 'interpret'

interrompo il *discorso* per darvi una buona notizia 'I interrupt the speech to give you good news'

=EVENT

Clinton ha criticato il *discorso* di Arafat 'Clinton critized Arafat's speech'

=INFORMATION

6. EVENT / PHYSICAL OBJECT: In this case, next to the EVENT, the nominal denotes a PHYSICAL OBJECT. This object may be the primary output of the event (costruzione 'building', disegno 'drawing', collezione 'collection) or a causal byproduct of it (segatura 'sawdust' from segare 'saw'). The PHYSICAL OBJECT may bind an argument of the event (costruire dieci costruzioni abusive 'build ten illegal buildings', disegnare complicati disegni 'draw complicated drawings') or it may introduce an additional argument place (segatura, collezione are not arguments of segare and collezionare). When the physical object binds the internal argument, the interpretation of the nominal corresponds to 'that which is – Ved'. Drawing, for instance, is 'that which is drawn'. Conversely, when the physical object introduces an additional argument, this paraphrase does not hold: ritratto 'portrait' is not 'that which is portrayed' but rather 'the output of the portrayal' (cf. \*ritrarre un ritratto della regina 'to portrait a portrait of the queen')<sup>14</sup>.

Further examples are: registrazione 'recording', spedizione 'posting, mailing', arredamento 'furnishing, furniture' condimento 'dressing', rifornimento 'supply', fasciatura 'bandage', impalcatura 'scaffolding', scultura 'sculpture'; bendaggio 'bandage', lavaggio 'washing'; grigliata 'mixed grill', nevicata 'snowfall'; acquisto 'purchase', affitto 'rent', chiusura 'closure', assaggio 'taste, sample', disegno 'drawing', raccolta 'collection', ritratto 'portrayal, portait', sveglia 'alarm clock', taglio (di capelli) 'haircut'; il desinare 'eating, food'.

(16) collezione (EVENT / PHYS)

Object

(a) EVENT: fare 'do', dedicarsi a 'commit oneself to'

(b) PHYS: esporre 'exhibit', ospitare 'host', possedere 'own', donare 'donate', mostrare 'show', conservare 'keep', custodire 'keep', vendere 'sell', acquistare 'purchase', regalare 'donate', rubare 'steal', ereditare 'inherit'

dedicarsi alla *collezione* di opere d'arte 'commit oneself to the collection of art works'

=EVENT

ereditare una *collezione* di opere d'arte 'inherit a collection of art works'

=PHYS OBJECT

Interestingly, some deverbal nominals have nowadays predominantly or exclusively a concrete reading. Among these we find: *abitazione* 'habitation, house', *appartamento* 'apartment', *stabilimento* 'plant', *calzatura* 'shoe', *serratura* 'lock', *spazzatura* 'trash', *alloggio* 'lodging'avanzo 'leftover', *cascata* 'falls', *dipinto* 'painting', *regalo* 'gift', *romanzo* 'novel', *l'ammontare* 'amount'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Notice that although *disegno* and *ritratto* differ since the first one binds an argument of the event while the second one does not, they are both to be interpreted as RESULTS.

(17) si e' rotta la serratura 'the lock broke'

=PHYS OBJECT

mangiare gli avanzi del pranzo 'eat the leftovers of lunch'

=PHYS OBJECT

It is not evident if the EVENT interpretation has ever been available for each of these nominals.

7. EVENT / FOOD: The EVENT/PHYSICAL OBJECT alternation has various specializations, one of which is the EVENT/FOOD alternation. The FOOD reading lexicalizes what is consumed during the event. Examples are: pasto 'meal', pranzo 'lunch', cena 'dinner', colazione 'breakfast', picnic, spuntino 'light meal, snack'. Since many of these nouns are non-derived from a morphological point of view and some of them do not have a corresponding denominal verb, it is not evident how their FOOD reading can be characterized. I propose it can be treated as binding a shadow argument of the event (e.g. an argument incorporated in verb's semantics).

(18) pranzo (EVENT / FOOD) Object

(a) EVENT: finire 'finish', terminare 'finish', fare 'do, make', concludere 'conclude', interrompere 'interrupt', chiudere 'finish', cominciare 'start', proseguire 'go on with', continuare 'continue'

(b) PHYS: consumare 'consume', gustare 'try', cucinare 'cook', portare 'bring', vomitare 'throw up', digerire 'digest', comprare 'buy', assaggiare 'taste', distribuire 'distribute', cuocere 'cook', buttare giù 'gobble'

hanno interrotto il pranzo e sono corsi a casa 'they interrupted their lunch and ran home'

=EVENT

fare una passeggiata per digerire il pranzo 'go for a walk to digest lunch'

=PHYS OBJECT

8. EVENT / MEAN: Some nominals denote an EVENT and the MEAN (Bierwisch 1990-1991) used to accomplish the event (specialization of the EVENT / PHYSICAL ÓBJECT alternation). The MEAN reading may bind the subject argument (illuminazione 'lighting, lamp', isolamento 'isolation', riscaldamento 'heating') or a default argument (imballaggio 'packaging', imbottitura 'filling').

(19) riscaldamento (EVENT / MEAN)

Object

- (a) EVENT: provocare 'cause', constrastare 'constrast, oppose', ridurre 'reduce', rallentare 'slow down', evitare 'avoid', consentire 'allow', causare 'cause', produrre 'produce', frenare 'slow down', limitare 'limit'
  (b) MEAN: accendere 'turn on', spegnere 'turn off', azionare 'activate', attivare 'activate', staccare 'turn off', utilizzare 'use', sistemare 'fix'

un guasto non ha consentito il riscaldamento 'a breakdown prevented the heating'

=EVENT

la notte spengono il *riscaldamento* 'during the night they turn off the heating' =MEAN

9. EVENT / HUMAN: Nominals may denote an EVENT and a person (HUMAN) filling the Agent role in the event (specialization of the EVENT / PHYSICAL OBJECT alternation) (Pustejovsky 2005: 5). Examples are: *aiuto* 'help', *attrazione* 'attraction'. In (18) *aiuto* binds the subject argument of the verb aiutare and the meaning can be paraphrased as 'person who Vs'.

(20) aspettare sempre l'aiuto di qualcuno 'always wait for the help of somebody' =EVENT

il nostro nuovo aiuto e' portoghese lit. 'our new help is Portuguese'

=HUMAN

10. EVENT / HUMAN GROUP: E-nominals may denote an event and a HUMAN GROUP (or an INSTITUTION, ORGANIZATION, COMPANY) filling the Agent role in the event. The HUMAN GROUP reading binds the subject argument of the event. See for instance *amministrazione* 'administration', *direzione* 'direction', *organizzazione* 'organization', *redazione* 'editorial staff'; *schieramento* 'line-up'; equipaggio 'crew'; accusa 'accusation, prosecution', balletto 'ballet', difesa 'defence', governo 'government'.

(21) un'accusa di corruzione

=EVENT

'an accusation of corruption'

l'accusa ha chiesto l'ergastolo 'the prosecutor(s) called a life sentence' =INSTITUTION

(22) assumere la direzione dell'azienda

'take on the direction of the company'

=EVENT

parlare con la direzione

=HUMAN GROUP

talk to the board of directors'

11. EVENT / LOCATION: E-nominals may denote an EVENT and the functional LOCATION where the event takes place or where the action is carried out (Apresjan 1974). The LOCATION reading generally binds a default argument of the event. See for instance coltivazione 'cultivation, plantation'; accampamento 'campsite'; passaggio 'passage'; entrata 'entrance', fermata 'stop', discesa 'slope', uscita 'exit'; partenza 'departure'; arrivo 'arrival', bagno 'bath, bathroom', deposito 'deposit', doccia 'shower', parcheggio 'parking, parking lot', studio 'study, office'. The locative meaning can be paraphrased as 'location where one Vs'. In some cases, the location is a ROUTE or PATH: passeggiata 'walk', cammino 'walk', percorso 'route', ritorno 'return', viaggio 'trip', etc.

(23) partenza (EVENT / LOC) Object

(a) EVENT: ritardare 'delay', rinviare 'postpone', impedire 'prevent', anticipare 'anticipate', rimandare 'postpone', posticipare 'postpone', scaglionare 'stagger', bloccare 'block', differire 'postpone', programmare 'schedule', spostare 'move', organizzare 'organize', aspettare 'wait for', attendere 'wait for', annullare 'cancel', cancellare 'cancel' (b) LOC: situare 'locate', presentarsi (a) 'show up at', schierarsi (a) 'line up at'

> hanno ritardato la partenza 'they delayed their departure'

=EVENT

presentarsi alla partenza 'to show up at the departure'

=LOCATION

(24) parcheggio (EVENT / LOC) Object

(a) EVENT: regolamentare 'regulate', autorizzare 'authorize', disciplinare 'discipline', consentire 'allow', vietare 'prohibit'
(b) LOC: ampliare 'expand', delimitare 'delimit', costeggiare 'run beside',

occupare 'occupy', raggiungere 'reach', attrezzare 'equip', sorvegliare 'attend', recintare 'fence'

una legge nazionale vieta il parcheggio in quest'area

=EVENT

'a national law prohibits the parking in this area'

la strada costeggia il parcheggio 'the road runs beside the parking lot' =LOCATION

#### 6. PROBLEMS

E-nominals may denote an event and the manner in which the event is carried out. Examples are: *comportamento* 'behavior, way of behaving', *pittura* 'painting, way of painting', *camminata* 'walk, way of walking', *nuotata* 'swim, style of swimming', *il parlare* 'talking, way of talking', etc. This reading can be paraphrased as 'the way in which one Vs'. It is not evident how *manner* should be dealt with in terms of type.

(25) la camminata e' durata piu' del previsto 'the walk lasted longer then expected'

=EVENT

ha una camminata pesante lit. 'he has a heavy walking' =manner

Some e-nominals only exhibit a manner meaning: andamento 'trend', andatura 'pace', portamento 'bearing'.

# 7. MULTIPLE SENSE ALTERNATIONS

For the sake of clarity, in section 5 I presented the sense alternations as if they consisted of two options only, one of which denotes an event. However, a large number of nominals exhibit alternations between more than two readings: for instance EVENT / INFO / SOUND (26), EVENT / PHYS / LOCATION (27):

(26) il cantante ha interrotto il concerto 'the singer interrupted the concert'

abbiamo ascoltato il secondo concerto di Rachmaninov

'we listened to the second concert of Rachmaninov'

=SOUND

il settimo concerto è il più difficile e astruso 'the seventh concert is the most difficult and abstruse' =INFO

(27) il parcheggio è consentito soltanto ai residenti =EVENT

'parking is allowed for residents only'

=LOCATION

abbiamo raggiunto il parcheggio a piedi

'we walked to the parking lot

stanno costruendo un nuovo parcheggio 'they are building a new parking lot

=PHYS OBJECT

Not all senses have the same status. For instance, the PHYS interpretation in the EVENT / ABSTR / PHYS alternation in (28) and (29) are most probably coerced:

(28) l'autorizzazione e' stata concessa questa mattina =EVENT 'the authorization was given this morning'

l'autorizzazione è scaduta =ABS OBJECT

'the authorization has expired'

allegare l'autorizzazione alla richiesta 'include the authorization in the application'

=PHYS OBJECT

(29) il regolamento delle attività

lit. 'the regulation of the activities'

=EVENT

la scuola ha adottato un nuovo regolamento 'the school adopted a new regulation'

=ABS OBJECT

il nuovo regolamento è sul mio tavolo

=PHYS OBJECT

'the new regulation is on my desk'

#### 8. ASYMMETRIES OF USE

Nominals may also exhibit asymmetries of use, i.e. selectional preferences for one of the senses. Asymmetries of use may be related to specific argument positions: for instance, the object position may disprefer the EVENT reading while this same reading might dominate in subject position. Alternatively, asymmetries of use may be a general property of some nominals, no matter what argument position they occupy. Collection, for instance, exhibits a distinct preference for the RESULT interpretation in all argument positions, even when it combines with verbs selecting for events (like *completare* 'complete', *iniziare* 'start', cominciare 'begin' etc).

(30) sono riuscito a completare la collezione, e ora possiedo tutti i 242 libri 'I managed to complete the collection and I now own all 242 books'

iniziò la sua collezione nei primi anni Settanta 'he started his collection in the early seventies'

It is not clear how these asymmetries should be interpreted, i.e. if they should be considered as indicators of the nature (inherent vs. constructional) of the polysemy. Some interpretations (I believe) are clearly coerced:

(31) abbandonare il *ricevimento* 'to leave the reception'

=coercion to LOCATION

accorse tutto il *fabbricato* 'all people living in the building rushed'

=coercion to HUMAN GROUP

#### 9. CONCLUDING OBSERVATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

In this contribution I discussed the most recurrent polysemy patterns exhibited by Italian event nominals with the aid of corpus-derived data. In particular, I attempted to characterize these patterns in terms of semantic types and to clarify what elements of the event these types bind. The analysis confirms that the non-event reading of an event nominal may be a RESULT but also an entity related to the event in a non-causal way (for instance, a LOCATION). Also, the analysis supports the view that the whole argument space of the event is available for non-event readings of event nominals.

The notion of RESULT cuts across the temporal / non temporal domains since it applies both to states persisting after a change took place and to outputs and byproducts of an event (Osswald 2005).

In this work I discussed eleven sense alternations. Further research should refine the inventory of alternations and elaborate diagnostics to clarify which event nominals are inherently polysemous and which are instead coerced. Further research should also explore the correlations between the morphological properties of nominals, the verbs' lexical semantics and the type of sense alternation nominals exhibit.

# Bibliographie générale

Abney S. P., 1987, The English Noun Phrase in Its Sentential Aspect, PhD Dissertation, MIT.

Adamczewski H. et Delmas C., 1982, Grammaire linguistique de l'anglais,

Colin, Paris.

Adib Soltani M. Sh., 2000, Dar amadi bar tchégounégui-yé shivé-yé khatt-é fārsi (Une introduction aux problèmes de l'orthographe persane), 3ème éd., Téhéran, Amir Kabir.

Alexiadou A., 2001, Functional structure in nominals. Nominalization and

ergativity. Amsterdam, John Benjamins.

Alinei M., 1974, La struttura del lessico, Bologna, il Mulino.

Allen J., 1991, Form, function, and meaning in the early Egyptian verb, *LingAeg*. 1, p. 1-32.

Alwi H., Dardjowidjojo S., Lapoliwa H. & Moeliono A., 2000, Tata bahasa baku Bahasa Indonesia, Jakarta, Balai Pustaka

Anderson J. M., 1975, La grammaire casuelle, Langages 38, La grammaire des cas, p. 18-64.

Anderson S., 1976, On the Notion of Subject in Ergative Languages, in Ch. N. Li (ed.), Subject and Topic, New York, Academic Press, p. 1-23.

Anscombre J.-C., 1995, Morphologie et représentation événementielle : le cas des noms de sentiment et d'attitude, Langue Française 105, p. 40-54.

Apothéloz D., 2002, La construction du l'exique français, Paris-Gap, Ophrys, coll. "L'essentiel français".

Apresjan D. J., 1974, Regular Polysemy, Linguistics 142, p. 5-32. Arnott B. W., 1970, The nominal and verbal systems of Fula, Oxford, Clarendon Press.

Aronoff, M., 1994, Morphology by Itself: Stems and Inflexional Classes, Cambridge, MIT Press. Asher N., 1993, Reference to Abstract Objects in Discourse, Dordrecht, Kluwer

Academic Publishers.

Auroux S. et al., 1989, Histoire des idées linguistiques, tome 1, Liège-Bruxelles, Mardaga.

Authier G., 2008, *Eléments de grammaire kryz, dialecte d'Alik*, Paris, Peeters. Bach E., 1968, Nouns and Noun Phrases, in E. Bach & R. T. Harms (éds), Universals in Linguistic Theory, New York, Holt, Rinehart & Winston, p. 91-

Baker M. C. 2003, Lexical Categories: Verbs, Nouns, and Adjectives, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

Baker Ph., 1973, Kreol. A description of Mauritian Creole, London, C. Hurst &

Barker C. & Dowty D., 1993, Non verbal thematic Proto-Roles, in A. Schafer (éd.), Proceedings of NELS Amherst, GSLA:, 23: 1,p. 49-62.

Baroni M. & Kilgarriff A. 2006, Large Linguistically-Processed Web Corpora for Multiple Languages, *EACL 2006 Proceedings*, p. 87-90

Bāténi M. R., 1985, Tossif-é sāxtémān dastouri-ye zabān-é fārsi (Description de la structure grammaticale de la langue persane), 3 em éd., Téhéran, Amir Kabir.

Benetti L. et Corminbeuf G., 2004, Les nominalisations des prédicats d'action,

Cahiers de linguistique française 26, p. 413-435.

Benincà P. & Cinque G., 1991, Frasi subordinate al participio: Participio presente, in L. Renzi & G. Salvi (eds.), Grande grammatica italiana di consultazione II, Bologna, il Mulino, p. 604-609.

Benveniste E., 1933, Le Participe indo-européen en -mno-, Bulletin de la Société

de linguistique de Paris, t. 34, fasc. 1, n°101, p. 6-21.

Benveniste E., 1962, Hittite et indo-européen, Paris, Maisonneuve.

Benveniste E., 1966a, Pour l'analyse des fonctions casuelles : le génitif latin, in Problèmes de linguistique générale 1, Paris, Gallimard, p. 140-146.

Benveniste E., 1966b, La phrase nominale, in Problèmes de linguistique

générale 1, Paris, Gallimard, p. 151-167.

Benveniste E., 1966c, Les transformations des catégories grammaticales, in Problèmes de linguistique générale 2, Paris, Gallimard, p. 127-136.

Benveniste E., 1966d, Catégories de pensée et catégories de langue, in Problèmes de linguistique générale 1, Paris, Gallimard, p. 63-74.

Benveniste E., 1973, Origines de la formation des noms en indo-européen, Paris, Maisonneuve.

Bernabé J., 2003, Précis de syntaxe créole, Guyane, Martinique, Ibis Rouge éditions.

Bernard G., 1990, Opposition verbo-nominale, in Encyclopédie Philosophique Universelle, Vol. Notions, tome 2, Paris, PUF, p. 2707-2709.

Berrendonner A., 1988, Variations sur l'infinitif, in S. Rémi-Giraud (dir.), L'infinitif, Lyon, PUL, p.149-166.

Bhat D. N. S., 1997, La polarité verbo-nominale dans les langues munda, Faits de Langues 10, p. 51-55. Bierwisch M., 1990/1991, Event Nominalizations: proposals and problems, Acta

Linguistica Hungarica 40(1-2), p. 19-84.

Billeter J.-F., 1989, Grammaire chinoise et philosophie, Cahiers de la faculté des lettres 2/1, Université de Genève, p. 29-36.

Blake B., 1987, Australian Aboriginal Grammar, London, Croom Helm.

Blanvillain O. & Guimier C. (éds), 2006, Travaux linguistiques du Cerlico, 19, Les formes non finies du verbe 1, Rennes, Presses Universitaires de Rennes.

Boone A. & Joly A., 2004 [1996], Dictionnaire terminologique de la systématique du langage, Paris, L'Harmattan.

Borer H., 1999, The formation, the form and the forming of nominals, Paper

presented at the *II Mediterranean Morphology Meeting*, Malta.

Bottineau D. & Roulland D., 2007a, Le problème de la réflexivité en basque, D. Bottineau, D. Roulland & A. Rousseau (eds), L'énoncé réfléchi, Presses

Universitaires de Rennes, p. 205-228.
Bottineau D. & Roulland D., 2007b, La grammaticalisation l'adresse en basque : tutoiement et allocutivité, in L. Begioni et C. Muller, (dir.), *Problèmes de* sémantique et de syntaxe, Hommage à André Rousseau, Editions du Conseil Scientifique de l'Univ. Lille III, coll. UL3 travaux et recherches, p. 351-372.

Bottineau D., 2005, Prédication et interaction cognitive en basque, Mémoires de

la Société de Linguistique, XIV, Peeters, Louvain, p. 97-132.

Bottineau D., 2006, Les formes non finies du verbe basque, Travaux linguistiques du CERLICO 19, Les formes non finies du verbe 1, p. 33-60.

Bottineau D., 2007, Ergativité nominale et actance verbale en basque, in Actes du 11e Colloque International de Psychomécanique du Langage, Université Paul

Valéry, Montpellier, 8-10 juin 2006.

Bottineau D., 2008a (à paraître), Language and enaction, in J. Stewart, O. Gapenne, & E. Di Paolo (eds), Enaction: towards a new paradigm for cognitive science, MIT.

Bottineau D., 2008b (à paraître), La morphosyntaxe allocutive du sens grammatical, Revue de Sémantique et Pragmatique 19/20, p. 71-98.

Bottineau D., 2008c (à paraître), Personne de langage et personne de langue en basque, in J. Vicente Lozano & A. Leblanc (éds), Les représentations linguistiques de la personne, Rouen, Presses Universitaires de Rouen.

Bresnan J., 1997, Mixed categories as head sharing constructions, in M. Butt & T. H. King (eds), Proceedings of the LFG97 Conference, Stanford, CSLI

Publications, p. 2-17.

Bril I., 2002, Le nêlêmwa (Nouvelle-Calédonie). Analyse syntaxique et sémantique, Paris, Peeters, Langues et cultures du Pacifique 16.

Brinton L. J., 1995, The aktionsart of deverbal nouns in English, in P. M. Bertinetto et al. (eds), Temporal Reference, Aspect and Actionality, Torino, Rosenberg & Sellier, p. 27-42.

Brinton L. J., 1998, Aspectuality and countability: a cross-categorical analogy?,

English Language and Linguistics 2, p. 37-63.

Cadiot P. & Visetti Y.-M., 2001, Pour une théorie des formes sémantiques.

Motifs, profils, thèmes, Paris, PUF.

Cao Xuan Hạo, 1998, Tiếng Việt, mấy vấn đề ngữ âm, ngữ pháp, ngữ nghĩa (Le vietnamien, quelques questions de phonétique, de grammaire, de sémantique), Vietnam, NXB GD. Cao Xuân Hạo, 2004, Tiếng Việt, sơ thảo ngữ pháp chức năng (Le vietnamien,

esquisse de grammaire fonctionnelle), Vietnam, NXB GD. Carrington L. D., 1984, St. Lucian Creole. A descriptive analysis of its phonology and Morpho-syntax, Hambourg, Helmut Buske.

Cassonnet P., 2000, Études de néo-égyptien. Les temps seconds j-sdm.f et j-jr.f

sdm entre syntaxe et sémantique, Paris, Cybèle. Chae W., 1979, myeongsawhaso '-ki'e taehayeo (A propos du nominalisateur -ki), Kukeohak 8, p. 95-107.

Chaer A., 1989, Penggunaan imbuhan Bahasa Indonesia, Jakarta, Nusa Indah. Chang I.-B., 2002, Discours rapporté en coréen comtemporain : Avec référence au français, Louvain-Paris, Ed. Peeters.

Charachidzé G., 1982 Grammaire de la langue avar, Paris, Jean Favard.

Chomsky N., 1970, Remarks on nominalizations, in Jacobs, R. A. & Rosenbaum, P. S. (eds), Readings in English Transformational Grammar, Waltham, Mass., Ginn & Company, p. 184-221.

Chomsky N., 1980, On binding, Linguistic Inquiry 11, p. 1-46.

Chung S., 1973, The syntax of nominalizations in Polynesian, Oceanic

Linguistics 12, p. 641-86.
Chung S., 1978, Case Marking and Grammatical Relations in Polynesian,
Austin, University of Texas Press.

Clark R., 1981, Inside & outside Polynesian nominalizations, in J. Hollyman & A. Pawley (eds), Studies in Pacific Languages and Cultures in Honour of Bruce Biggs, Auckland, Linguistic Society of New Zealand, p. 65-81.

Collier M., 1992, Predication and the Circumstantial  $s\underline{d}m(=f)/s\underline{d}m.n(=f)$ , Lingua Aegyptia 2, p. 17-65.

Collier M., 1994, Grounding, Cognition and Metaphor in the Grammar of Middle

Egyptian, Lingua Aegyptia 4, p. 57-87.

Comrie C., 1976, The Syntax of action nominals: a cross-linguistic study, Lingua 40, p. 177-201.

Cop B., 1978, Zur Flexion des hethitischen Verbalsubstantivs, Linguistica 17,

p. 9-21.

Copestake A. & Briscoe T., 1995, Semi-productive Polysemy and Sense

Extension, Journal of Semantics 12-1, p. 15-67.

Corne C. et Burnet C., 1997, La coordination consécutive aux Mascareignes et aux Seychelles : un reflet de conceptualisations africaines, in M.-C. Hazaël-Massieux et D. de Robillard (éds), Contacts de langues, contacts de cultures, créolisation, Paris, L'Harmattan, p. 209-224.

Corne C., 1977, Seychelles Creole Grammar, Tübingen, Gunter Narr Verlag. Corne C., 1999, To emphasize the verb: verb fronting in Isle de France Creole, in C. Corne, From French to Creole. The development of new vernaculars in the French colonial world, London, Univ. of Westminster Press, p. 189-196.

Cornilescu, A., 1999, Aspect and Nominalizations. The Case of Romanian, in Kenesei, I. (ed.), Crossing Boundaries. Advances in the theory of Central and Eastern European languages, Amsterdam/ Philadelphia, Benjamins.

Coseriu E., 1978, Gramática, semántica, universales. Estudios de lingüística

funcional, Madrid, Gredos.

Cothière D., 2005, Les constructions sérielles du créole haïtien et leur gestion par des créolophones francophones dans le passage de L1 à L2, Mémoire de DEA, Université de Paris III.

Coyos J.-B., 1999, Le parler basque souletin des Arbailles, une approche de l'ergativité, Paris, L'Harmattan.

Coyos J.-B., 2002, Parcours de type passif et de type antipassif en basque souletin parlé actuel, Bulletin de la Société de linguistique de Paris XCVIÎ-I,

Creissels D. & Godard D., 2005, The Tswana Infinitive as a Mixed Category, in S. Müller (ed.), International Conference on Head-Driven Phrase Structure

Grammar, Stanford, CSLI Publications, p. 70-90.
Creissels D., 2006, Syntaxe générale: une introduction typologique, 2 tomes (t. 1, Catégories et constructions, t. 2, La phrase), Paris, Hermes-Lavoisier. Creissels D. 2006a, Formes verbales non finies et formes hybrides, in D.

Creissels, 2006, tome 1, chapitre 13.

Creissels D. 2006b, Prédications verbales intransitives et alignement, in D. Creissels, 2006, tome 1, chapitre 18.

Croft W., 1991, Syntactic Categories and Grammatical Relations: The Cognitive Organization of Information, Chicago, University of Chicago Press.

Culioli A., 1985, Notes du Séminaire de D.E.A 1983-1985, Poitiers, D.R.L,

Université de Paris VII.

Culioli A., 1990, Pour une linguistique de l'énonciation : opérations et représentations (Tome 1), Paris-Gap, Ophrys Culioli A. 1999, Pour une linguistique de l'énonciation : domaine notionnel

(Tome 3), Paris-Gap, Ophrys.

Culioli A. & Desclès J.-P., 1982, Traitement formel des langues naturelles. Première partie : mise en place des concepts à partir d'exemples, Mathématiques et sciences humaines, tome 77, p. 93-125.

Cuzzolin P., Preliminari per una descrizione dell'avverbio di frase in greco classico, in P. Cuzzolin (ed.), Studi di linguistica greca, Milano, Franco Angeli, p. 137-164.

Dabir-Moghaddam M., 1995, Fe'el-e morakkab dar zabân-e fârsi (Compound Verbs in Persian), Madjaleye zabânšenâsi (Journal of Iranian Linguistics),

Dallidet M., 2001, Dictionnaire chinois-français du chinois parlé, Paris, Youfeng.

Damoiseau R., 1979, Étude syntaxique du créole d'un locuteur martiniquais unilingue, Thèse de doctorat, Paris V.

De Guzman V. P., 1978, Syntactic Derivation of Tagalog Verbs, Honolulu, The

University Press of Hawaii.

De Miguel E., 1995, An Aspectual Restriction on Spanish Nominal Infinitives, ASJU (International Journal of Basque Linguistics and Philology) 29,

DeGraff M., 1992, Creole grammars and the acquisition of Syntax: The case of

Haitian, PhD. dissertation, University of Pennsylvania.

Desclès J.-P., 1999, Au sujet de la catégorisation verbale, Faits de Langues 14, p. 227-237.

Dik S. C., 1997, The Theory of Functional Grammar. Part I: the Structure of the Clause, ed. by K. Hengeveld, Berlin & New York, Mouton de Gruyter.

Dixon R. M. & Aikhenvald A. Y., 2006 Complementation, Oxford, Oxford University Press.

Dixon R. M. W., 1988, A Grammar of Boumaa Fijian, Chicago-London, The

University of Chicago Press.

Do-Hurinville D. T., 2006, Etude de quelques coverbes, de l'ordre temporel et du discours rapporté dans la littérature et dans la presse vietnamiennes. Etude contrastive avec le français, Bulletin de la Société de Linguistique de Paris, t. CI, fasc. 1, p. 369-416.

Do-Hurinville D. T., 2007, Les verbes de qualité en vietnamien, Travaux linguistiques du CERLICO 20, p. 217-233.

Dowty D., 1979, Word Meaning and Montague Grammar, Dordrecht, Reidel. Dowty D., Wall R. & Peters S., 1981, Introduction to Montague Semantics,

Dordrecht, Reidel.

Dupont N., 1994, La construction syntagmatique nom déverbal + adjectif dénominal, in L. Basset et M. Pérénnec (dir), Les classes de mots. Traditions

et perspectives, Lyon, PUL, p. 339-363. Edel E., 1955-1964, Altägyptische Grammatik, 2 vols, Rome (= AnOr. 34 et 39). Fattier D., 1998, Contribution à l'étude de la genèse d'un créole : l'Atlas linguistique d'Haïti, cartes et commentaires, Thèse d'état, Université de Provence.

Feuillet J., 2006, Introduction à la typologie linguistique, Paris, Honoré Champion

Fillmore Ch. J., 1975, Quelques problèmes posés à la grammaire casuelle, Langages 38, La grammaire des cas, Paris, Didier-Larousse, p. 65-80.

Flaux N. & Van de Velde, D., 2000, Les noms en français: esquisse de

classement, Paris, Ophrys.

Fortis J.-M., 2004, Voix et rôles thématiques en tagalog, Faits de Langues 23/24, p. 231-248.

Fouchécour Ch.-H. de, 1985, Éléments de persan, Paris, Publications orientalistes de France.

Friedrich J., 1974, Hethitisches Elementarbuch. Erster Teil: Kurzgefasste Grammatik, Heidelberg, Carl Winter, Universitätsverlag.

J., Kammenhuber A., Neumann G. & Heubek A. 1969, Altkleinasiatische Sprachen, in Keilschriftforschungen und alte Geschichte Vorderasiens, Leiden/Köln, E. J. Brill.

Fu J., Roeper Th. & Borer H., 2001, The VP within process nominals: Evidence from adverbs and the VP anaphor do-so, Natural Language and Linguistic Theory 19, p. 549-582.

Fuchs C., Gosselin L. et Victorri B, 1991, Polysémie, glissements de sens et calcul des types de procès, in C. Fuchs et al. (éds), Les typologies de procès, Paris, Klincksieck, p. 137-169.

Gaeta L., 2003, Quando i verbi appaiono come nomi, Milano, Franco Angeli. Gaeta L., 2004, Nomi d'azione, in M. Grossmann & F. Rainer (eds), La formazione delle parole in italiano, Tuebingen, Niemeyer.

Galand L., 2006, Le "participe" berbère, Faits de langues 26, p. 27-45.

GALR 2005, Gramatica Limbii Române, București, Editura Academiei Române.

Germain R., 1976, Grammaire créole, Paris, Éditions du Levain. Ghomeshi J., 1997, Topics in Persian VPs. Lingua 102, p. 133-167.

Ginzburg J. & Sag I. A., 2000, Interrogative Investigations, Stanford, CSLI Publications.

Givón T., 1979, On Understanding Grammar, New York, Academic Press.

Goes J., 2004, Les adjectifs primaires: prototypes sémantiques ou prototypes abstraits?, in J. François (dir), L'adjectif en français et à travers les langues, Actes du colloque de Caen, Caen, Presses Universitaires de Caen, p. 109-134. Gosselin L., 1996, Sémantique de la Temporalité en Français, Louvain-la-Neuve, Duculot.

Grimshaw J., 1990, Argument structure, Cambridge, Mass., The MIT. Press. Grinevald C., 1999, Typologie des systèmes de classification nominale, Faits de

Langues 14, p. 101-122.

Gross G., 1996, Prédicats nominaux et compatibilité aspectuelle, Langages 121, p. 54-72.

Gross G. et Kiefer F., 1995, La structure événementielle des substantifs, Folia Linguistica XXIX/1-2, p. 29-43

Gross G. & Günthner F., sous presse, Manuel d'analyse linguistique.

Guillaume G., 1964, Langage et science du langage, Lib. A. Nizet / Québec, Presses de l'Université Laval.

Guillaume G., 1991, Leçons de linguistique 1943-44, série A, volume 10, Presses universitaires de Lille / Presses de l'Université Laval, Québec.

Hagège C., 2001, La structure des langues, Paris, PUF.

Hahn A. É., 1965, Was there a Nominative Gerund? (Iterum de gerundio et gerundivo), Transactions and proceedings of the American Philological

Association 96, p. 181-207.

Hahn A. E., 1966, Verbal nouns and adjectives in some ancient languages, Language 42, p. 378-398.

Hakulinen A. & al., 2004, Iso suomen kielioppi, Helsinki, Suomalaisen kirjallisuuden seura.

Hakulinen A. & Karlsson F., 1979, Nykysuomen lauseoppia, Helsinki, Suomalaisen kirjallisuuden seura.

Harley, H. & Noyer, R., 1998, Mixed nominalizations, short verb movement and object shift in English, NELS 28, p. 143-157.

Härmä J., 1984, Le syntagme nominal en finnois et en français, Etudes finnoougriennes XVIII, p. 16-32.

Haspelmath M. 2002, Understanding Morphology, Oxford, Oxford Univ. Press. Hazaël-Massieux G., 1996, Les créoles. Problèmes de genèse et de description, Aix-en-Provence, Publications de l'Université de Provence.

Heyd S. & Knittel M. L., 2006, Quelques remarques à propos des noms

d'activités, Rencontres linguistiques du Grand Est, 13-14 juin 2006.

Himmelmann N. (à paraître), Lexical categories and voice in Tagalog, in P. Austin & S. Musgrave (eds), Voice and Grammatical Functions in Austronesian Languages, Stanford, CSLI.

Honeste M. L., 1999, Un mode de classification sémantique : la polysémie, Faits de Langues 14, p. 27-36.

Honeste M. L., 2004, Langue et contexte: deux sources de signification. L'exemple du verbe modal pouvoir, Le Français Moderne 2, tome LXXII, O. Soutet (éd.), Paris, CILF, p. 146-156.

Honeste M. L., 2005a, La théorie des schémas conceptuels intégrés: un prolongement de la théorie guillaumienne?, La langue française au prisme de la psychomécanique du langage : héritages, hypothèse et controverses, in Langue Française 147, O. Soutet (éd.), Paris, CILF, p. 68-83.

Honeste M. L., 2005b, venir est-il un verbe périphrastique? Etude sémantico-cognitive, in H. Bat-Zeev Shyldkrot et N. Le Querler (eds), Les périphrases verbales, Actes du Colloque International Caen / Tel-Aviv, Lingvisticæ Investigationes Supplementa, 25, John Benjamins Publishing Co., p. 293-310.

Honeste M. L., 2006, Approche cognitive de l'adjectif : sémantisme et fonction,

communication au séminaire ATILF (Nancy)
Hong J.-S., 1983, myeongsawha eomi '-eum'kwa '-ki' (Suffixes nominalisateurs '-eum' et '-ki'), Eoneo 8: 2, p. 241-272.

Hong J.-S., 2004, myeongsawha (Nominalisation), Sae kukeo saengwhal 14-2, p. 167-185.

Hooper R., 1996, Type and instance nominalisations in Tokelauan, in J. Lynch & F. Pat (eds), Oceanic studies: Proceedings of the First International Conference on Oceanic Linguistics, Canberra, The Australian National University, Pacific Linguistics C-133, p. 223-241.

Hopper P. & Thompson S., 1984, The discourse basis for lexical categories in

universal grammar, Language 60, p. 703-752.

Hopper P. & Thompson S., 1985, The iconicity of the universal categories 'noun' and 'verb', in J. Haiman (ed.), Iconicity in Syntax, Amsterdam, Benjamins, p. 151-183.

Hualde J. I. & Ortiz de Urbina J. (eds), 2003, A Grammar of Basque, Mouton de Gruyter.

Huyghe R., 2006, Les noms génériques d'espace en français, Thèse de doctorat, Université de Lille III.

Jackendoff R., 1991, Parts and boundaries, Cognition 41, p. 9-45. Jesperson O., 1992, La philosophie de la grammaire, Paris, Gallimard.

Junge Fr., 1979, Der Gebrauch von jw im mittelägyptischen Satz, in Fs. Edel,

1979, p. 263-271. Junge Fr., 1989, Emphasis and Sentential Meaning in Middle Egyptian, Göttingen (= GOF IV 20).

Studien zum hethitischen Infinitivsystem. I. Kammenhuber A., 1954a, Vorbemerkungen. Morphologie und Vokabularmaterial, Mitteilungen des Instituts für Orientforschung (MIO) 2, p. 44-77.

Kammenhuber A., 1954b, Studien zum hethitischen Infinitivsystem. II. Zur Syntax der Infinitive auf -anna (-atar) und -uwanzi (-uwar), Mitteilungen des Instituts für Orientforschung (MIO) 2, p. 245-265.

Kammenhuber A., 1954c, Studien zum hethitischen Infinitivsystem. III. Die deverbalen und denominalen -atar- und -eššar-Abstrakta, Mitteilungen des

Instituts für Orientforschung (MIO) 2, p. 403-444. Kammenhuber A., 1955a, Studien zum hethitischen Infinitivsystem. IV. Das Supinum auf -uwan, Mitteilungen des Instituts für Orientforschung (MIO) 3, p. 31-57.

Kammenhuber A., 1955b, Studien zum hethitischen Infinitivsystem. V. Die hethitischen Infinitive und Abstrakta auf -atar und -eššar in indogermanischer Sicht – nebst lautlich-graphischen und flexivischen Untersuchungen, Mitteilungen des Instituts für Orientforschung (MIO) 3, p. 345-377.

Kammenhuber A., 1956, Studien zum hethitischen Infinitivsystem. Indices A-

Dzu 'Studien zum hethitischen Infinitiv-System' I-IV, Mitteilungen des Instituts für Orientforschung (MIO) 4, p. 40-80.

Karimi S., 2003, On object Positions, Specificity and Scrambling in Persian, in S. Karimi (ed.), Word Order and Scrambling, Oxford: Blackwell, p. 91-124.

Karlsson F., 1987, Finnish Grammar, Porvoo, WSOY.

Keenan E. L. & Comrie B., 1977, Noun phrase accessibility and universal

grammar, Linguistic Inquiry 8, p. 63-99.
Kelling C., 2003, French Psych Verbs and Derived Nouns, in M. Butt & T. H.

King (eds), Nominals. Inside and out, Standford, CSLI, p. 151-179.

Kerleroux F., 1999, Identification d'un procédé morphologique : la conversion, Faits de Langues 14, p. 89-100. Kiefer F., 1988, Les substantifs déverbaux événementiels, Langages 131, p. 56-

Kilgarriff A., Rychly P., Smrz P. & Tugwell D., 2004, The Sketch Engine,

Proceedings of Euralex, Lorient, France, p. 105-116.
Koptjevskaja-Tamm M., 1993, Nominalizations, London and New York, Routledge, Theoretical Linguistics.
Kroeger P., 1993, Phrase Structure and Grammatical Relations in Tagalog,

Stanford, Cal., CLSI Publications.

Kroeger P., 1998, Nouns and verbs in Tagalog: a reply to Foley, Paper presented at the 3rd LFG Conference, Brisbane.

Kronasser H., 1956, Vergleichende Laut- und Formenlehre des Hethitischen, Heidelberg, Carl Winter Universitätsverlag.

Kshirsagar A., 1997, Les phrases nominales en od?ia et en mara?hi, Faits de Langues 10, p. 173-178. Kwon J.-I., 1981, hyeontae kukeoeui -{ki} myeongsawha naep'omun yeonku (Etude sur la complétive -{ki} en coréen contemporain), Hankeul 171, p. 45-

Laaksonen K. & Lieko A., 1998, Phonologie et morphologie du finnois, Helsinki, Finn Lectura.

Labatut R., 1982, L'alternance consonantique en peul, Bulletin des Etudes Africaines de l'INALCO II-3, p. 85-96.

Lafitte P., 1979 [1944, 1962], Grammaire basque (navarro-labourdin littéraire),

Lallot J., 1977, Apollonios Dyscole, De la construction, édition et traduction, Paris, Vrin.

Lallot J., 1985, Mode verbal et diathesis chez Apollonius Dyscole, *Histoire*, Epistémologie, Langage 7-I, Etudes sur les grammairiens grecs, p. 83-125.

Lallot J., 1988, Origines et développement de la théorie des parties du discours en Grèce, Langages 92, Les parties du discours (B. Colombat (dir)), p. 11-

Lallot J., 1989, La grammaire de Denys le Thrace, Paris, Editions du CNRS.

Lambert F., Moreau C. et Albrespit J. (dir), 2007, Travaux linguistiques du CERLICO 20, 2007, Les formes non finies du verbe 2, Rennes, Presses Universitaires de Rennes.

Langacker R. W., 1987, Foundations of Cognitive Grammar, volume I: Theoretical Prerequisites, Stanford, Calif., Stanford University Press.

Langacker R. W., 1991, Foundations of Cognitive Grammar, volume II: Descriptive Application, Stanford, Calif., Stanford University Press.

Langacker R. W., 1991, Noms et verbes, Communications 53 : Sémantique cognitive, p.103-153.

Langacker R. W., 2000, Grammar and conceptualization, Berlin-New York, Mouton de Gruyter.

Lapenda G., 1968, Estrutura da língua Iatê: falada pelos índios Fulniôs em Pernambuco, Recife, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco.

Lapointe S., 1993, Dual lexical categories and the syntax of mixed category phrases, in A. Kathol & M. Bernstein (eds), *Proceedings of the Eastern States* Conference of Linguistics, Cornell University, DMLL Publications, p. 199-

Lapointe, S., 1993, Dual Lexical Categories and the Syntax of Category Phrases, Proceedings of the Eastern States Conference in Linguistics. Cornell University Papers in Linguistics, p. 199-210.

Laroche E., 1970, Etudes de linguistique anatolienne III, Revue Hittite et Asianique XXVIII, p. 22-71.

Latrouite A., 2002, Multifunctionality and the nature of states: The case of Tagalog ma-, Texte présenté au Sonderforschungsbereich Kolloquium, projet Verbstrukturen, Université Heinrich Heine, Düsseldorf.

Launey M., 2003 Le type omniprédicatif et la morphosyntaxe du nahuatl, Faits de Langues 21, p. 7-23.

Launey M., 1984, Fonctions et catégories dans l'opposition verbo-nominale : l'exemple du nahuatl, Modèles linguistiques VI-1, p. 133-148.

Lazard G., 1982, Le morphème râ en persan et les relations actancielles, Bulletin de la Société de Linguistique de Pâris 77-1, p. 177-207.

Lazard G., 1984, La distinction entre nom et verbe en morphologie et en syntaxe, *Modèles linguistiques* VI-1, p. 29-40.

Lazard G., 1994, L'actance, Paris, PUF. Lazard G., 2006, La quête des invariantes interlangue. La linguistique est-elle une science?, Paris, Honoré Champion.

Lazard G. (avec la collaboration de Y. Richard, R. Hechmati et P. Samvelian), 2006, Grammaire du persan contemporain (Nouvelle édition remaniée), Téhéran, Institut Français de recherche en Iran & Editions Farhang Moaser.

Le Nestour P., Prost M., Tamba I. et Terada A., 1995, Le préconstruit dans la description du coréen et du japonais, in J. Bouscaren, J.-J. Franckel et S. Robert (éds) Langues et langage; Problèmes et raisonnement en linguistique, Mélanges offerts à Antoine Culioli, Paris, PUF, p. 475-491.

Leboutet L., 2001, Les nominalisateurs en japonais, Faits de Langues 17, p. 193-

204.

Lemaréchal A., 1989, Les parties du discours, Paris, PUF.

Lemaréchal A., 1998a, Voix multiples et polymorphisme : le problème de la valeur des marques de diathèses / voix en tagalog, in A. Lemaréchal, Etudes de Morphologie en f(x,...), Louvain-Paris, Peeters, p. 96-123.

Lemaréchal A., 1998b, Le système des marques de diathèse / voix du tagalog : morphologie en réseau et morphologie par accrétion, in A. Lemaréchal,

Etudes de Morphologie en f(x,...), Louvain-Paris, Peeters, p. 124-51.

Lemaréchal A., 1991, Dérivation et orientation dans les langues des Philippines. Bulletin de la Société de Linguistique de Paris 86/1, p. 317-58.

Lemaréchal A., 2004, Typologie et théories de la prédication, Mémoires de la Société de Linguistique de Paris (nouvelle série) 14, p. 13-28.

Lewis G. L., 1967, Turkish Grammar, Oxford, Oxford University Press.

Li C. N. & Thompson S. A., 1976, Subject and topic: a new typology of languages, in C. N. Li (ed.), Subject and topic, London-New York, Academic Press, p. 457-489. Lobel J. W., 2004, Old Bikol -um- vs -mag- and the loss of a morphological

paradigm, Oceanic Linguistics 43-2, p. 469-97.

Lyons J., 1966, Towards a notional theory of the Parts of Speech, *Journal of Linguistics* 2, p. 209-236.

Lyons J., 1977, *Semantics*, II, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

Malaise M. & Winand J., 1999, Grammaire raisonnée de l'égyptien classique, Liège (= Aeg. Leod. 5). Malouf R., 1998, Mixed Categories in the Hierarchical Lexicon, PhD, Satnaford

University.

Malouf R., 2000, Verbal Gerunds as Mixed Categories in Head-Driven Phrase Structure Grammar, in R. D. Borsley (ed.), The Nature and Function of Syntactic Categories, San Diego: Academic Press, p. 133-165.

Malouf R., 1998, Mixed Categories in the Hierarchical Lexicon, PhD

Dissertation, Standford University.

Mandelbrot B., 1975, Les objets fractals, Paris, Flammarion.

Marantz A., 1997, Don't try morphological analysis in the privacy of your own lexicon, University of Pennsylvania Working Papers 4.

Marín R., 2000, El componente aspectual de la predicación, PhD, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona.

Martin R., 1983, L'opposition verbo-nominale en français et en indonésien Thèse de Doctorat, Université Aix-Marseille I.

Martin R., 2002, Comprendre la linguistique, Paris, PUF, coll. Quadrige.

Martini F., 1950, L'opposition Nom et Verbe en vietnamien et en siamois, Bulletin de la Société de Linguistique de Paris XLVI-1, p. 183-196.

Megerdoomian K., 2002, Beyond Words and Phrases: A Unified Theory of Predicate Composition, PhD, University of Southern California.

Meinschaefer J., 2003, Nominalization of French psychological verbs. Syntactic complements and semantic participants, in J. Quer, J. Schroten, M. Scorretti, P. Sleeman & E. Verheugd (eds), Selected Papers from 'Going Romance', Amsterdam: Benjamins, p. 235-250.

Meinschaefer J., 2005, Event-oriented adjectives and the semantics of deverbal nouns in Germanic and Romance. The role of boundedness and the mass/count distinction, in A. Thorton & M. Grossmann (eds), La formazione

delle parole, Roma, Bulzoni, p. 355-368.

Melloni C., 2007, Polysemy in word formation: the case of deverbal nominals, PhD dissertation, University of Verona, Italy.

Meunier A. et Samvelian P., 1997, La postposition râ en persan : ses liens avec la détermination et sa fonction discursive, Cahiers de grammaire 22, p. 187-231.

Min H.-S., 1990, myeongsawha (Nominalisation), Kukeo yeonku eotikkaji oassna (Où en est l'étude de la langue coréenne?), Ed. Donga, p. 213-220.

Mir-Samii R., 2001, Valeur énonciative des prépositions de et à devant l'infinitif, L'Information grammaticale 88, p. 3-8.

Mir-Samii R., 2006, L'infinitif complément de nom en français, Travaux linguistiques du CERLICO 19, p. 203-216.

Mohamadou A., 1985, La morphologie du constituant nominal en fulfulde parler de l'Adamaawa, Thèse de 3ème cycle, Paris III/INALCO.

Mohamadou A., 1988, Taxinomie des lexèmes peuls et fonction transcatégorielle des dérivatifs -t-, -r-, et -n-, Bulletin des Etudes Africaines de l'INALCO 8-15, p. 97-120.

Mohamadou A., 1997, Classificateurs et représentations des propriétés lexicales en peul - parler de l'Adamaawa, Paris, Les documents de Linguistique Africaine.

Moignet G., 1981, Systématique de la langue française, Paris, Klincksieck.

Monteil P., 1979, Eléments de phonétique et de morphologie du latin, Paris, Nathan.

Mourelatos A., 1978, Events, Processes and States, Linguistics and Philosophy 2, p. 415-434.

Moyse-Faurie C., 1984, Noms et verbes dans les langues d'Océanie, Modèles Linguistiques VI-1, p. 117-123.

Moyse-Faurie C., 1995, Le xârâcùù, langue de Thio-Canala (Nouvelle-

Calédonie). Eléments de syntaxe, Peeters-Selaf, Langues et Cultures du Pacifique 10.

Moyse-Faurie C., 1997, Grammaire du futunien, Nouméa, Centre de Documentation Pédagogique, coll. Université.

Moyse-Faurie C., 2000, Possessive markers in East Uvean (Faka'uvea), Sprachtypologie und Universalienforschung. Language Typology and *Universals*, Berlin 53 : 3/4, p. 319-332. Moyse-Faurie C., 2005, Problèmes de catégorisation syntaxique dans les langues

polynésiennes, in G. Lazard et C. Moyse-Faurie (éds), Linguistique typologique, Lille, Presses du Septentrion, p. 161-192.

Mufwene S. & Djikhoff, M. B., 1989, On the so-called 'Infinitive' in Atlantic creoles, *Lingua* 77, p. 297-330.

Müller S., en preparation, Persian Complex Predicates, (manuscrit Freie Universität Berlin).

Nātel Khānlari P., 1993 (1340/1961), Zabān chénāssi va zabān-é fārsi (La linguistique et la langue persane), 6ème éd., Téhéran, Tous.

Nātel Khānlari P., 1998 (1371/1992), Dastouré tārikhi-yé zabané fārsi (Grammaire historique de la langue persane), 4ème éd., Téhéran, Tous.

Negoiță Soare E., 2002, Le supin roumain et la théorie des catégories mixtes, Thèse de doctorat, Université de Paris VII & Universitatea din București.

Neu E., 1976, Zur Rekonstruktion des indogermanischen Verbalsystems, Fs. L. R. Palmer, Studies in Greek, Italic and Indo-European Linguistics offered to Leonard R. Palmer, IBS, 16, Innsbruck, p. 239-254.

Neu E., 1982, Studie über den Gebrauch von Genetivformen auf -was des hethitischen Verbalsubstantivs -war, Gs. Kronasser, Investigationes Philologicae et comparativae, Wiesbaden, Harrassowitz, p. 116-148.

Neveu F., 2004, Dictionnaire des sciences du langage, Paris, Armand Colin. Nguyễn Phú Phong, 1995, Questions de linguistique vietnamienne. Les

classificateurs et les déictiques, Paris, Presses de l'Ecole Française d'Extrême Orient.

Nordlinger R. & Sadler L., 2000, Tense as a nominal category, in M. Butt & T. H. King (éds), Proceedings of LFG00 Conference, Stanford, CSLI

Publications, p. 197-214.

Nordlinger R. & Sadler L., 2002, Finite nouns phrases, The Typology of Nominal Tense, ms., http://privatewww.essex.ac.uk/~louisa/newpapers/ltfinal.pdf.

Osswald R. 2005. On Result Nominalization in German, in E. Maier et al. (eds) Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung 9, p. 256-270, available at

www.ru.nl/ncs/sub9

Packard J. L., 2006, The Morphology of Chinese. A Linguistic and Cognitive

Approach, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

Panagl O., 2006, Zur verbalen Konstruktion deverbativer Nomina, in E. Crespo, J. de la Villa & A. Revuelta (eds), Word Classes and related Topics in Ancient Greek, Louvain-la-Neuve, Peeters, p. 47-57.

Paris M.-C., 1984, Nom et verbe en chinois : ressemblances et différences, Modèles linguistiques VI-1, p. 101-115.
Paul I., Philips V. & Travis L., 2000, Formal Issues in Austronesian Linguistics,

Dordrecht, Kluwer.

Perrot J. (dir), 1981, Les langues dans le monde ancien et moderne. 1. Les langues de l'Afrique subsaharienne. 2. Pidgins et créoles (2 Volumes : vol. 1 Textes, vol. 2 Cartes), Paris, Editions du CNRS.

Perrot J. & Cohen D., 1988, Les langues dans le monde ancien et moderne, 3ème

partie (Cartes incluses), Paris, Editions du CNRS.

Pilot-Raichoor Ch., 1997, Aperçu sur le système verbal badaga, Faits de Langues 10, p. 163-172.

Pinault G.-J., 1989, Tokharien, LALIES 7, p. 3-226. Pittman R., 1966, Tagalog -um- and mag-: an interim report, Papers in Philippine Linguistics 1, series A-8, Canberra, Pacific Linguistics, p. 9-20.

Platiel S., 1979, L'expression du procès et la relation de prédication en samo, in C. Paris (éd.), Relations PREDICATS ACTANTS dans des langues de types divers II, Paris, LACITO - documents 3, Selaf, p. XIV-1 - XIV-25.

Platiel S., 1981, La relation de détermination en san, in Itinérances en pays peul et ailleurs I, Paris, Mémoires de la Société des aficanistes, p. 221-229.

Platiel S., 1986, Les procédés de formation des noms en san, Madenkan 6, p. 75-

Pollard C. & Sag I. A., 1994, Head-Driven Phrase Structure Grammar, Chicago, The University of Chicago Press.

Polotsky H. J., 1944, Études de syntaxe copte, Le Caire.

Pompei A., 2003, La double nature du participe, in C. Brion & E. Castagne (éds), Nom et verbe: catégorisation et référence. Actes du Colloque International de Reims 2001, Reims, Presses Universitaires de Reims, p. 189-209.

Pompei A., 2004, Propriétés nominales et propriétés verbales du participe, Studi

italiani di linguistica teorica e applicata 33, p. 31-48. Porzig W., 1942, Die Namen für Satzinhalte im Griechischen und im Indogermanischen, Berlin, de Gruyter.

Pottier B., 1992, Sémantique générale, Paris, PUF.

Prost M., 2001, Nom et construction nominale en coréen, Faits de Langues 17,

Pullum G. K., 1991, English Nominal Gerund phrases as noun phrases with verbphrase heads, Linguistics 29, p. 763-799.

Pustejovsky J., 1995, The Generative Lexicon, Cambridge, Mass., The MIT Press.

Pustejovsky J., 2001, Type Construction and the Logic of Concepts, in P. Bouillon & F. Busa (eds), The Syntax of Word Meaning, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, p. 91-123.

Pustejovsky J., 2005, A survey of dot objects, ms. Brandeis University, Waltham Mass.

Pustejovsky J., 2006, Type Theory and Lexical Decomposition, Journal of Cognitive Science 6, p. 39-76

Ramos T. V. & Cena R. M., 1990, Modern Tagalog, Honolulu, University of Hawaii Press.

Rebuschi G., 1978, Cas et fonction sujet en basque, Verbum 1-1, p. 69-98.

Rebuschi G., 1984, Structure de l'énoncé basque, Paris, SELAF.

Renaud F., 2005, Temps, durativité, télicité, Paris-Leuven, BIG Riegel M., Pellat J.-Ch. et Rioul R., 1994, Grammaire méthodique du français, Paris, PUF.

1999, Untersuchungen zur nominalen Stammbildung Rieken E., Hethitischen, Studien zu den Boğazköy-Texten, Wiesbaden, Harrassowitz, 44. Rijkhoff J. N. M., 1991, Nominal aspect, Journal of Semantics 8, p. 291-304. Rivierre J.-C., 1980, La langue de Touho. Phonologie et grammaire du cèmuhî

(Nouvelle-Calédonie), Paris, SELAF, Langues et civilisations à tradition orale

Robert S. (éd.), 2003, Perspectives synchroniques sur la grammaticalisation, Peeters, Louvain-Paris.

Ross J. R., 1973a, The Category Squish: Endstation Hauptwort, Chicago Linguistic Society 8, p. 316-328.

Ross J. R., 1973b, Nouniness, in O. Fujimura (ed.), *Three Dimensions of Linguistic Theory*, Tokyo, TEC Company, p. 137-257.
Rotaetxe K., 1978, Linguistica-logica: La construcción ergativa vasca, *Revista* 

Espanola de Lingüística Madrid 8-2, p. 431-445.

Roulland D., 2002, Gustave Guillaume et la langue basque, in R. Lowe (éd.), Le système des parties du discours, sémantique et syntaxe, Laval, Presses de l'Université Laval, p. 383-395.

Roulland D., 2004, Perfectivité et schémas actanciels en basque, Genèse de la "phrase" dans la diversité des langues, Modèles Linguistiques, tome XXV,

fascicules 1 & 2, p. 305-320. Rouveret A. & J. R. Vergnaud, 1980, Specifying reference to subject, *Linguistic* Inquiry 11, p. 97-202.

Rygaloff A., 1967, Note sur le "suffixe" -zi Zen chinois moderne, Monumenta Serica XXVI, p. 97-101.

Sādeqi A. A., 2005, Kalamāt-e morrakkab sāxte šode bā setāk-e fe'l (Mots composés sur une base verbale), Dastour 1-1 (Grammaire), Journal de

l'Académie, Téhéran, p. 5-11.
Sadler L. & Nordlinger R., 2001, Nominal tense with nominal scope: a preliminary sketch, in M. Butt & T. H. King (eds), *Proceedings of the LFG01* 

Conference, Stanford, CSLI Publications, p. 433-448.

Samvelian P., 2001, Le statut syntaxique des objets nus en persan, Bulletin de la Société de Linguistique de Paris XCVI, p. 349-388.

Samvelian P., 2007, A (phrasal) affix analysis of the Persian Ezafe, Journal of

Linguistics 43, p. 605-645.
Sapir E., 1921, Language, New York, Harcourt-Brace-World.

Schachter P. & Otanes F. T., 1972, Tagalog Reference Grammar, Berkeley-Los Angeles-Londres, University of California Press.

Schachter P., 1987, Tagalog, in B. Comrie (ed.), The world's major languages,

New York, Oxford University Press, p. 936-58.

Schenkel W., 1978, Ein Syntax des klassischen Ägyptisch ohne Verbalsatz, in Göttinger Miszellen 29, 1978, p. 105-117.

Schenkel W., 1990, Einführung in die altägyptische Sprachwissenschaft, Darmstadt.

Schenkel W., 2005, Tübinger Einführung in die klassisch-ägyptische Sprache und Schrift, Tübingen.

Seiler H., 1977, Die Prinzipien der deskriptiven und der etikettierenden Benennung, in H. Seiler (ed.), Linguistic Workshop III (Arbeiten des Kölner Universalienprojekts 1974), München, Fink.

Seiler H., 2000, Language Universals Research: A Synthesis, Tübingen, Narr. Sériot P., 1981, Langue russe et discours politique soviétique : analyse des nominalisations, Languages 81, p. 11-41.

Seydou Ch., 1998, Dictionnaire pluridialectal des racines verbales du peul -

peul - français - anglais, Paris, Editions Karthala (ACCT). Shapiro K. A., Moo L. R. & Caramazza A., 2006, Cortical signatures of noun and verb production, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 103: 5, p. 1644-1649.

Siloni T. & Preminger O. (sous presse), Nominal voices, in A. Giannakidou & M. Rathert (eds), Quantification, Definiteness, and Nominalization. Oxford:

Oxford University Press.

Siloni, T., 1997, Noun Phrases and Nominalizations, Dordrecht, Kluwer.

Simone R. 2000, Cycles Lexicaux, Studi Italiani di Linguistica Teorica e Applicata (SILTA) 2, p. 259-287.

Simone R., 2000, Cycles lexicaux, Studi italiani di linguistica teorica e applicata

29, p. 259-287.

Simone R., 2003, Mașdar, ismu al-marrati et la frontière verbe/nom, in J. M. Girón Alconchel et al. (eds), Estudios ofrecidos al profesor J. Bustos de Tovar, Madrid, Universidad Complutense, p. 901-918.

Simone R., 2005, L'infinito nominale nel discorso, in P. D'Achille (ed.), Generi,

architetture e forme testuali. Atti del VII Congresso Internazionale della SILFI, Società di linguistica e filologia italiana, Firenze, Cesati, p. 73-96.

Simone R., 2006a, Nominales sintagmáticos y no-sintagmáticos, in E. De Miguel, A. Palacios & A. Serradilla (eds), Estructuras léxicas y estructuras del léxico,

Berlin, Peter Lang, p. 221-241.

Simone R., 2006b, Constructions: types, niveaux, force pragmatique, in C. Guillot, S. Heiden & S. Prévost (éds), A la quête du sens. Etudes littéraires, historiques et linguistiques en hommage à Christiane Marchello-Nizia, Lyon, ENS, p. 137-159.

Simone R., 2007, Categories and Constructions in Verbal and Signed Languages, in R. Simone & P. Pietrandrea (eds), Verbal and Signed Languages. Comparing Constructs Structures, and Methodologies, Berlin & New York, Mouton-De Gruyter, p. 197-252.

Simone R. (sous presse), Coefficienti verbali nei nomi, in P. M. Bertinetto (ed.), Il verbo, Atti del Congresso internazionale di studi della SIG – Società Italiana di Glottologia (Pisa, 26-27 Octobre 2006), Roma, Il Calamo.

Simone R. et Masini F. (sous presse), Noms-Support. Une catégorie nominale à coéfficients aspectuels, Verba (numéro spécial éd. par A. Grezka sur "Classes

de verbes").

Sneddon J. N., 1996, Indonesian, a comprehensive grammar, London, Routledge.

Snyder W. 1998, On the aspectual properties of English derived nominals, MIT

Working Papers in Linguistics 25, p. 125-139. Sörés A., 2004, La place de l'adjectif épithète dans les langues. Approche typologique, in J. François (dir), L'adjectif en français et à travers les langues, Actes du colloque de Caen, Presses Universitaires de Caen, p. 89-

Staudacher-Valliamée G., 2004, Grammaire du créole réunionnais, SEDES,

Université de la Réunion.

Sturtevant E. H., 1933, A comparative Grammar of the hittite Language, Yale University Press.

Suratgar-Saffari K., 1978, La langue persane, Louvain, Editions Peeters, Paris, Editions Geunthner.

Tamba I., 2001, Nom et construction nominale en japonais, Faits de Langues 17, p. 183-192.

Taylor D. R., 1968, Le créole de la Dominique, in A. Martinet (éd.), Le langage, Paris, Gallimard, p. 1022-1049.

Tchekhoff C., 1984, Une langue sans opposition verbo-nominale: le tongien, Modèles linguistiques VI-1, p. 125-132.

Tenny C. & Pustejovsky J., 2000, Events as Grammatical Objects, Leland Stanford Junior University, CSLI Publications.

Terada A., 1992, Autour du nominalisateur mono, Linguistique formelle, Recherche en linguistique japonaise 3, Collection ÉRA642, Département de Recherche Linguistique, Laboratoire de Linguistique Formelle (UA04 1028), Université de Paris VII, p. 121-135.

Terada A., 1993, No, de et node ou la (re)construction de la suite

(chrono)logique, Faits de langues 1, p. 137-140.

Terada A., 1995, Exclamatif en japonais, Faits de langues 7, p. 211-216.

Terada A., 1999, Noda et la création de la chaîne d'inférences, Japon pluriel 3,

SFEJ, Ph. Picquier, p. 249-256.
Tesnière L., 1988 [1959], Éléments de syntaxe structurale, Paris, Klincksieck.
Thom R. et al., 1978, Entretien sur les catastrophes, le langage et la métaphysique extrême, Ornicar 16, p. 73-110.

Tokeida M., 1950, Nihon bunpô kôgohên (Grammaire japonaise - langue orale),

Tokyo, Iwanamishoten.

Toussaint D., 2000, Le classificateur chinois à l'oral, Lille, Presses Universitaires du Septentrion/ ANRT, coll. Thèse à la carte.

Toussaint D., 2001, Suspens de la référenciation. Le groupe nominal chinois

avec déictique, Paris-Gap, Ophrys, coll. BFDL.

Toussaint D., 2006, Phénoménologie d'une langue et de son écriture, Cahiers de Linguistique Analogique 2, Un signifiant : un signifié. Débat, p. 297-313.

Toussaint M., 2003, Analogiques, Cahiers de linguistique analogique 1, P. Monneret (dir), Le mot comme signe et comme image : lieux et enjeux de l'iconicité linguistique, Dijon, ABELL, p. 331-350.

Trask R. L., 1981, Basque verbal morphology, in IKER 1, Rencontres internationales de bascologues, Bilbao, Académie de la langue basque.

Travaux linguistiques du CERLICO 19, 2006, Les formes non finies du verbe 1, O. Blanvillain et C. Guimier (dir), Rennes, Presses Universitaires de Rennes.

- Travaux linguistiques du CERLICO 20, 2007, Les formes non finies du verbe 2, F. Lambert, C. Moreau et J. Albrespit (dir), Rennes, Presses Universitaires de Rennes.
- Travis L., 2000a, The L-syntax / S-syntax boundary: evidence from Austronesian, in I. Paul & al. (eds), p. 167-194.

Travis L., 2000b, Event structure in syntax, in C. Tenny & J. Pustejovsky (eds),

- Trung Tam Khoa Hoc Xa Hoi, 2000, Grammaire vietnamienne, Vietnam, NXB KHXH.
- U H.-S., 1987, myeongsawhaso '-(eu)m, -ki'eui punp'owa euimikineung (Distribution et fonction sémantique de nominalisateur -(eu)m et -ki), Mal 12, p. 119-160.

Uljas, S., 2007, The Modal System of Earlier Egyptian Complement Clauses, Leyde 2007 (= Probleme der Ägyptologie 26).

Van de Velde D., 1995, Le spectre nominal, des noms de matière aux noms d'abstractions, Louvain, Paris, Peeters.

Vendler Z., 1967, Linguistics in Philosophy, Ithaca (New York), Cornell University Press.

Vendler Z., 1967a, Facts and Events, in Z. Vendler, 1967, p. 122-146.

Vendler Z., 1967b, Effects, results and consequences, in Z. Vendler, 1967, p. 147-171.

Vendler Z., 1967c, Verbs and Times, in Z. Vendler, 1967, p. 97-121.

Vergnaud J. R., 1985, Dépendances et niveaux de représentation en syntaxe, Amsterdam, Benjamins.

Verkuyl H., 1989, Aspectual classes and aspectual composition, Linguistics and Philosophy 12, p. 39-94.

Vernaudon J. et B. Rigo, 2004, De la translation substantivante à la quantification : vers une caractérisation sémantique de l'article te en tahitien, Bulletin de la Société de Linguistique de Paris 99, p. 457-480.

Vernus P., 1990, Future at Issue, New Haven (= Yale Egyptological Studies 4). Vernus P., 1997, Les parties du discours en Moyen Egyptien. Autopsie d'une théorie, Genève (= Cahiers de la Société d'Égyptologie 5).

Voisin S., 2006, L'infinitif en wolof, Travaux linguistiques du CERLICO 19, p. 61-83.

Weng Z. F., 2000, Dictionnaire pratique chinois-français, Paris, Youfeng. Wiebusch T., 1999, Classificateurs et clés graphiques en chinois, Faits de Langues 14, p. 133-142.

Wierzbicka A, 1993, La quête des primitifs sémantiques, Langue Française 98, p. 9-23.

Wierzbicka A., 1986, What's in a noun (or: how do nouns differ in meaning from adjectives), Studies in Language 10, p. 353-389.

Winand J., 1992, Etudes de néo-égyptien, I. La morphologie verbale, Liège (= Aegyptiaca Leodiensia 2).
Winand J., 2006a, Temps et aspect en ancien égyptien. Une approche

sémantique, Leyde, (= Probleme der Ägyptologie 25).
Winand J., 2006b, La prédication non verbale en égyptien ancien, dans Faits de Langues 27, p. 73-102.

Xu D., 1996, Initiation à la syntaxe chinoise, Paris, L'Asiathèque.

Yamada Y., 1936, Nihon bunpô gairon (Traité de la grammaire japonaise), Tokyo, Hôbunkan.

Yang J.-O., 1994, hyeontae kukeoeui myeongsawha naep'omun yeonku (Etude sur la proposition enchâssée nominalisée en coréen contemporain), Mémoire de Maîtrise, Université Sokang.

Zribi-Hertz A. et Diagne L., 1999, Description linguistique et grammaire universelle: réflexion sur la notion de finitude à partir de la grammaire du wolof. LINX, n° spécial, p. 205-215.

Zucchi A., 1993, The Language of Propositions and Events, Dordrecht, Kluwer.